

# An Equivalent Characterization of $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $A_p$ Weights

Minghui Zhong and Xianming Hou\*

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China.*

Received December 5, 2018; Accepted April 26, 2019;

Published online March 4, 2020

---

**Abstract.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_p$ . The space  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the closure in  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the set of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In this paper, an equivalent characterization of  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $A_p$  weights is established.

**AMS subject classifications:** 42B20, 42B25

**Key words:**  $BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A_p$ , John-Nirenberg inequality.

---

## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to provide an equivalent characterization of  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which is useful in the study of compactness of commutators of singular integral operator and fractional integral operator.

The space  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by the set of functions  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} M(f, Q) < \infty,$$

where

$$M(f, Q) := \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx, \quad f_Q := \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x) dx.$$

The space  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the closure in  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the set of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which is a proper subspace of  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In fact, it is known that  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n) = VMO_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $VMO_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the closure of  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , see [2, 3, 9]. Here  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which vanish at infinity. Neri [8] gave a characterization of  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by Riesz transforms. Meanwhile, Neri proposed the following characterization of  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and its proof was established by Uchiyama in his remarkable work [11].

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* mhzhong95@163.com (M. Zhong), houxianming37@163.com (X. Hou)

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies the following three conditions

- (a)  $\limsup_{a \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|Q|=a} M(f, Q) = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|Q|=a} M(f, Q) = 0$ ;
- (c)  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} M(f, Q+x) = 0$  for each cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $Q+x := \{y+x : y \in Q\}$ .

Recently, Guo, Wu and Yang [6] established an equivalent characterization of space  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by local mean oscillations. Lots of works about space  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  have been studied, see [4] for example. Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [7, Theorem 5] showed the norm of  $BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Definition 1.2) is equivalent to the norm of  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where the weight function  $\omega$  is Muckenhoupt  $A_p$  weight. So it is natural to consider equivalent characterizations of  $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  associated to  $A_p$  weights.

To state our main results, we first recall some relevant notions and notations.

The following class of  $A_p$  was introduced in [1, 5].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\omega(x) \geq 0$  and  $\omega(x) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we say that  $\omega(x) \in A_p$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any cube  $Q$ ,

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C. \quad (1.1)$$

Also, for  $p=1$ , we say that  $\omega(x) \in A_1$  if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$M\omega(x) \leq C\omega(x), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $M$  is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. For  $p \geq 1$ , the smallest constant appearing in (1.1) and (1.2) is called the  $A_p$  characteristic constant of  $\omega$  and is denoted by  $[\omega]_{A_p}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\omega \in A_p$ . For a cube  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we say a function  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is in  $BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $f$  satisfies

$$\|f\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} M(f, Q)_\omega < \infty,$$

where

$$m(f, Q)_\omega := \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q f(x) \omega(x) dx,$$

$$M(f, Q)_\omega := \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(x) - m(f, Q)_\omega| \omega(x) dx.$$

Let  $\omega \in A_p(p \geq 1)$ ,  $q > 1$ ,  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $BMO_{\omega,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{BMO_{\omega,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} M(f, Q)_{\omega,q} < \infty,$$

where

$$M(f, Q)_{\omega,q} := \left( \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(x) - m(f, Q)_{\omega}|^q \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Now, we can formulate our main results as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ . Suppose  $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\omega \in A_p$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (2)  $f$  satisfies the following three conditions:
  - (i)  $\limsup_{a \rightarrow 0, |Q|=a} M(f, Q)_{\omega,q} = 0$ ,
  - (ii)  $\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty, |Q|=a} M(f, Q)_{\omega,q} = 0$ ,
  - (iii)  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} M(f, Q+x)_{\omega,q} = 0$  for each  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (3)  $f$  satisfies the following three conditions:
  - (i')  $\limsup_{a \rightarrow 0, |Q|=a} M(f, Q)_{\omega} = 0$ ,
  - (ii')  $\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty, |Q|=a} M(f, Q)_{\omega} = 0$ ,
  - (iii')  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} M(f, Q+x)_{\omega} = 0$  for each  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Throughout this paper, the letter  $C$ , will stand for positive constants, not necessarily the same one at each occurrence, but independent of the essential variables. If  $f \leq Cg$ , we write  $f \lesssim g$  or  $g \gtrsim f$ ; and if  $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$ , we write  $f \sim g$ . A dyadic cube  $Q$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a cube of the form

