COMMUNICATIONS IN MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH **34**(1)(2018), 47–53

One Parameter Deformation of Symmetric Toda Lattice Hierarchy

XIONG ZHEN

(Department of Mathematics and Computer, Yichun University, Yichun, Jiangxi, 336000)

Communicated by Du Xian-kun

Abstract: In this paper, we study one parameter deformation of full symmetric Toda hierarchy. This deformation is induced by Hom-Lie algebras, or is the applications of Hom-Lie algebras. We mainly consider three kinds of deformation, and give solutions to deformations respectively under some conditions.

Key words: Hom-Lie algebra, deformation, symmetric Toda hierrchy

2010 MR subject classification: 17B99, 55U15

Document code: A

Article ID: 1674-5647(2018)01-0047-07 **DOI:** 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2018.01.05

1 Introduction

Consider the following equation given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{B}, \ \mathbf{L}],\tag{1.1}$$

where \boldsymbol{L} is an $n \times n$ symmetric real tridiagonal matrix, and \boldsymbol{B} is the skew symmetric matrix obtained from L by

$$B = L_{>0} - L_{<0}$$

where $L_{>0(<0)}$ denotes the strictly upper (lower) triangular part of L. In order to study the Toda lattice of statistical mechanics, the equation (1.1) was introduced by Flaschka^[1], and this further was studied by Kodama *et al.*^{[2],[3]}.

The notion of Hom-Lie algebras was introduced by Hartwig $et\ al.^{[4]}$ as part of a study of deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras. In a Hom-Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity is twisted by a linear map, called the Hom-Jacobi identity. Some q-deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras have the structure of a Hom-Lie algebra (see [4] and [5]). Because of close relation to discrete and deformed vector fields and differential calculus

Received date: Sept. 9, 2016

Foundation item: The Science and Technology Project (GJJ161029) of Department of Education, Jiangxi Province

E-mail address: 205137@jxycu.edu.cn (Xiong Z).

(see [4], [6] and [7]), more people pay special attention to this algebraic structure and their representations (see [8] and [9]).

We give an application of Hom-Lie algebras. Define a smooth map

$$\beta: R_1 \longrightarrow GL(V), \quad \beta(s) \in GL(V),$$

where R_1 is a subset of \mathbf{R} , $s \in R_1$. In this paper, $R_1 = \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, or $R_1 = \mathbf{R}$.

We mainly consider the following system:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{\beta}(s) \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\beta}(s)^{-1} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{\beta}(s)^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\beta}(s) \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{\beta}(s)^{-1} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\beta}(s)^{-1} = [\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $s \in R_1$, and s is not dependent on variable t. $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\beta(s)}$ is just a Hom-Lie bracket, and $(\mathfrak{gl}(V), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\beta(s)}, \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(s)})$ is a Hom-Lie algebra (see [9]), where $\operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(s)}(\mathbf{L}) = \beta(s)\mathbf{L}\beta(s)^{-1}$.

We study system (1.2) which is based on the following points:

- (1) (1.2) is one parameter deformation of (1.1). Deformation theory is a very important field in singularity theory and bifurcation theory, and has many applications in science and engineering (see [10] and [11]).
 - (2) (1.2) is equivariant under the action of Lie group

$$\{\operatorname{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)} \mid s \in R_1\} : \operatorname{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)} \circ [\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)} = [\operatorname{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)}(\boldsymbol{B}), \operatorname{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)}(\boldsymbol{L})]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)}.$$

This kind of differential equations is very important in equation theory and bifurcation theory (see [10] and [11]).

(3) For a Hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{gl}(V), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\beta(s)}, \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(s)})$, when $\beta(s) = \mathbf{I}_n$, it is just a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{gl}(V), [\cdot, \cdot])$, where \mathbf{I}_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

The general framework is organized as follows: we first introduce the relevant definitions: one parameter deformation, Γ -equivariant and so on; then, we give definitions of $\beta(s)$ and prove that $\{\mathrm{Ad}_{\beta(s)} \mid s \in R_1\}$ is a Lie group. Second, we give three kinds of one parameter deformation of (1.1). Then, we study these deformations respectively and give solutions. At last, some problems are given.

