

# Solvability for a Coupled System of Fractional $p$ -Laplacian Differential Equations at Resonance

ZHOU HUI<sup>1,2</sup>, ZHOU ZONG-FU<sup>2,\*</sup> AND WANG LI-PING<sup>2</sup>

(1. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hefei Normal University, Hefei, 230601)

(2. School of Mathematical Science, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601)

Communicated by Shi Shao-yun

**Abstract:** In this paper, by using the coincidence degree theory, the existence of solutions for a coupled system of fractional  $p$ -Laplacian differential equations at resonance is studied. The result obtained in this paper extends some known results. An example is given to illustrate our result.

**Key words:**  $p$ -Laplacian, coincidence degree, existence, fractional differential equation, boundary value problem

**2010 MR subject classification:** 26A33, 34B15

**Document code:** A

**Article ID:** 1674-5647(2017)01-0033-20

**DOI:** 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2017.01.05

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, by using the coincidence degree theory, we discuss the existence of solutions to a coupled system of fractional  $p$ -Laplacian differential equations at resonance:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_{0+}^{\beta} \phi_{p_1}(D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t)) = f_1(t, u(t), v(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ D_{0+}^{\beta} \phi_{p_2}(D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(t)) = f_2(t, u(t), v(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i u(\epsilon_i), \\ D_{0+}^{\gamma} \phi_{p_1}(D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t))|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_{0+}^{\gamma} \phi_{p_1}(D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t))|_{t=\xi_i}, \\ v(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i v(\sigma_i), \\ D_{0+}^{\delta} \phi_{p_2}(D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(t))|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i D_{0+}^{\delta} \phi_{p_2}(D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(t))|_{t=\eta_i}, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

---

**Received date:** April 13, 2015.

**Foundation item:** The Key NSF (KJ2015A196) of Anhui Higher Education and the Young Foundation (2015QN19) of Hefei Normal University.

\* **Corresponding author.**

**E-mail address:** zhouhui0309@126.com (Zhou H), zhouzf12@126.com (Zhou Z F).

where  $1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2$ , and  $3 < \alpha + \beta \leq 4$ ;  $0 < \gamma, \delta \leq \beta - 1$ ;  $\phi_{p_i}(x) = |x|^{p_i-2}x$ ,  $p_i > 1$ ,  $\phi_{q_i} = \phi_{p_i}^{-1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_i} = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \dots < \epsilon_{n_1} < 1$ ,  $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_{m_1} < 1$ ,  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_n < 1$ ,  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_m < 1$ ;  $A_r, a_j, B_k, b_l \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n_1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, k = 1, 2, \dots, m_1, l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .  $D^\alpha, D^\beta, D^\gamma$  and  $D^\delta$  are the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives.

In this paper, we always suppose that the following conditions hold.

$$(H_1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^{\alpha-1} = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i \sigma_i^{\alpha-1} = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma-1} = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \eta_i^{\beta-\delta-1} = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha \neq 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i \sigma_i^\alpha \neq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma} \neq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \eta_i^{\beta-\delta} \neq 1.$$

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $f_i: [0, 1] \times \mathbf{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfied Carathéodory conditions,  $i = 1, 2$ , that is,

(i)  $f(\cdot; x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4): [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is measurable for all  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{R}^4$ ;

(ii)  $f(t; \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): \mathbf{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous for a.e.  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;

(iii) for each compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbf{R}^4$  there is a function  $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}} \in L^\infty[0, 1]$  such that

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| \leq \varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(t)$$

for a.e.  $t \in [0, 1]$  and all  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathcal{K}$ .

The existence of solutions for boundary value problem of integer order differential equations at resonance has been studied by many authors (see [1]–[10] and references cited therein). Since the extensive applicability of fractional differential equations (see [11] and [12]), recently, more and more authors pay their close attention to the boundary value problems of fractional differential equations (see [13]–[20]). In papers [13] and [14], the existence of solutions to coupled system of fractional differential equations at nonresonance has been given. In papers [15] and [16], the solvability of fractional differential equations at resonance has been investigated.

Paper [16] investigates the following coupled system of fractional differential equations at resonance:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = f_1(t, u(t), v(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ D_{0+}^\beta v(t) = f_2(t, u(t), v(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^\gamma u(t)|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_{0+}^\gamma u(t)|_{t=\xi_i}, \\ v(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^\delta v(t)|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i D_{0+}^\delta v(t)|_{t=\eta_i}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2$ ,  $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha - 1$ ,  $\delta \leq \beta - 1$ ;  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_n < 1$ ,  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_m < 1$ ;  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma-1} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \eta_i^{\beta-\delta-1} = 1$ . By using the coincidence degree theory due to Mawhin and constructing suitable operators, the existence of solutions for (1.2) is obtained.

In the past few decades, in order to meet the demands of research, the  $p$ -Laplacian equation is introduced in some BVP, such as [17] and [18].

The turbulent flow in a porous medium is a fundamental mechanics problem. For studying this type of problems, Leibenson<sup>[17]</sup> introduced the  $p$ -Laplacian equation as follows

$$(\phi_p(x'(t)))' = f(t, x(t), x(t)),$$

where  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ,  $p > 1$ . Obviously,  $\phi_p$  is invertible and its inverse operator is  $\phi_q$ , where  $q > 1$  is a constant such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

Paper [18] investigated the existence of solutions for the BVP of fractional  $p$ -Laplacian equation with the following form

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\beta}(\phi_p(D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t))) = f(t, x(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \\ D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$  with  $1 < \alpha + \beta \leq 2$ , and  $p > 1$ ,  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  is a  $p$ -Laplacian operator,  $D^{\alpha}$  is a Caputo fractional derivative. By using the coincidence degree theory, a new result on the existence of solutions for the above fractional boundary value problem is obtained.

Inspired by above works, our work presented in this paper has the following new features. On the one hand, the method used in this paper is the coincidence degree theory and the system has  $p$ -Laplacian, which bring about many argument difficulties. On the other hand, our study is on fractional  $p$ -Laplacian differential system with multipoint boundary conditions. To the best of our knowledge, there are relatively few results on boundary value problems for fractional  $p$ -Laplacian equations at resonance. We fill this gap in the literature. Hence we improve and generalize the results of previous papers to some degree, and so it is interesting and important to study the existence of solutions for system (1.1).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the transformation of the system (1.1), some results of fractional calculus theory and some lemmas, which are used in the next two sections. In Section 3, basing on the coincidence degree theory of Mawhin<sup>[19]</sup>, we get the existence of solutions for system (1.1). In Section 4, one example is given to illustrate our result. Our result is different from those of bibliographies listed above.

## 2 Preliminaries

For abbreviation, we write  $D_{0+}^{\gamma}u(\xi) = D_{0+}^{\gamma}u(t)|_{t=\xi}$ .