$$\left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : k_i 2^j \leq x_i < (k_i + 1) 2^j, i = 1, \dots, n, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$R_j$  means  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_i| < 2^j, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda Q$  denotes the cube with the same center as  $Q$  and side-length  $\lambda$  times the side-length of  $Q$ .

## 2 The proof of Theorem 1.2

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. To do this, we firstly recall some auxiliary lemmas. Note that [7, Theorem 3] implies the following weighted John-Nirenberg inequalities, also see [1, 10].

**Lemma 2.1. (John-Nirenberg)** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\omega \in A_p$  and  $f \in BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For every  $\alpha > 0$  and cube  $Q$ , there exist constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$\omega(\{x \in Q : |f(x) - f_Q| > \alpha\}) < c_1 e^{-\frac{\alpha}{c_2 \|f\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)}}} \omega(Q).$$

Next, we recall some useful properties of  $A_p$  weights.

**Lemma 2.2** ([5]). Let  $\omega \in A_p$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

1. There exist  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $C > 0$  that depending only on the dimension  $n$ ,  $p$ , and  $[\omega]_{A_p}$  such that for any cube  $Q$  and any measurable subset  $S$  of  $Q$  we have

$$\frac{\omega(S)}{\omega(Q)} \leq C \left( \frac{|S|}{|Q|} \right)^\delta. \quad (2.1)$$

2. There exist constants  $C$  and  $\gamma > 0$  that depending only on the dimension  $n$ ,  $p$ , and  $[\omega]_{A_p}$  such that for every cube  $Q$  we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^{1+\gamma} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx. \quad (2.2)$$

3. For all  $\lambda > 1$ , and all cubes  $Q$ ,

$$\omega(\lambda Q) \leq \lambda^{np} [\omega]_{A_p} \omega(Q). \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we are in position to prove the Theorem 1.2.

*Proof.* To prove (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) in Theorem 1.2. Assume that  $f \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then (i)–(iii) hold. It is obvious that (i) holds for uniformly continuous functions  $f$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $\text{supp}(f) \subset Q_0$ . Then for each  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for  $|x| > |h|$ , we have  $Q_0 \cap (Q+x) = \emptyset$ , (iii) holds.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(x) - m(f, Q)_\omega|^q \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - m(f, Q)_\omega|^q \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - m(f, Q)_\omega|^q \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

On the other hand,  $Q(0, r)$  denotes the closed cube centered at 0 with side-length  $r$ . For any  $x_0 \in Q(0, r)$ , there exists a cube  $Q$  centered at  $x_0$  such that  $Q(0, r) \subset Q$ , by (2.1), we get

$$\frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(x) - m(f, Q)_\omega|^q \omega(x) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(Q(0, r))} \left( \frac{|Q(0, r)|}{|Q|} \right)^\delta,$$

which tends to 0 as  $|Q|$  tends to  $+\infty$ , (ii) holds.

If  $f \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (i)–(iii) and  $\|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon$ . Then by Lemma 2.1 and (2.2), for  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , it is easy to see

$$\|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{BMO_{\omega,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \varepsilon. \tag{2.4}$$

The detailed proof of (2.4) also can be found in [1,7]. By (2.4) and the triangle inequality, we deduce that (i)–(iii) hold for  $f$ .

The proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). By the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(x) - m(f,Q)_\omega| \omega(x) dx \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \left( \int_Q |f(x) - m(f,Q)_\omega|^q \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_Q \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/q'} \\ & = \left( \frac{1}{Q} \int_Q |f(x) - m(f,Q)_\omega|^q \omega(x) \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ .

It follows from (2.5) that if  $f$  satisfies (i)–(iii) then  $f$  satisfies (i')–(iii').