2 Preliminaries

We first give some definitions, one can find these definitions easily in [10] and [11].

Definition 2.1 An equation

$$q(x, s) = 0,$$

where x is an unknown variable, and the equation depends on an parameter $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For a fixed s_0 , let $g_1(x) = g(x, s_0)$. Then we call g(x, s) is one parameter deformation of $g_1(x)$.

Definition 2.2 A smooth map $g: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is Γ -equivariant, if for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, Γ is a Lie group, we have

$$g(\gamma x, s) = \gamma g(x, s),$$

where γx is the Lie group Γ action on \mathbf{R}^n .

Definition 2.3 Let $f, g: X \longrightarrow Y$ be continuous maps. We say that f is homotopic to g if there exists a homotopy of f to g, that is, a map $H: X \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow Y$ such that H(x, 0) = f(x) and H(x, 1) = g(x).

Let

$$G = \{ \mathrm{Ad}_{\beta(s)} \mid s \in R_1 \}.$$

We know that

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)}(\boldsymbol{B}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}(s)\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)^{-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)}^{-1} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s)^{-1}}, \qquad \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s_1)} \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s_2)} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(s_1)\boldsymbol{\beta}(s_2)}.$$

So, G is a Lie group.

Proposition 2.1 A map $F_1 : \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow G$ is given by $\mathbf{F}_1(r) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(r)}$, where $\beta(r) = r\mathbf{I}_n$. Then \mathbf{F}_1 is a homomorphism from a Lie group $(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}, \times)$ to a Lie group G.

Proof. It is obvious that (R_1, \times) is a Lie group. We have

$$F_1(r_1r_2) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(r_1r_2)} = \operatorname{Ad}_{r_1r_2I_n} = \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(r_1)} \circ \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(r_2)} = F_1(r_1) \circ F_1(r_2).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{F}_1(1) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{I}_n} = \mathbf{I}_n.$$

Proposition 2.2 A map $F_2: \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow G$ is given by $\mathbf{F}_2(\theta) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)}$, where

$$\beta(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then \mathbf{F}_2 is a homomorphism from a Lie group $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ to a Lie group G.

Proof. It is obvious that $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ is a Lie group. We have

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}_{n-2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) & -\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\\ 0 & \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) & \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta_1)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta_2).$$

The following is correct:

$$F_2(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} = \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(\theta_1)\beta(\theta_2)} = \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(\theta_1)} \circ \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(\theta_2)} = F_2(\theta_1) \circ F_2(\theta_2),$$

 $F_2(0) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\beta(0)} = I_n.$

The proof is completed.

Remark 2.1 Define $H:SO(n)\times [0,1]\longrightarrow SO(n)$ by

$$\mathbf{H}(\theta, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(s\theta) & -\sin(s\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(s\theta) & \cos(s\theta) \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\beta(\theta)$ is homotopic to I_n . Similarly, when

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_1(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{I}_{n-2} & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $\beta_1(\theta)$ is homotopic to I_n . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\cos\theta & 0 & -\sin\theta \\
0 & \mathbf{I}_{n-2} & 0 \\
\sin\theta & 0 & \cos\theta
\end{pmatrix}$$

is homotopic to

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, we just study

$$\beta(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we let

$$\beta(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cosh(\lambda) & \sinh(\lambda)\\ 0 & \sinh(\lambda) & \cosh(\lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\cosh(\lambda) = \frac{e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}}{2}, \qquad \sinh(\lambda) = \frac{e^{\lambda} - e^{-\lambda}}{2}.$$