In order to use the coincidence degree theory to study the existence of solutions for BVP (1.1), let  $w_1(t) = \phi_{p_1}(D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t))$ ,  $w_2(t) = \phi_{p_2}(D_{0+}^{\alpha}v(t))$ . Then we can rewrite (1.1) in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) = \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ D_{0+}^{\alpha}v(t) = \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ D_{0+}^{\beta}w_1(t) = f_1(t, u(t), v(t), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t))), & 0 < t < 1, \\ D_{0+}^{\beta}w_2(t) = f_2(t, u(t), v(t), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t))), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = w_1(0) = 0, & u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i u(\epsilon_i), & D_{0+}^{\gamma}w_1(t)|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_{0+}^{\gamma}w_1(t)|_{t=\xi_i}, \\ v(0) = w_2(0) = 0, & v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i v(\sigma_i), & D_{0+}^{\delta}w_2(t)|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i D_{0+}^{\delta}w_2(t)|_{t=\eta_i}. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly, if  $\mathbf{x}(t) = (u(t), v(t), w_1(t), w_2(t))^T$  is a solution of (2.1), then  $(u(t), v(t))^T$  must be a solution of (1.1). So the problem of finding a solution for (1.1) is converted to find a solution for (2.1).

Next we present here the necessary definitions and Lemmas from fractional calculus theory. These definitions and Lemmas can be found in the recent literatures [11] and [12].

**Definition 2.1**<sup>[11]</sup> The fractional integral of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is defined by

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds$$

provided that the right-hand side exists.

**Definition 2.2**<sup>[11]</sup> The Riemann-Liouville fractional order derivative of order  $\alpha \in (n-1, n]$  of a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is defined by

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds$$

provided that the right-hand side exists.

**Lemma 2.1**<sup>[12]</sup> Assume that  $f \in L[0, 1]$ ,  $q > p \geq 0$ . Then

$$D_{0+}^p I_{0+}^q f(t) = I_{0+}^{q-p} f(t).$$

**Lemma 2.2**<sup>[12]</sup> Assume that  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ . Then

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} t^{\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda-\alpha+1)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} (t^{n+\lambda-\alpha}),$$

where  $n = [\alpha] + 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3**<sup>[12]</sup> Let  $\alpha \in (n-1, n]$ ,  $u \in C(0, 1) \cap L^1(0, 1)$ . Then

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = u(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \dots + c_n t^{\alpha-n},$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Now, we briefly recall some notations and an abstract existence result, which can be found in [19].

Let  $X, Y$  be real Banach spaces,  $L : \text{dom}L \subset X \rightarrow Y$  be a Fredholm operator with index zero, and  $P : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$  be projectors such that  $\text{Im}P = \ker L$ ,  $\text{Im}L = \ker Q$ . then

$$X = \ker L \oplus \ker P, \quad Y = \text{Im}L \oplus \text{Im}Q,$$

and

$$L|_{\text{dom}L \cap \ker P} : \text{dom}L \cap \ker P \rightarrow \text{Im}L$$

is invertible. We denote the inverse by  $K_P$ .

If  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{dom}L \cap \bar{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ , then the map  $N : X \rightarrow Y$  will be called  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$  if  $QN(\bar{\Omega})$  is bounded and  $K_P(I-Q)N : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$  is compact.

**Lemma 2.4**<sup>[19]</sup> Let  $L : \text{dom}L \subset X \rightarrow Y$  be a Fredholm operator with index zero and  $N : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$  for every  $(x, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom}L \setminus \ker L) \cap \partial\Omega] \times (0, 1)$ ;
- (2)  $Nx \notin \text{Im}L$  for every  $x \in \ker L \cap \partial\Omega$ ;
- (3)  $\deg(JQN|_{\ker L}, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0) \neq 0$ , where  $J : \text{Im}Q \rightarrow \ker L$  is a isomorphism,  $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$  is a projection such that  $\text{Im}L = \ker Q$ .

Then the equation  $Lx = Nx$  has at least one solution in  $\text{dom}L \cap \bar{\Omega}$ .

Let

$$C^{\alpha-1}[0, 1] = \{x \mid x, D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}x \in C[0, 1]\}$$

with norm  $\|x\|_{\alpha} = \max\{\|x\|_{\infty}, \|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}x\|_{\infty}\}$ ,

$$C^{\beta-1}[0, 1] = \{x \mid x, D_{0+}^{\beta-1}x \in C[0, 1]\}$$

with norm  $\|x\|_{\beta} = \max\{\|x\|_{\infty}, \|D_{0+}^{\beta-1}x\|_{\infty}\}$ , where  $\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)|$ .

Set

$$X = \{\mathbf{x} = (u(\cdot), v(\cdot), w_1(\cdot), w_2(\cdot))^T \mid u, v \in C^{\alpha-1}[0, 1], w_1, w_2 \in C^{\beta-1}[0, 1]\}$$

with norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_X = \max\{\|u\|_{\alpha}, \|v\|_{\alpha}, \|w_1\|_{\beta}, \|w_2\|_{\beta}\},$$

and

$$Y = \{\mathbf{y} = (y_1(\cdot), y_2(\cdot), y_3(\cdot), y_4(\cdot))^T \in L([0, 1], \mathbf{R}^4)\}$$

with norm

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y = \max\{\|y_1\|_1, \|y_2\|_1, \|y_3\|_1, \|y_4\|_1\},$$

where  $\|y_i\|_1 = \int_0^1 |y_i(x)|dx$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . By means of the linear functional analysis theory,

we can prove that  $X, Y$  are Banach spaces.

Define the operator  $L : \text{dom}L \subset X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$L\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{0+}^{\alpha}u \\ D_{0+}^{\alpha}v \\ D_{0+}^{\beta}w_1 \\ D_{0+}^{\beta}w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\text{dom}L = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (u(\cdot), v(\cdot), w_1(\cdot), w_2(\cdot))^T \in X \mid (D_{0+}^{\alpha}u, D_{0+}^{\alpha}v, D_{0+}^{\beta}w_1, D_{0+}^{\beta}w_2)^T \in Y, \right.$$

$$u(0) = v(0) = w_1(0) = w_2(0) = 0, u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i u(\epsilon_i), v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i v(\sigma_i),$$

$$\left. D_{0+}^{\gamma}w_1(t)|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_{0+}^{\gamma}w_1(t)|_{t=\xi_i}, D_{0+}^{\delta}w_2(t)|_{t=1} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i D_{0+}^{\delta}w_2(t)|_{t=\eta_i} \right\}.$$

Let  $N : X \rightarrow Y$  be the operator

$$N\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} N_1\mathbf{x}(t) \\ N_2\mathbf{x}(t) \\ N_3\mathbf{x}(t) \\ N_4\mathbf{x}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)) \\ \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t)) \\ f_1(t, u(t), v(t), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t))) \\ f_2(t, u(t), v(t), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t))) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then BVP (2.1) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$L\mathbf{x} = N\mathbf{x}.$$

### 3 Main Results

Define operators  $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 : L[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 y &= \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \int_0^{\epsilon_i} (\epsilon_i - s)^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds, \\ T_2 y &= \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i \int_0^{\sigma_i} (\sigma_i - s)^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds, \\ T_3 y &= \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds, \\ T_4 y &= \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-\delta-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^{\beta-\delta-1} \mathbf{y}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain our main results, we first present the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** *Suppose that  $(H_1)$  holds, and let  $L$  be defined by (2.2). Then*

$$\ker L = \left\{ (u, v, w_1, w_2)^T = (c_{11}t^{\alpha-1}, c_{12}t^{\alpha-1}, c_{21}t^{\beta-1}, c_{22}t^{\beta-1})^T, \right. \\ \left. c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22} \in \mathbf{R}, t \in [0, 1] \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\operatorname{Im} L = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in Y \mid T_1 y_1 = T_2 y_2 = T_3 y_3 = T_4 y_4 = 0\}. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Since  $(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T \in \ker L$ , we get

$$(D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(t), D_{0+}^{\beta} w_1(t), D_{0+}^{\beta} w_2(t))^T = (0, 0, 0, 0)^T.$$