The proof of (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Now we show that if  $f$  satisfies (i')–(iii') then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $g_\varepsilon \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\inf_{h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_\varepsilon - h\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} < C_n \varepsilon, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|g_\varepsilon - f\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} < C_n \varepsilon. \tag{2.7}$$

We prove (2.6) and (2.7) by the following two steps.

**Step I** By (i') and (ii'), there exist  $i_\varepsilon$  and  $k_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\sup\{M(f,Q)_\omega : |Q| \leq 2^{n(i_\varepsilon+8)}\} < \varepsilon, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\sup\{M(f,Q)_\omega : |Q| \geq 2^{nk_\varepsilon}\} < \varepsilon. \tag{2.9}$$

By (iii'), there exists  $j_\varepsilon > k_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\sup\{M(f,Q)_\omega : Q \cap R_{j_\varepsilon} = \emptyset\} < \varepsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

Now for each  $x \in R_{j_\varepsilon}$ , we take dyadic cube  $Q_x$  with side-length  $2^{i_\varepsilon}$  containing  $x$ ; if  $x \in R_m \setminus R_{m-1}$  ( $j_\varepsilon < m$ ),  $Q_x$  means a dyadic cube of side-length  $2^{i_\varepsilon+m-j_\varepsilon}$ . Set  $g'_\varepsilon(x) = m(f, Q_x)_\omega$ , by (ii'), there exists  $m_\varepsilon > j_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\sup\{|g'_\varepsilon(x) - g'_\varepsilon(y)| : x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1}\} < \varepsilon. \tag{2.11}$$

To see this, by (ii'), let  $m_\varepsilon > j_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon$  be large enough such that when  $\omega(R_{m_\varepsilon}) \geq 2^{n(m_\varepsilon + i_\varepsilon - j_\varepsilon)}$ ,

$$M(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega < \frac{\varepsilon}{C_1(j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon + 1)} \quad (2.12)$$

for some positive constant  $C_1$ .

For  $x \in R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1}$ , it is obvious that

$$2^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} Q_x \subset R_{m_\varepsilon+1} \subset 8 \cdot 2^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} Q_x.$$

This together with (2.12) and (2.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & |m(f, 2^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} Q_x)_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega| \\ & \lesssim \frac{\omega(R_{m_\varepsilon+1})}{\omega(2^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} Q_x)} M(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{C_1(j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon + 1)} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Since  $Q_x \subset R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1}$ , by (2.3) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega| \\ & \lesssim \frac{\omega(R_{m_\varepsilon+1})}{\omega(R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})} M(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega \\ & \lesssim \frac{\omega(8 \cdot 2^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} Q_x)}{\omega(Q_x)} M(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.13), (2.14) and (2.12), we conclude that for any  $Q_x$  with  $x \in R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |m(f, Q_x)_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega| \\ & \lesssim |m(f, 2^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} Q_x)_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega| + \sum_{k=1}^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} |m(f, 2^k Q_x)_\omega - m(f, 2^{k-1} Q_x)_\omega| \\ & \lesssim |m(f, 2^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} Q_x)_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega| \\ & \quad + |m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon+1})_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega| + \sum_{k=1}^{j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon} 2^{np} \frac{\varepsilon}{C_1(j_\varepsilon - i_\varepsilon + 1)} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{2^{np}}{C_1} \varepsilon \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

For any  $Q_x, Q_y \subset R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1}$ , by (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |m(f, Q_x)_\omega - m(f, Q_y)_\omega| \\ & \lesssim |m(f, Q_x)_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega| + |m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega - m(f, Q_y)_\omega| \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

**Step II** Define  $g_\varepsilon(x) = g'_\varepsilon(x)$  when  $x \in R_{m_\varepsilon}$  and  $g_\varepsilon(x) = m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega$  when  $x \in R_{m_\varepsilon}^c$ . Notice that

$$\text{if } \bar{Q}_x \cap \bar{Q}_y \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{diam } Q_x \leq 2 \text{diam } Q_y. \tag{2.16}$$