We have

$$\cosh^{2}(\lambda) - \sinh^{2}(\lambda) = 1,$$

$$2 \sinh(\lambda) \cosh(\lambda) = \sinh(2\lambda),$$

$$\cosh^{2}(\lambda) + \sinh^{2}(\lambda) = \cosh(2\lambda),$$

$$\beta(\lambda)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(\lambda) & -\sinh(\lambda) \\ 0 & -\sinh(\lambda) & \cosh(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, by a direct calculation, we also have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda_1) & \sinh(\lambda_1) \\ \sinh(\lambda_1) & \cosh(\lambda_1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda_2) & \sinh(\lambda_2) \\ \sinh(\lambda_2) & \cosh(\lambda_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & \sinh(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \\ \sinh(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & \cosh(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 2.3 With above notations, a map $F_3 : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow G$ is given by $\mathbf{F}_3(\lambda) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\beta(\lambda)}$. Then \mathbf{F}_3 is a homomorphism from a Lie group $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ to a Lie group G.

Proof. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\mathbf{F}_3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\lambda_1)\boldsymbol{\beta}(\lambda_2)} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\lambda_1)} \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\lambda_2)} = \mathbf{F}_3(\lambda_1) \circ \mathbf{F}_3(\lambda_2).$$
 At the same time, we have

$$\mathbf{F}_3(0) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{B}(0)} = \mathbf{I}_n.$$

Remark 2.2 We can also define $H: SO(n) \times [0,1] \longrightarrow SO(n)$ by

$$\mathbf{H}(\lambda, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(s\lambda) & \sinh(s\lambda) \\ 0 & \sinh(s\lambda) & \cosh(s\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\beta(\lambda)$ is homotopic to I_n .

3 Main Results

3.1 Case of $\beta(r)$

In this case, (1.2) has the following form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} = [\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(r)} = \frac{1}{r} (\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{B}). \tag{3.1}$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 System (3.1) is integrable. If \mathbf{L} is a solution of system (1.1), then $\frac{1}{r}\mathbf{L}$ is a solution of system (3.1).

So, in this case, deformation system (3.1) does not change properties of the solution of system (1.1).

3.2 Case of $\beta(\theta)$

We just consider n=2. Let

$$\boldsymbol{L} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & c \\ c & b \end{array} \right),$$

where a, b, c are functions which are independent of variable t. Then system (1.1) can be written as the following form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{L} = [\mathbf{B}, \ \mathbf{L}] = \begin{pmatrix} 2c^2 & bc - ac \\ bc - ac & -2c^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

System (1.2) has the following form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{L} = [\mathbf{B}, \ \mathbf{L}]_{\beta(\theta)}$$

$$= \cos\theta \cos(2\theta) \begin{pmatrix} 2c^2 & bc - ac \\ bc - ac & -2c^2 \end{pmatrix} + 2\sin\theta \cos^2\theta \begin{pmatrix} ac - bc & 2c^2 \\ 2c^2 & bc - ac \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

By a direct calculation, we have the following results.

Proposition 3.1 In this case, we have

$$tr([\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)}) = 0,$$

$$[\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)}^{\mathsf{T}} = [\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)},$$

$$[\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta+\pi)} = -[\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)}.$$

Theorem 3.2 System (3.2) is integrable. If $\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution of system (1.1), then

$$\cos\theta\cos(2\theta)\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & c \\ c & b \end{array}\right) + 2\sin\theta\cos^2\theta\left(\begin{array}{cc} -c & a \\ a & c \end{array}\right) + constant \ matrix$$

is a solution of system (3.2).

Remark 3.1 When $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the solution of system (3.2) is a constant matrix. So, in this case, parameter θ has changed properties of the solution of system (1.1).

3.3 Case of $\beta(\lambda)$

In this case, we just consider n = 2. By a direct calculation, the system (1.2) has the following form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{L} = [\mathbf{B}, \ \mathbf{L}]_{\beta(\lambda)}$$

$$= \cosh(\lambda) \cosh(2\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 2c^2 & bc - ac \\ bc - ac & -2c^2 \end{pmatrix} + \cosh(\lambda) \sinh(2\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} bc - ac & -2c^2 \\ 2c^2 & bc - ac \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ 2 \sinh(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} -\cosh(2\lambda)bc & \sinh(2\lambda)ac \\ -\sinh(2\lambda)bc & \cosh(2\lambda)ac \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.3)

Then, we have the following facts.