By Lemma 2.3,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = 0$  has solution

$$u(t) = c_{11}t^{\alpha-1} + e_{11}t^{\alpha-2}, \quad c_{11}, e_{11} \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Combining with the boundary value condition  $u(0) = 0$ , we get  $e_{11} = 0$ . So

$$u(t) = c_{11}t^{\alpha-1}.$$

Likewise,

$$v(t) = c_{12}t^{\alpha-1}.$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2.3,  $D_{0+}^{\beta} w_1(t) = 0$  has solution

$$w_1(t) = c_{21}t^{\beta-1} + e_{21}t^{\beta-2}, \quad c_{21}, e_{21} \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Together with the boundary value condition  $w_1(0) = 0$ , we get  $e_{21} = 0$ . So

$$w_1(t) = c_{21}t^{\beta-1}.$$

Likewise,

$$w_2(t) = c_{22}t^{\beta-1}.$$

One has that (3.1) holds.

If  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in \text{Im}L$ , then there exists an  $\mathbf{x} = (u, v, w_1, w_2)^T \in \text{dom}L$  such that  $L\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ . That is,

$$y_1(t) = D_{0+}^\alpha u(t), \quad y_2(t) = D_{0+}^\alpha v(t), \quad y_3(t) = D_{0+}^\alpha w_1(t), \quad y_4(t) = D_{0+}^\alpha w_2(t).$$

Basing on Lemma 2.3, we have

$$u(t) = I_{0+}^\alpha y_1(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + e_2 t^{\alpha-2}, \quad c_1, e_1 \in \mathbf{R}.$$

From condition  $u(0) = 0$ , we get  $e_1 = 0$ . It follows from  $(H_1)$  and the boundary conditions of  $u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i u(\epsilon_i)$  that  $y_1$  satisfies

$$\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} y_1(s) ds = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \int_0^{\epsilon_i} (\epsilon_i - s)^{\alpha-1} y_1(s) ds.$$

Likewise,  $y_2$  satisfies

$$\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} y_2(s) ds = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i \int_0^{\sigma_i} (\sigma_i - s)^{\alpha-1} y_2(s) ds.$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$w_1(t) = I_{0+}^\beta y_3(t) + c_2 t^{\beta-1} + e_2 t^{\beta-2}, \quad c_2, e_2 \in \mathbf{R}.$$

From condition  $w_1(0) = 0$ , we get  $e_2 = 0$ . It follows from  $(H_1)$  and the boundary conditions of  $D_{0+}^\gamma w_1(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_{0+}^\gamma w_1(\xi)$  that  $y_3$  satisfies

$$\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} y_3(s) ds = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} y_3(s) ds.$$

Likewise,  $y_4$  satisfies

$$\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-\delta-1} y_4(s) ds = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^{\beta-\delta-1} y_4(s) ds.$$

So,

$$T_1 y_1 = T_2 y_2 = T_3 y_3 = T_4 y_4 = 0.$$

That is,

$$\text{Im}L \subseteq \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in Y \mid T_1 y_1 = T_2 y_2 = T_3 y_3 = T_4 y_4 = 0\}\}.$$

On the other hand, let  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in Y$  satisfy  $T_1 y_1 = T_2 y_2 = T_3 y_3 = T_4 y_4 = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{x} = (u, v, w_1, w_2)^T$ . Take

$$u(t) = I_{0+}^\alpha y_1, \quad v(t) = I_{0+}^\alpha y_2, \quad w_1(t) = I_{0+}^\beta y_3(t), \quad w_2(t) = I_{0+}^\beta y_4(t).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$L\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}.$$

Obviously,  $(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T \in X$ ,  $(D_{0+}^\alpha u, D_{0+}^\alpha v, D_{0+}^\alpha w_1, D_{0+}^\alpha w_2)^T \in Y$ , and

$$u(0) = v(0) = w_1(0) = w_2(0) = 0.$$

By  $T_1 y_1 = T_2 y_2 = T_3 y_3 = T_4 y_4 = 0$ , we get that  $u, v, w_1, w_2$  satisfy

$$u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i u(\epsilon_i), \quad v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i v(\sigma_i),$$

$$D_{0+}^\gamma w_1(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_{0+}^\gamma w_1(\xi_i), \quad D_{0+}^\delta w_2(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i D_{0+}^\delta \phi_{p_2} w_2(\eta_i),$$

respectively. So,  $(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T \in \text{dom}L$ , we get  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in \text{Im}L$ . That is,

$$\{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in Y \mid T_1 y_1 = T_2 y_2 = T_3 y_3 = T_4 y_4 = 0\} \subseteq \text{Im}L.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $L$  be defined by (2.2). If  $(H_1)$  holds, then  $L$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero, and the linear continuous projector operators  $P : X \rightarrow X$  and  $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$  can be defined as*

$$P \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u(0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \frac{D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} v(0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \frac{D_{0+}^{\beta-1} w_1(0)}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} \\ \frac{D_{0+}^{\beta-1} w_2(0)}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q \begin{pmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \\ y_3(t) \\ y_4(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 y_1(t) \\ Q_2 y_2(t) \\ Q_3 y_3(t) \\ Q_4 y_4(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha} T_1 y_1(t) \\ \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i \sigma_i^\alpha} T_2 y_2(t) \\ \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma}} T_3 y_3(t) \\ \frac{\beta - \delta}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \eta_i^{\beta-\delta}} T_4 y_4(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and the operator  $K_P : \text{Im}L \rightarrow \text{dom}L \cap \ker P$  can be written as

$$K_P \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{P_1} y_1 \\ K_{P_2} y_2 \\ K_{P_3} y_3 \\ K_{P_4} y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{0+}^\alpha y_1 \\ I_{0+}^\alpha y_2 \\ I_{0+}^\beta y_3 \\ I_{0+}^\beta y_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $K_P$  is the inverse of  $L|_{\text{dom}L \cap \ker P}$ .

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** We prove that  $L$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero.

(I) Since Lemma 3.1, we know

$$\ker L = \{(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T = (c_{11}t^{\alpha-1}, c_{12}t^{\alpha-1}, c_{21}t^{\beta-1}, c_{22}t^{\beta-1})^T, \\ c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22} \in \mathbf{R}, t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

By  $u(t) = c_{11}t^{\alpha-1}$  and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0) = c_{11}\Gamma(\alpha).$$

So

$$c_{11} = \frac{D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

Likewise,

$$c_{12} = \frac{D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}v(0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad c_{21} = \frac{D_{0+}^{\beta-1}w_1(0)}{\Gamma(\beta)}, \quad c_{22} = \frac{D_{0+}^{\beta-1}w_2(0)}{\Gamma(\beta)}.$$

So  $\text{Im}P = \ker L$ .