By the definition of  $i_\varepsilon, j_\varepsilon$  and  $m_\varepsilon$ , if  $\bar{Q}_x \cap \bar{Q}_y \neq \emptyset$  or  $x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon-1}^c$ , there exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$|g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| < C_2 \varepsilon. \tag{2.17}$$

In fact, assume that  $|x| < |y|$ . Firstly, we show that if  $x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon-1}^c$ , then (2.17) holds. By noting that  $x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon}^c$ , we get

$$g_\varepsilon(x) = g_\varepsilon(y) = m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega$$

and (2.17) holds. Next, if  $x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1}$ , we deduce from (2.11) that

$$|g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| = |g'_\varepsilon(x) - g'_\varepsilon(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

Thirdly, if  $x \in R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1}$  and  $y \in R_{m_\varepsilon}^c$ , (2.15) indicates that

$$|g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| = |m(f, Q_x)_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega| < \varepsilon.$$

Now we show if  $\bar{Q}_x \cap \bar{Q}_y \neq \emptyset$ , then (2.17) holds. We assume that  $Q_x \neq Q_y$  and let  $Q$  be the smallest cube containing  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$ , then  $Q \subset 4Q_x$ . If  $x, y \in R_{j_\varepsilon}$ , then

$$Q_x, Q_y \subset R_{j_\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad |Q| < 2^{n(i_\varepsilon+4)},$$

by (2.8), (2.17) holds. Similarly, if  $Q_x \subset R_{j_\varepsilon}$ ,  $Q_y \subset R_{m_\varepsilon-1}$  and  $\bar{Q}_x \cap \bar{Q}_y \neq \emptyset$ , by (2.8), (2.17) also holds. If  $x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon-1}^c$ , notice that  $Q \cap R_{j_\varepsilon} = \emptyset$  and by (2.10),

$$|g'_\varepsilon(x) - m(f, Q)_\omega| \lesssim \frac{\omega(Q)}{\omega(Q_x)} M(f, Q)_\omega \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Similarly, we have

$$|g'_\varepsilon(y) - m(f, Q)_\omega| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$|g'_\varepsilon(x) - g'_\varepsilon(y)| \lesssim |g'_\varepsilon(x) - m(f, Q)_\omega| + |m(f, Q)_\omega - g'_\varepsilon(y)| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Combining these cases, (2.17) holds.

We turn to prove that  $g_\varepsilon$  satisfies (2.6). Set

$$\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) := g_\varepsilon(x) - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega.$$

By the definition of  $g_\varepsilon$ , we get

$$\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \text{ for any } x \in R_{m_\varepsilon}, \quad \|\tilde{h}_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $\bar{Q}_x \cap \bar{Q}_y \neq \emptyset$  or  $x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon-1}^c$ , by (2.17), we have

$$|\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(y)| = |g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| < C_2\varepsilon.$$

Observe that  $\text{supp}(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon) \subset R_{m_\varepsilon}$ . Take a positive valued function  $\varphi(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  supported in  $B(0,1)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ . For  $t > 0$ , set

$$\varphi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^n} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right).$$

Select  $t < 2^{i_\varepsilon}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_t * \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_t(y) |\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x-y) - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x)| dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(u) |\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x-tu) - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x)| du \lesssim \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x-tu) - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we make the change of variable  $y = ut$ .

Since  $u \in B(0,1)$  and  $t < 2^{i_\varepsilon}$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$|(x-tu) - x| = |tu| < 2^{i_\varepsilon}.$$

By (2.17), if  $x, x-tu \in R_{m_\varepsilon}$ ,  $\bar{Q}_x \cap \bar{Q}_{x-tu} \neq \emptyset$ , hence

$$|\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x-tu) - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x)| < C_2\varepsilon.$$

If one of  $x$  and  $x-tu$  in  $R_{m_\varepsilon}^c$ , the other must be in  $R_{m_\varepsilon-1}^c$ , we also have

$$|\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x-tu) - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x)| < C_2\varepsilon.$$

Moreover,  $\varphi_t * \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\|\varphi_t * \tilde{h}_\varepsilon - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\varphi_t * \tilde{h}_\varepsilon - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\varphi_t * \tilde{h}_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi_t * \tilde{h}_\varepsilon - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tilde{h}_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon\|_{BMO_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi_t * \tilde{h}_\varepsilon - \tilde{h}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that (2.6) holds.