Proposition 3.2

$$\operatorname{tr}([\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\lambda)}) = 2(bc - ac) \sinh(\lambda),$$

$$\operatorname{tr}([\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\lambda)}^{\mathrm{T}}) = 2(bc - ac) \cosh(\lambda).$$

From the above discussion, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 The system (3.3) is integrable if and only if $\lambda = 0$.

So, the parameter λ change the integrability of system (1.1).

4 Problems

When n=3, we let

$$\boldsymbol{L} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & x & 0 \\ x & b & y \\ 0 & y & c \end{array} \right), \qquad \boldsymbol{B} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & x & 0 \\ -x & 0 & y \\ 0 & -y & 0 \end{array} \right), \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{array} \right).$$

Therefore, the system (1.2) has the following form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{L} = [\mathbf{B}, \ \mathbf{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{split} a_{11} &= 2x^2 \cos \theta, \\ a_{12} &= bx \cos^2 \theta - xc \sin^2 \theta - ax \cos \theta + xy \sin(2\theta), \\ a_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} (bx - cx) \sin(2\theta) + 2xy \sin^2 \theta - ax \sin \theta, \\ a_{21} &= bx \cos^2 \theta + xc \sin^2 \theta - ax \cos \theta - xy \sin(2\theta), \\ a_{22} &= -2x^2 \cos^2 \theta + y^2 (\cos \theta + \cos(3\theta)) - 2cy \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta + by \cos \theta \sin(2\theta), \\ a_{23} &= -x^2 \sin(2\theta) + y^2 (\sin \theta + \sin(3\theta)) + cy (\cos \theta - \sin \theta \sin(2\theta)) - by \cos \theta \cos(2\theta), \\ a_{31} &= -ax \sin \theta - 2xy \sin^2 \theta + \frac{1}{2} (bx - cx) \sin(2\theta), \\ a_{32} &= -x^2 \sin(2\theta) - by (\cos \theta - \sin \theta \sin(2\theta)) + y^2 (\sin \theta + \sin(3\theta)) + cy \cos \theta \cos(2\theta), \\ a_{33} &= -2x^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2by \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta - y^2 (\cos \theta + \cos(3\theta)) + cy \cos \theta \sin(2\theta). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{tr}([\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)}) \neq 0, \qquad [\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)}^{\mathrm{T}} \neq [\boldsymbol{B}, \ \boldsymbol{L}]_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\theta)}.$$

So, is this system (4.1) integrable? When n > 3, system (1.2) is integrable?

References

- [1] Flaschka H. The Toda lattice I. Phys. Rev. B, 1974, 9: 1924–1925.
- [2] Kodama Y, McLaughlin K. Explicit integration of the full symmetric Toda hierarchy and the sorting property. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 1996, **37**: 37–47.
- [3] Kodama Y, Ye J. Iso-spectral deformations of general matrix and their reductions on Lie algebras. Commun. Math. Phys., 1996, 178: 765–788.
- [4] Hartwig J, Larsson D, Silvestrov S. Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations. J. Algebra, 2006, 295: 314–361.
- [5] Hu N. q-Witt algebras, q-Lie algebras, q-holomorph structure and representations. Algebra Colloq., 1999, 6(1): 51-70.
- [6] Larsson D, Silvestrov S. Quasi-Hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities. J. Algebra, 2005, 288: 321–344.
- [7] Larsson D, Silvestrov S. Quasi-Lie algebras. Contemp. Math., 2005, 391: 241–248.
- [8] Sheng Y. Representations of Hom-Lie Algebras. Algebr. Represent. Theory, 2012, 15: 1081– 1098
- [9] Sheng Y, Xiong Z. On Hom-Lie algebras. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 2015, 63(12): 2379–2395.
- [10] Golubitsky M, Schaffer G D. Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory VI, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 51. Spring-Verlag, 1985.
- [11] Golubitsky M, Stewart I, Schaffer G D. Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory VII, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 69. Spring-Verlag, 1988.