We show that  $P^2(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T = P(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T$  in the follows. In fact, by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} &= P \left( P \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \right) = P \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}t^{\alpha-1} \\ c_{12}t^{\alpha-1} \\ c_{21}t^{\beta-1} \\ c_{22}t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}(c_{11}t^{\alpha-1})|_{t=0}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \frac{D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}(c_{12}t^{\alpha-1})|_{t=0}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \frac{D_{0+}^{\beta-1}(c_{21}t^{\beta-1})|_{t=0}}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} \\ \frac{D_{0+}^{\beta-1}(c_{22}t^{\beta-1})|_{t=0}}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c_{11}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \frac{c_{12}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \frac{c_{21}\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} \\ \frac{c_{22}\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}t^{\alpha-1} \\ c_{12}t^{\alpha-1} \\ c_{21}t^{\beta-1} \\ c_{22}t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= P \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $P$  is the linear continuous projector operator. So, we have  $X = \ker L \oplus \ker P$ .

(II) For  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in Y$ , we prove  $Q^2\mathbf{y} = Q\mathbf{y}$ , that is,  $Q_i^2y_i = Q_iy_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^2y_1(t) &= Q_1(Q_1y_1(t)) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha} T_1(Q_1y_1(t)) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha} \left( \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} Q_1y_1(t) ds - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \int_0^{\epsilon_i} (\epsilon_i - s)^{\alpha-1} Q_1y_1(t) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha} \left( \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \int_0^{\epsilon_i} (\epsilon_i - s)^{\alpha-1} ds \right) Q_1 y_1(t) \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha}{\alpha} \right) Q_1 y_1(t) \\
&= Q_1 y_1(t). \\
Q_3^2 y_3(t) &= Q_3(Q_3 y_3(t)) \\
&= \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma}} T_3(Q_3 y_3(t)) \\
&= \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma}} \left( \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} Q_3 y_3(t) ds - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} Q_3 y_3(t) ds \right) \\
&= \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma}} \left( \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} ds - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)^{\beta-\gamma-1} ds \right) Q_3 y_3(t) \\
&= \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma}} \left( \frac{1}{\beta - \gamma} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_i^{\beta-\gamma}}{\beta - \gamma} \right) Q_3 y_3(t) \\
&= Q_3 y_3(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$Q_2^2 y_2(t) = Q_2 y_2(t), \quad Q_4^2 y_4(t) = Q_4 y_4(t).$$

So

$$Q^2 \mathbf{y} = Q \mathbf{y}.$$

From the definition of  $Q$  and (3.2), we can easily get that

$$\ker Q = \text{Im} L.$$

So, we have

$$Y = \text{Im} L \oplus \text{Im} Q.$$

Thus

$$\dim \ker L = \dim \text{Im} Q = \text{codim} \text{Im} L = 4.$$

This means that  $L$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero.

**Step 2.** We prove that the inverse of  $L|_{\text{dom} L \cap \ker P}$  is  $K_P$ .

For  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)^T \in \text{Im} L$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)^T$ , let  $\mathbf{z} = K_P \mathbf{y}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfy  $z_i = K_{P_i} y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $\mathbf{z} \in \text{dom} L \cap \ker P$ . Since  $L K_P \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}$ , we get  $L \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}$ . By (2.2), we know

$$(D_{0+}^\alpha z_1(t), D_{0+}^\alpha z_2(t), D_{0+}^\beta z_3(t), D_{0+}^\beta z_4(t))^T = (y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t), y_4(t))^T.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{cases} z_j(t) = I_{0+}^{\alpha} y_j(t) + c_{1j} t^{\alpha-1} + e_{1j} t^{\alpha-2}, & c_{1j}, e_{1j} \in \mathbf{R}, j = 1, 2, \\ z_k(t) = I_{0+}^{\beta} y_k(t) + c_{1k} t^{\beta-1} + e_{1k} t^{\beta-2}, & c_{1k}, e_{1k} \in \mathbf{R}, k = 3, 4. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

By  $\mathbf{z} \in \text{dom}L$ , we know  $z_i(0) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . So,

$$e_{1j} = e_{1k} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2; k = 3, 4. \quad (3.4)$$

By  $\mathbf{z} \in \text{ker}P$ , we know

$$\frac{D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} z_j(0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} = 0, \quad \frac{D_{0+}^{\beta-1} z_k(0)}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2; k = 3, 4, t \in [0, 1].$$

It follows from (3.3)–(3.4) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} I_{0+}^{\alpha} y_j(0) + c_{1j} \Gamma(\alpha) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{\beta-1} I_{0+}^{\beta} y_k(0) + c_{1k} \Gamma(\beta) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2; k = 3, 4.$$

We get

$$c_{1j} = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} I_{0+}^1 y_j(0) = 0, \quad c_{1k} = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} I_{0+}^1 y_k(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2; k = 3, 4. \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (3.3)–(3.5) that

$$(z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t), z_4(t))^T = (I_{0+}^{\alpha} y_1(t), I_{0+}^{\alpha} y_2(t), I_{0+}^{\beta} y_3(t), I_{0+}^{\beta} y_4(t))^T.$$

That is,

$$K_P \mathbf{y} = (I_{0+}^{\alpha} y_1, I_{0+}^{\alpha} y_2, I_{0+}^{\beta} y_3, I_{0+}^{\beta} y_4)^T.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is completed.

**Lemma 3.3** *Suppose that (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) hold. If  $\Omega \subset X$  is an open bounded subset and  $\text{dom}L \cap \bar{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $N$  is  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .*

*Proof.* By the condition (H<sub>2</sub>), the continuity of  $\phi_{q_1}$ ,  $\phi_{q_2}$  and the definition of  $Q$ , we can know that  $QN(\bar{\Omega})$  is bounded. Now we show that  $K_P(I - Q)N : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$  is compact. For this, we prove firstly: (i)  $K_P(I - Q)N(\bar{\Omega})$  is uniformly bounded; (ii)  $K_P(I - Q)N(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} K_P(I_0 - Q_j)N_j(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $D_{0+}^{\beta-1} K_P(I_0 - Q_k)N_k(\bar{\Omega})$  are equicontinuous on  $[0, 1]$ , where  $I_0 : L[0, 1] \rightarrow L[0, 1]$  is a identity mapping,  $j = 1, 2, k = 3, 4$ .

(i) The condition (H<sub>2</sub>) and the continuity of  $\phi_{q_1}$ ,  $\phi_{q_2}$  mean that there exist constant  $M_i > 0$  such that

$$|(I_0 - Q_i)N_i \mathbf{x}| \leq M_i, \quad t \in [0, 1], \mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

For  $\mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_P(I - Q)N \mathbf{x}(t) \\ &= (I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_1)N_1 \mathbf{x}(t), I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_2)N_2 \mathbf{x}(t), I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_3)N_3 \mathbf{x}(t), I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_4)N_4 \mathbf{x}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

And we can know

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j \mathbf{x}(t)| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (I_0 - Q_j)N_j \mathbf{x}(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M_j}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \right| \\ &= \frac{M_j}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(t)| \leq \int_0^t |(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(s)|ds \leq M_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.7)$$

$$|I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_j)N_k\mathbf{x}(t)| \leq \frac{M_k}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}, \quad |D_{0+}^{\beta-1}I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_k)N_k\mathbf{x}(t)| \leq M_k, \quad k = 3, 4. \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.6)–(3.8), we get

$$\|K_P(I - Q)N\mathbf{x}\|_X \leq M,$$

where  $M = \max \left\{ M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, \frac{M_1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \frac{M_2}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \frac{M_3}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}, \frac{M_4}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \right\}$ . That is,  $K_P(I - Q)N(\bar{\Omega})$  is uniformly bounded.