Now we prove (2.7). By the definition  $i_\varepsilon$  and  $j_\varepsilon$  again, we obtain that for any  $x \in R_{m_\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\int_{Q_x} |f(y) - g_\varepsilon(y)| \omega(y) dy \lesssim \omega(Q_x) \varepsilon. \tag{2.18}$$

Indeed,

$$\int_{Q_x} |f(y) - g_\varepsilon(y)| \omega(y) dy = \int_{Q_x} |f(y) - m(f, Q_x)_\omega| \omega(y) dy.$$

If  $Q_x \cap R_{j_\varepsilon} = \emptyset$ , by (2.10), (2.18) holds. If  $Q_x \cap R_{j_\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$ , using (2.8), (2.18) holds.

Let  $Q$  be a arbitrary cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In order to prove (2.7) holds, it suffices to show

$$M(f - g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega < \varepsilon. \tag{2.19}$$

We consider the following four cases:

Case(i):  $Q \subset R_{m_\varepsilon}$  and  $\max\{\text{diam } Q_x : Q_x \cap Q \neq \emptyset\} > 4 \text{diam } Q$ , by (2.16), the number of  $Q_x \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  is finite. If  $Q_{x_i} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q_{x_j} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\bar{Q}_{x_i} \cap \bar{Q}_{x_j} \neq \emptyset$ , by (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned} M(g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega &\lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \sum_{i: Q_{x_i} \cap Q \neq \emptyset} \int_{Q_{x_i} \cap Q} |g_\varepsilon(x) - m(g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega| \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \sum_{i: Q_{x_i} \cap Q \neq \emptyset} \int_{Q_{x_i} \cap Q} \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \sum_{j: Q_{x_j} \cap Q \neq \emptyset} \int_{Q_{x_j} \cap Q} |g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| \omega(y) dy \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $Q \cap R_{j_\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|Q| \leq 2^{n(i_\varepsilon+1)}$ , by (2.8), we have  $M(f, Q)_\omega < \varepsilon$ ; if  $Q \cap R_{j_\varepsilon} = \emptyset$ , by (2.10), we also obtain  $M(f, Q)_\omega < \varepsilon$ . Hence

$$M(f - g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega \lesssim M(f, Q)_\omega + M(g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Case(ii):  $Q \subset R_{m_\varepsilon}$  and  $\max\{\text{diam } Q_x : Q_x \cap Q \neq \emptyset\} \leq 4 \text{diam } Q$ , we have

$$\bigcup_{Q_{x_i} \cap Q \neq \emptyset} Q_{x_i} \supset Q, \quad \sum_{Q_{x_i} \cap Q \neq \emptyset} \omega(Q_{x_i}) \sim \omega(Q).$$

Invoking (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(f - g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega &\lesssim \frac{2}{\omega(Q)} \sum_{Q_{x_i} \cap Q \neq \emptyset} \int_{Q_{x_i}} |f(y) - g_\varepsilon(y)| \omega(y) dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{2}{\omega(Q)} \sum_{Q_{x_i} \cap Q \neq \emptyset} \omega(Q_{x_i}) \varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Case(iii):  $Q \subset R_{m_\varepsilon}^c$ , then  $Q \cap R_{j_\varepsilon} = \emptyset$  and  $M(f, Q)_\omega < \varepsilon$ . Using (2.17),

$$M(g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| \omega(y) dy \omega(x) dx < \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$M(f - g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega \lesssim M(f, Q)_\omega + M(g_\varepsilon, Q)_\omega < \varepsilon.$$