(ii) For  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_P(I - Q)N\mathbf{x}(t_2) - K_P(I - Q)N\mathbf{x}(t_1) \\ &= (I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_1)N_1\mathbf{x}(t_2) - I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_1)N_1\mathbf{x}(t_1), \\ & \quad I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_2)N_2\mathbf{x}(t_2) - I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_2)N_2\mathbf{x}(t_1), \\ & \quad I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_3)N_3\mathbf{x}(t_2) - I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_3)N_3\mathbf{x}(t_1), \\ & \quad I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_4)N_4\mathbf{x}(t_2) - I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_4)N_4\mathbf{x}(t_1)), \\ & |I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(t_2) - I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(t_1)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(s)ds - \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(s)ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M_j}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} |t_2^{\alpha} - t_1^{\alpha} + 2(t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha}|, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(t_2) - D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}I_{0+}^{\alpha}(I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(t_1)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{t_2} (I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(s)ds - \int_0^{t_1} (I_0 - Q_j)N_j\mathbf{x}(s)ds \right| \\ &\leq M_j(t_2 - t_1), \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_k)N_k\mathbf{x}(t_2) - I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_k)N_k\mathbf{x}(t_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{M_k}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} |t_2^{\beta} - t_1^{\beta} + 2(t_2 - t_1)^{\beta}|, \\ & |D_{0+}^{\beta-1}I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_k)N_k\mathbf{x}(t_2) - D_{0+}^{\beta-1}I_{0+}^{\beta}(I_0 - Q_k)N_k\mathbf{x}(t_1)| \\ &\leq M_k(t_2 - t_1) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 3, 4$ .

Since  $t^{\alpha}$ ,  $t^{\beta}$  are uniformly continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , we can obtain that  $K_P(I - Q)N(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}K_P(I_0 - Q_j)N_j(\bar{\Omega})$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and  $D_{0+}^{\beta-1}K_P(I_0 - Q_k)N_k(\bar{\Omega})$  ( $k = 3, 4$ ) are equicontinuous on  $[0, 1]$ .

Applying the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we get  $K_P(I - Q)N : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$  is compact. So,  $N$  is  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . The proof of Lemma 3.3 is completed.

To obtain our main results, we need the following conditions.

(H<sub>3</sub>) There exist functions  $\zeta_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i, h_i, g_i \in L[0, 1], i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$|f_i(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| \leq \zeta_i(t) + \psi_i(t)|x_1|^{p_1-1} + \varphi_i(t)|x_2|^{p_2-1} + h_i(t)|x_3|^{p_1-1} + g_i(t)|x_4|^{p_2-1}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1], (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{R}^4$ , where  $\psi_i, \varphi_i, h_i, g_i, i = 1, 2$ , satisfying

$$l := \frac{1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1}}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}} \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1-1} \|\psi_1\|_1 + \Gamma(\beta+1) \|h_1\|_1 < \Gamma(\beta+1),$$

$$k := \frac{1 + \eta_m^{\beta-1}}{\eta_m^{\beta-1}} \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_2-1} \|\varphi_2\|_1 + \Gamma(\beta+1) \|g_2\|_1 < \Gamma(\beta+1),$$

$$0 \leq \frac{(1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1})(1 + \eta_m^{\beta-1}) \|\varphi_1\|_1 \|\psi_2\|_1}{(\Gamma(\beta+1) - l)(\Gamma(\beta+1) - k) \xi_n^{\beta-1} \eta_m^{\beta-1}} \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1+p_2-2} < 1, \quad (3.9a)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{\Gamma^2(\beta)(1 + \beta \xi_n^{\beta-1})(1 + \beta \eta_m^{\beta-1}) \|\varphi_1\|_1 \|\psi_2\|_1}{(\Gamma(\beta+1) - l)(\Gamma(\beta+1) - k) \xi_n^{\beta-1} \eta_m^{\beta-1}} \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1+p_2-2} < 1. \quad (3.9b)$$

(H<sub>4</sub>) For  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{dom}L$ , there exist constants  $R_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that if at least one of the inequations

(1)  $|u(t)| > R_1, t \in [\epsilon_{n_1}, 1];$

(2)  $|w_1(t)| > R_3, t \in [\xi_n, 1];$

(3)  $|v(t)| > R_2, t \in [\sigma_{m_1}, 1];$

(4)  $|w_2(t)| > R_4, t \in [\eta_m, 1]$

holds, then at least one of the following inequations holds:

$$T_1 N_1 \mathbf{x}(t) \neq 0, \quad T_3 N_3 \mathbf{x}(t) \neq 0, \quad T_2 N_2 \mathbf{x}(t) \neq 0, \quad T_4 N_4 \mathbf{x}(t) \neq 0.$$

(H<sub>5</sub>) For  $\mathbf{x} = (c_1 t^{\alpha-1}, c_2 t^{\alpha-1}, c_3 t^{\beta-1}, c_4 t^{\beta-1})^T \in \text{ker}L$ , there exist constants  $e_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that either

(1)  $c_i T_i N_i \mathbf{x} > 0$  if  $|c_i| > e_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,

or

(2)  $c_i T_i N_i \mathbf{x} < 0$ , if  $|c_i| > e_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

holds.

**Lemma 3.4** Suppose that (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>4</sub>) hold. Then the set

$$\Omega_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \text{dom}L \setminus \text{ker}L \mid L\mathbf{x} = \lambda N\mathbf{x}, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$$

is bounded in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Take

$$\mathbf{x} = (u, v, w_1, w_2)^T \in \Omega_1.$$

By  $L\mathbf{x} = \lambda N\mathbf{x}$ , Lemma 2.3 and  $(u(0), v(0), w_1(0), w_2(0))^T = (0, 0, 0, 0)^T$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_{0+}^\alpha \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)) + c_{11} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \lambda I_{0+}^\alpha \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t)) + c_{12} t^{\alpha-1} \\ \lambda I_{0+}^\beta f_1(t, u(t), v(t), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t))) + c_{21} t^{\beta-1} \\ \lambda I_{0+}^\beta f_2(t, u(t), v(t), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t))) + c_{22} t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22} \in \mathbf{R}, t \in [0, 1].$$

By  $N\mathbf{x} \in \text{Im}L$ , we get

$$T_i N_i \mathbf{x} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

These, together with (H<sub>4</sub>), mean that there exist constants  $t_{11} \in [\epsilon_{n_1}, 1]$ ,  $t_1 \in [\xi_n, 1]$ ,  $t_{22} \in [\sigma_{m_1}, 1]$ ,  $t_2 \in [\eta_m, 1]$  such that

$$|u(t_{11})| \leq R_1, \quad |w_1(t_1)| \leq R_3, \quad |v(t_{22})| \leq R_2, \quad |w_2(t_2)| \leq R_4.$$

By (3.10), we have

$$|c_{21}|t_1^{\beta-1} \leq R_3 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} |f_1(s, u(s), v(s), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(s)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(s)))| ds, \quad (3.11)$$

$$|c_{22}|t_2^{\beta-1} \leq R_4 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} |f_2(s, u(s), v(s), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(s)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(s)))| ds. \quad (3.12)$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &= |u(t_{11}) + I_{t_{11}^+}^\alpha D_{0^+}^\alpha u(t)| \\ &\leq |u(t_{11})| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_{11}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |D_{0^+}^\alpha u(s)| ds \\ &\leq R_1 + \frac{\|D_{0^+}^\alpha u\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq R_1 + \frac{\|D_{0^+}^\alpha u\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq R_2 + \frac{\|D_{0^+}^\alpha v\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}. \quad (3.14)$$

By (3.10)–(3.12), we know

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1(t)| &\leq |\lambda I_{0^+}^\beta f_1(t, u(t), v(t), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(t)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(t)))| + |c_{21}|t^{\beta-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} |f_1(s, u(s), v(s), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(s)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(s)))| ds \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{t}{t_1}\right)^{\beta-1} |c_{21}|t_1^{\beta-1} \\ &\leq \frac{R_3}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}}\right) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} [\zeta_1(s) + \psi_1(s)|u(s)|^{p_1-1} \\ &\quad + \varphi_1(s)|v(s)|^{p_2-1} + h_1(s)|\phi_{q_1}(w_1(s))|^{p_1-1} + g_1(s)|\phi_{q_2}(w_2(s))|^{p_2-1}] ds \\ &\leq \frac{R_3}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)\xi_n^{\beta-1}} (\|\zeta_1\|_1 + \|\psi_1\|_1 \|u\|_\infty^{p_1-1} + \|\varphi_1\|_1 \|v\|_\infty^{p_2-1} \\ &\quad + \|h_1\|_1 \|w_1\|_\infty + \|g_1\|_1 \|w_2\|_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

which together with

$$|\phi_p(x+y)| \leq 2^{p-1}(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}), \quad x, y > 0$$

(see [20]) and (3.13)–(3.14), we get

$$\|w_1\|_\infty \leq \frac{R_3}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)\xi_n^{\beta-1}} \left[ \|\zeta_1\|_1 + \|\psi_1\|_1 \left( R_1 + \frac{\|\phi_{q_1}(w_1)\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1-1} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|\varphi_1\|_1 \left[ R_2 + \frac{\|\phi_{q_2}(w_2)\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right]^{p_2-1} + \|h_1\|_1 \|w_1\|_\infty + \|g_1\|_1 \|w_2\|_\infty \Big] \\
\leq & \frac{R_3}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)\xi_n^{\beta-1}} \left[ \|\zeta_1\|_1 + 2^{p_1-1} \|\psi_1\|_1 \left( R_1^{p_1-1} + \left( \frac{\|\phi_{q_1}(w_1)\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1-1} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + 2^{p_2-1} \|\varphi_1\|_1 \left( R_2^{p_2-1} + \left( \frac{\|\phi_{q_2}(w_2)\|_\infty}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_2-1} \right) + \|h_1\|_1 \|w_1\|_\infty + \|g_1\|_1 \|w_2\|_\infty \right].
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_1\|_\infty \leq & \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)-l} \left\{ \frac{R_3}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)\xi_n^{\beta-1}} \left[ \|\zeta_1\|_1 + 2^{p_1-1} \|\psi_1\|_1 R_1^{p_1-1} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2^{p_2-1} \|\varphi_1\|_1 R_2^{p_2-1} + \left( \|g_1\|_1 + \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_2-1} \|\varphi_1\|_1 \right) \|w_2\|_\infty \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_2\|_\infty \leq & \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)-k} \left\{ \frac{R_4}{\eta_m^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1 + \eta_m^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)\eta_m^{\beta-1}} \left[ \|\zeta_2\|_1 + 2^{p_1-1} \|\psi_2\|_1 R_1^{p_1-1} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2^{p_2-1} \|\varphi_2\|_1 R_2^{p_2-1} + \left( \|h_2\|_1 + \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1-1} \|\psi_2\|_1 \right) \|w_1\|_\infty \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.9a), we can see that there exist constants  $\bar{M}_1, \bar{M}_2 > 0$  such that

$$\|w_1\|_\infty \leq \bar{M}_1, \quad \|w_2\|_\infty \leq \bar{M}_2. \quad (3.15)$$

So

$$\|D_{0+}^\alpha u\|_\infty = \|\phi_{q_1}(w_1)\|_\infty \leq \phi_{q_1}(\bar{M}_1), \quad \|D_{0+}^\alpha v\|_\infty = \|\phi_{q_2}(w_2)\|_\infty \leq \phi_{q_2}(\bar{M}_2).$$

Combing (3.13) with (3.14), we get

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq R_1 + \frac{\phi_{q_1}(\bar{M}_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad \|v\|_\infty \leq R_2 + \frac{\phi_{q_2}(\bar{M}_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}. \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, by (3.10), we have

$$|c_{11}|t_{11}^{\alpha-1} \leq R_1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} |\phi_{q_1}(w_1(s))| ds.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u(t)| & = \left| \lambda \int_0^1 \phi_{q_1}(w_1(s)) ds + c_{11} \Gamma(\alpha) \right| \\
& \leq \int_0^1 |\phi_{q_1}(w_1(s))| ds + \left( \frac{1}{t_{11}} \right)^{\alpha-1} |c_{11}| t_{11}^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha) \\
& \leq \int_0^1 |\phi_{q_1}(w_1(s))| ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}} R_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} |\phi_{q_1}(w_1(s))| ds \\
& \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha \epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}} \right) \|\phi_{q_1}(w_1)\|_\infty + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}} R_1 \\
& \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha \epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}} \right) \phi_{q_1}(\bar{M}_1) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}} R_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} v(t)| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha \sigma_{m_1}^{\alpha-1}} \right) \phi_{q_2}(\bar{M}_2) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\epsilon_{m_1}^{\alpha-1}} R_2.$$

That is,

$$\|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u\|_\infty \leq \bar{M}_3, \quad \|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} v\|_\infty \leq \bar{M}_4, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $\bar{M}_3 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha \epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}}\right) \phi_{q_1}(\bar{M}_1) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\epsilon_{n_1}^{\alpha-1}} R_1$ ,  $\bar{M}_4 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha \sigma_{m_1}^{\alpha-1}}\right) \phi_{q_2}(\bar{M}_2) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\epsilon_{m_1}^{\alpha-1}} R_2$ .