Case(iv):  $Q \cap R_{m_\varepsilon}^c \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q \cap R_{m_\varepsilon-1} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $P_Q$  be a smallest positive number such that  $Q \subset R_{P_Q}$ . Then

$$M(f, Q)_\omega \lesssim M(f, R_{P_Q})_\omega.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} M(f - g_\varepsilon, R_{P_Q})_\omega \omega(R_{P_Q}) &\lesssim \int_{R_{P_Q}} |(f - g_\varepsilon)(x) - m(f - g_\varepsilon, R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{R_{P_Q}} |f(x) - m(f, R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| \omega(x) dx + \int_{R_{P_Q}} |g_\varepsilon(x) - m(g_\varepsilon, R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| \omega(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, by (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{R_{P_Q}} |f(x) - m(f, R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{R_{P_Q}} |f(x) - m(f, R_{P_Q})_\omega| \omega(x) dx + |m(f, R_{P_Q})_\omega - m(f, R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| \omega(R_{P_Q}) \\ &\lesssim \int_{R_{P_Q}} |f(x) - m(f, R_{P_Q})_\omega| \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \omega(R_{P_Q}) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to prove that

$$\sum_{i: Q_{x_i} \subset R_{m_\varepsilon}} \omega(Q_{x_i}) \sim \omega(R_{m_\varepsilon}).$$

Combining with (2.9) and (2.18) and the fact that  $g_\varepsilon(x) = g_\varepsilon(y)$  for any  $x, y \in R_{m_\varepsilon}^c$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{R_{P_Q}} |g_\varepsilon(x) - m(g_\varepsilon, R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})} \int_{R_{P_Q}} \int_{R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon}} |g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| \omega(y) dy \omega(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega(R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})} \int_{R_{m_\varepsilon}} \int_{R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon}} |g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| \omega(y) dy \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})} \int_{R_{m_\varepsilon}} \int_{R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon}} [|g_\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| \\ &\quad + |f(x) - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})|] \omega(y) dy \omega(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})} \int_{R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon}} \sum_{i: Q_{x_i} \subset R_{m_\varepsilon}} \int_{Q_{x_i}} |g_\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| \omega(x) dx \omega(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{R_{m_\varepsilon}} [|f(x) - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| + |m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon-1})_\omega|] \omega(x) dx \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon})} \int_{R_{P_Q} \setminus R_{m_\varepsilon}} \varepsilon \sum_{i: Q_{x_i} \subset R_{m_\varepsilon}} \omega(Q_{x_i}) \omega(y) dy \\
& \quad + \int_{R_{m_\varepsilon}} |f(x) - m(f, R_{m_\varepsilon})_\omega| \omega(x) dx \\
& \lesssim \omega(R_{m_\varepsilon}) \varepsilon \lesssim \omega(R_{P_Q}) \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies (2.7) and completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Coifman R, Weiss G. Extension of Hardy space and their use in analysis. Bull Amer Math Soc, 1977, 83(4): 569–645.
- [2] Dafni G. Local VMO and weak convergence in  $h^1$ . Canad Math Bull, 2002, 45(1): 46–59.
- [3] Ding Y, Mei T. Some characterization of VMO( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Anal Theory Appl, 2014, 30(4): 387–398.
- [4] Duong X, Li J, Mao S, Wu H, Yang D. Compactness of Riesz transform commutator associated with Bessel operators. J Anal Math, 2018, 135(2): 639–673.
- [5] Grafakos L. Classical Fourier Analysis, third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 249, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [6] Guo W, Wu H, Yang D. A revisit on the compactness of commutators. ArXiv:1712.08692, 2017.
- [7] Muckenhoupt C, Wheeden R. Weighted bounded mean oscillation and the Hilbert transform. Studia Math, 1976, 54(3): 221–237.
- [8] Neri U. Fractional intergration on the space  $H^1$  and its dual. Studia Math, 1975, 53: 175–189.
- [9] Sarason D. Function of vanishing mean oscillation. Tran. Amer. Math. Soc., 1975, 207: 391–405.
- [10] Stein E. Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [11] Uchiyama A. On the compactness of operators of Hankel type. Tôkoku Math J, 1978, 30(1): 163–171.