Since

$$D_{0+}^{\beta-1} w_1(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 f_1(s, u(s), v(s), \phi_{q_1}(w_1(s)), \phi_{q_2}(w_2(s))) ds + c_{21} \Gamma(\beta),$$

likewise (3.15) and (3.17) obtained, and the condition (3.9b), we can know there exist constants  $\bar{M}_5, \bar{M}_6 > 0$  such that

$$\|D_{0+}^{\beta-1} w_1\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{M}_5, \quad \|D_{0+}^{\beta-1} w_2\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{M}_6. \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.15)–(3.18), we have

$$\|(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T\|_X = \max\{\|u\|_{\alpha}, \|v\|_{\alpha}, \|w_1\|_{\beta}, \|w_2\|_{\beta}\} \leq r_1,$$

where

$$r_1 = \max\left\{\bar{M}_1, \bar{M}_2, \bar{M}_3, \bar{M}_4, \bar{M}_5, \bar{M}_6, R_1 + \frac{\phi_{q_1}(\bar{M}_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, R_2 + \frac{\phi_{q_2}(\bar{M}_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right\}.$$

Therefore,  $\Omega_1$  is bounded. The proof of Lemma 3.4 is completed.

**Lemma 3.5** *Suppose that (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>) and (H<sub>5</sub>) hold. Then the set*

$$\Omega_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \ker L \mid N\mathbf{x} \in \text{Im}L\}$$

*is bounded in X.*

*Proof.* For  $\mathbf{x} = (u, v, w_1, w_2)^T \in \Omega_2$ , we have

$$\mathbf{x} = (c_1 t^{\alpha-1}, c_2 t^{\alpha-1}, c_3 t^{\beta-1}, c_4 t^{\beta-1})^T, \quad c_i \in \mathbf{R}, t \in [0, 1], i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

By  $N\mathbf{x} \in \text{Im}L$ , we know

$$T_i N_i \mathbf{x} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

By (H<sub>5</sub>), we know there exist constants  $e_i > 0$  such that

$$|c_i| \leq e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

So

$$|u(t)| = |c_1 t^{\alpha-1}| \leq |c_1| \leq e_1,$$

that is,

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \leq e_1.$$

Likewise,

$$\|v\|_{\infty} \leq e_2, \quad \|w_1\|_{\infty} \leq e_3, \quad \|w_2\|_{\infty} \leq e_4.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we can get

$$|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u(t)| = |c_1 \Gamma(\alpha)| \leq e_1 \Gamma(\alpha),$$

that is,

$$\|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u\|_{\infty} \leq e_1 \Gamma(\alpha).$$

Likewise,

$$\|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} v\|_{\infty} \leq e_2 \Gamma(\alpha), \quad \|D_{0+}^{\beta-1} w_1\|_{\infty} \leq e_3 \Gamma(\beta), \quad \|D_{0+}^{\beta-1} w_2\|_{\infty} \leq e_4 \Gamma(\beta).$$

Thus

$$\|(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T\|_X = \max\{\|u\|_{\alpha}, \|v\|_{\alpha}, \|w_1\|_{\beta}, \|w_2\|_{\beta}\} \leq r_2,$$

where

$$r_2 = \max\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_1 \Gamma(\alpha), e_2 \Gamma(\alpha), e_3 \Gamma(\beta), e_4 \Gamma(\beta)\}.$$

Therefore,  $\Omega_2$  is bounded. The proof of Lemma 3.5 is completed.

**Lemma 3.6** Suppose that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_5)$  hold. Then the set

$$\Omega_3 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \ker L \mid \lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\theta JQN\mathbf{x} = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$$

is bounded in  $X$ , where  $J : \text{Im}Q \rightarrow \ker L$  is a isomorphism given by

$$J \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} A_i \epsilon_i^\alpha} e_1 \\ \frac{\alpha}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} B_i \sigma_i^\alpha} e_2 \\ \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \zeta_i^{\beta - \gamma}} e_3 \\ \frac{\beta - \delta}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \eta_i^{\beta - \delta}} e_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 t^{\alpha-1} \\ e_2 t^{\alpha-1} \\ e_3 t^{\beta-1} \\ e_4 t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad e_i \in \mathbf{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (H_5)(1) \text{ holds;} \\ -1, & \text{if } (H_5)(2) \text{ holds.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $\mathbf{x} = (u, v, w_1, w_2)^T \in \ker L$ ,  $(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T = (c_1 t^{\alpha-1}, c_2 t^{\alpha-1}, c_3 t^{\beta-1}, c_4 t^{\beta-1})^T$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . There exists  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} = -(1 - \lambda)\theta JQN\mathbf{x},$$

that is,

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} c_1 t^{\alpha-1} \\ c_2 t^{\alpha-1} \\ c_3 t^{\beta-1} \\ c_4 t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix} = -(1 - \lambda)\theta \begin{pmatrix} T_1 N_1 \mathbf{x} t^{\alpha-1} \\ T_2 N_2 \mathbf{x} t^{\alpha-1} \\ T_3 N_3 \mathbf{x} t^{\beta-1} \\ T_4 N_4 \mathbf{x} t^{\beta-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get

$$\lambda c_i = -(1 - \lambda)\theta T_i N_i \mathbf{x}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

If  $\lambda = 0$ , by  $(H_5)$ , we get

$$|c_i| \leq e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ , we get

$$c_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

For  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , one has

$$|c_1| > e_1, \quad |c_2| > e_2, \quad |c_3| > e_3, \quad |c_4| > e_4. \quad (3.19)$$

If at least one of the inequalities in (3.19) holds, we have that at least one of the following inequations holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda c_1^2 &= -(1 - \lambda)\theta c_1 T_1 N_1 \mathbf{x} < 0, \\ \lambda c_2^2 &= -(1 - \lambda)\theta c_2 T_2 N_2 \mathbf{x} < 0, \\ \lambda c_3^2 &= -(1 - \lambda)\theta c_3 T_3 N_3 \mathbf{x} < 0, \\ \lambda c_4^2 &= -(1 - \lambda)\theta c_4 T_4 N_4 \mathbf{x} < 0, \end{aligned}$$

this is a contradiction. So, for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we get

$$|c_i| \leq e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5, we can get

$$\|(u, v, w_1, w_2)^T\| \leq r_2.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $\Omega_3$  is bounded. The proof of Lemma 3.6 is completed.

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose that (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>5</sub>) hold. Then the problem (1.1) has at least one solution in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Set

$$\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in X \mid \|\mathbf{x}\|_X < r_1 + r_2 + 1\}.$$

Obviously,  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $X$  and  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3 \subset \Omega$ . It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 that  $L$  (defined by (2.2)) is a Fredholm operator of index zero and  $N$  (defined by (2.3)) is  $L$ -compact on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we get that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $L\mathbf{x} \neq \lambda N\mathbf{x}$  for every  $(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom}L \setminus \ker L) \cap \partial\Omega] \times (0, 1)$ ;
- (2)  $N\mathbf{x} \notin \text{Im}L$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \ker L \cap \partial\Omega$ .

Next, we need only to prove

- (3)  $\deg(JQN|_{\ker L}, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0) \neq 0$ .

Take

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \lambda\mathbf{x} + \theta(1 - \lambda)JQN\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in (\text{dom}L \setminus \ker L) \cap \partial\Omega, \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

According to Lemma 3.6, we know

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega \cap \ker L.$$

By the homotopy of degree, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg(JQN|_{\ker L}, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0) \\ &= \deg(\theta H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap \ker L, 0) \\ &= \deg(\theta H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap \ker L, 0) \\ &= \deg(\theta I, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0) \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we can get that  $L\mathbf{x} = N\mathbf{x}$  has at least one solution on  $\text{dom}L \cap \bar{\Omega}$ . That is, (2.1) has at least one solution in  $X$ . Then we know (1.1) has at least one solution in  $X$ . The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

## 4 Example

Let us consider the following coupled system of fractional  $p$ -Laplacian differential equations at resonance

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi_3(D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(t)) = f_1(t, u(t), v(t), D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t), D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} v(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi_2(D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} v(t)) = f_2(t, u(t), v(t), D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t), D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} v(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \frac{2}{3} u\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) + 2u\left(\frac{1}{81}\right), \\ D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_3(D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(1)) = D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_3\left(D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right), \\ v(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha} v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} v\left(\frac{1}{9}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} v\left(\frac{1}{25}\right), \\ D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{4}} \phi_2(D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} v(1)) = \sqrt{3} D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{4}} \phi_2\left(D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{4}} v\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)\right), \end{array} \right. \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$f_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = t^3 \cos(x_1 x_2) + \frac{1}{32} e^{-(1-t)} x_1^2 + \frac{t}{64} \sin x_2 + \frac{t}{6} x_3^2 + \frac{t}{3} x_4,$$

$$f_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \sqrt{t} \sin(x_1 x_2) + \frac{1}{16} \cos t \sin(x_1^2) + \frac{1}{32} e^{-(1-t)} x_2 + \frac{t}{4} x_3^2 + \frac{t}{6} x_4.$$

Corresponding to BVP (1.1), we have that  $m = n = 1$ ,  $m_1 = 3$ ,  $n_1 = 2$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{5}{4}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$ ,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \delta = \frac{1}{4}, \epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{16}, \epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{81}, \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{9}, \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{25}, A_1 = \frac{2}{3}, A_2 = 2, B_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3},$$

$$B_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, B_3 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}, \xi_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \eta_1 = \frac{1}{9}, a_1 = 1, b_1 = \sqrt{3}. \text{ Take}$$

$$\zeta_1 = t^3, \quad \psi_1 = \frac{1}{32} e^{-(1-t)}, \quad \varphi_1 = \frac{t}{64}, \quad h_1 = \frac{t}{6}, \quad g_1 = \frac{t}{3},$$

$$\zeta_2 = \sqrt{t}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{1}{16} \cos t, \quad \varphi_2 = \frac{1}{32} e^{-(1-t)}, \quad h_2 = \frac{t}{4}, \quad g_2 = \frac{t}{6}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \frac{1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1}}{\xi_n^{\beta-1}} \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1-1} \|\psi_1\|_1 + \Gamma(\beta+1) \|h_1\|_1 \\ &< 3 \times \left( \frac{2}{1.133} \right)^2 \times \frac{1}{32} + 1.330 \times \frac{1}{6} \\ &\approx 0.434 < 1.329 \approx \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \\ &= \Gamma(\beta+1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \frac{1 + \eta_m^{\beta-1}}{\eta_m^{\beta-1}} \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_2-1} \|\varphi_2\|_1 + \Gamma(\beta+1) \|g_2\|_1 \\ &< 4 \times \left( \frac{2}{1.133} \right) \times \frac{1}{32} + 1.330 \times \frac{1}{6} \approx 0.409 < 1.329 \\ &\approx \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) = \Gamma(\beta+1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{(1 + \xi_n^{\beta-1})(1 + \eta_m^{\beta-1}) \|\varphi_1\|_1 \|\psi_2\|_1}{(\Gamma(\beta+1) - l)(\Gamma(\beta+1) - k) \xi_n^{\beta-1} \eta_m^{\beta-1}} \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right)^{p_1+p_2-2} \approx 0.484 < 1,$$

$$\frac{\Gamma^2(\beta)(1 + \beta\xi_n^{\beta-1})(1 + \beta\eta_m^{\beta-1})\|\varphi_1\|_1\|\psi_2\|_1}{(\Gamma(\beta + 1) - l)(\Gamma(\beta + 1) - k)\xi_n^{\beta-1}\eta_m^{\beta-1}} \left(\frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}\right)^{p_1+p_2-2} \approx 0.012 < 1.$$

By simple calculation, we can get that  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  and  $(H_5)(1)$  hold. By Theorem 3.1, we obtain that the problem (4.1) has at least one solution.

## References

- [1] Lian H, Pang H, Ge W. Solvability for second-order three-point boundary value problems at resonance on a half-line. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2008, **337**: 1171–1181.
- [2] Zhang X, Feng M, Ge W. Existence result of second-order differential equations with integral boundary conditions at resonance. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2009, **353**: 311–319.
- [3] Kosmatov N. Multi-point boundary value problems on an unbounded domain at resonance. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 2008, **68**: 2158–2171.
- [4] Du B, Hu X. A new continuation theorem for the existence of solutions to  $p$ -Laplacian BVP at resonance. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 2009, **208**: 172–176.
- [5] Du Z, Lin X, Ge W. Some higher-order multi-point boundary value problem at resonance. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 2005, **177**: 55–65.
- [6] Xue C, Ge W. The existence of solutions for multi-point boundary value problem at resonance. *Acta Math. Sinica*, 2005, **48**: 281–290.
- [7] Ma R. Existence results of a  $m$ -point boundary value problem at resonance. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2004, **294**: 147–157.
- [8] Liu Y, Ge W. Solvability of nonlocal boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations of higher order. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 2004, **57**: 435–458.
- [9] Lu S, Ge W. On the existence of  $m$ -point boundary value problem at resonance for higher order differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2003, **287**: 522–539.
- [10] Liu B. Solvability of multi-point boundary value problem at resonance (II). *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 2003, **136**: 353–377.
- [11] Igor Podlubny. *Fractional Differential Equations*. New York-London: Academic Press, 1999.
- [12] Miller K S, Ross B. *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*. New York: Wiley, 1993.
- [13] Yang A, Ge W. Positive solutions for boundary value problems of  $N$ -dimension nonlinear fractional differential system. *Bound. Value Probl.*, 2008, 437–453.
- [14] Su X. Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 2009, **22**: 64–69.
- [15] Kosmatov N. A boundary value problem of fractional order at resonance. *Elec. J. Differential Equations*, 2010, **135**(1), 1–10.
- [16] Jiang W H. Solvability for a coupled system of fractional differential equations at resonance. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 2012, **13**: 2285–2292.
- [17] Leibenson L S. General Problem of the Movement of a Compressible Fluid in a Porous Medium (in Russian). Moskva: Izvestiia Akademii Nauk Kirgizsko SSSR, 1983: 7–10.
- [18] Chen T Y, Liu W B, Hu Z G. A boundary value problem for fractional differential equation with  $p$ -Laplacian operator at resonance. *Nonlinear. Anal.*, 2012, **75**: 3210–3217.
- [19] Mawhin J. Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems. in: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1979.
- [20] Jiang J Q, Liu L S, Wu Y H. Positive solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian fourth-order differential system with integral boundary conditions. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, 2012, Article ID 293734, 19 pages.