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#### Abstract

A four-component nonlinear Schrödinger equation associated with a $5 \times 5$ Lax pair is investigated. A spectral problem is analysed and the Jost functions are used in order to derive a Riemann-Hilbert problem connected with the equation under consideration. $N$-soliton solutions of the equation are obtained by solving the Riemann-Hilbert problem without reflection. For $N=1$ and $N=2$, the local structure and dynamic behavior of some special solutions is analysed by invoking their graphic representations.
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## 1. Introduction

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) is an important integrable model. It is closely related to nonlinear problems in theoretical physics such as nonlinear optics and ion acoustic waves of plasmas. On the other hand, higher-order coupled NLS equations are used to describe the effects of cubic-quintic nonlinearity, self-deepening, and self-frequency shifting. Among numerous solutions of these equations, soliton solutions play a crucial role in some complex nonlinear phenomena. At present, there are many methods to find the solutions of nonlinear integrable models - e.g. inverse scattering transform [1], Darboux transform [14], Hirota bilinear method [6], and Lie group method [2]. In particular, let us note the inverse scattering transform method, which is especially efficient in finding soliton solutions of the corresponding initial value problems. For second-order spectral problems, the inverse scattering theory is equivalent to Riemann-Hilbert (RH) approach. On the other hand, some of the higher-order spectral problems have to be transformed into RH problem.

[^0]This approach, developed by Zakharov et al [34], was successively applied to various integrable systems with a single component [3-5, 8-13, 16-21,24-30,32,33,35-38]. However, to the best of authors' knowledge, only a few studies deal with multi-component problems.

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial x^{2}}+\sum_{\beta, \gamma} \psi_{\beta}^{*} \Lambda_{\beta \gamma} \psi_{\gamma} \psi_{\alpha}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is an Hermitian matrix [15]. A multi-component multisoliton solution of the Eq. (1.1) has been constructed by the Hirota's bilinearisation method - cf. [7]. The wellknown general two-component coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation - cf. [22],

$$
\begin{align*}
& i p_{t}+p_{x x}+2\left(a|p|^{2}+c|q|^{2}+b p q^{*}+b^{*} q p^{*}\right) p=0  \tag{1.2}\\
& i q_{t}+q_{x x}+2\left(a|p|^{2}+c|q|^{2}+b p q^{*}+b^{*} q p^{*}\right) q=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, c$ are real constants, $b$ is a complex constant, and " $*$ " denotes the complex conjugation, is a special case of the Eq. (1.1). In physics, $a$ and $c$ describe the self-phase modulation and cross-phase modulation effects, and $b$ and $b^{*}$ the four-wave mixing effects.

Furthermore, the three-component nonlinear Schrödinger equations has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& i q_{1 t}+q_{1 x x}-2\left[a\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+c\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}+f\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(b q_{1}^{*} q_{2}+d q_{1}^{*} q_{3}+e q_{2}^{*} q_{3}\right)\right] q_{1}=0 \\
& i q_{2 t}+q_{2 x x}-2\left[a\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+c\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}+f\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(b q_{1}^{*} q_{2}+d q_{1}^{*} q_{3}+e q_{2}^{*} q_{3}\right)\right] q_{2}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& i q_{3 t}+q_{3 x x}-2\left[a\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+c\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}+f\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(b q_{1}^{*} q_{2}+d q_{1}^{*} q_{3}+e q_{2}^{*} q_{3}\right)\right] q_{3}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, c, f$ are real constants, $b, d, e$ complex constants, and "Re" denotes the real part. These equations are studied by extending the Fokas unified approach by Yan in [31]. In particular, it was shown that the Eq. (1.3) can be reduced to three-component NLS equations with various conditions on parameters $a, b, c, d, e$ and $f$. More precisely,

- If $a=c=f=-1$ and $b=d=e=0$, the Eq. (1.3) reduces to a three-component focused NLS equation.
- If $a=c=f=1$ and $b=d=e=0$, the Eq. (1.3) reduces to a three-component defocused NLS equation.
- If $a=-1, c=f=1$ and $b=d=e=0$ or $a=1, c=f=-1$ and $b=d=e=0$, the Eq. (1.3) reduces to a three-component mixed NLS equation.
- For other choice of parameters, the Eq. (1.3) reduces to other three-component NLS equations.

In this work, we consider a four-component nonlinear Schrödinger (FCNLS) equation — viz.

$$
i q_{1 t}+q_{1 x x}-2\left[a_{11}\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|q_{4}\right|^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{12} q_{1}^{*} q_{2}+a_{13} q_{1}^{*} q_{3}+a_{14} q_{1}^{*} q_{4}+a_{23} q_{2}^{*} q_{3}+a_{24} q_{2}^{*} q_{4}+a_{34} q_{3}^{*} q_{4}\right)\right] q_{1}=0  \tag{1.4a}\\
i q_{2 t} & +q_{2 x x}-2\left[a_{11}\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|q_{4}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{12} q_{1}^{*} q_{2}+a_{13} q_{1}^{*} q_{3}+a_{14} q_{1}^{*} q_{4}+a_{23} q_{2}^{*} q_{3}+a_{24} q_{2}^{*} q_{4}+a_{34} q_{3}^{*} q_{4}\right)\right] q_{2}=0  \tag{1.4b}\\
i q_{3 t} & +q_{3 x x}-2\left[a_{11}\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|q_{4}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{12} q_{1}^{*} q_{2}+a_{13} q_{1}^{*} q_{3}+a_{14} q_{1}^{*} q_{4}+a_{23} q_{2}^{*} q_{3}+a_{24} q_{2}^{*} q_{4}+a_{34} q_{3}^{*} q_{4}\right)\right] q_{3}=0  \tag{1.4c}\\
i q_{4 t} & +q_{4 x x}-2\left[a_{11}\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|q_{4}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{12} q_{1}^{*} q_{2}+a_{13} q_{1}^{*} q_{3}+a_{14} q_{1}^{*} q_{4}+a_{23} q_{2}^{*} q_{3}+a_{24} q_{2}^{*} q_{4}+a_{34} q_{3}^{*} q_{4}\right)\right] q_{4}=0 \tag{1.4d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44}$ are real constants and $a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14}, a_{23}, a_{24}, a_{34}$ complex constants. The FCNLS equation includes group velocity dispersion, self-phase modulation, cross-phase modulation and paired tunnel modulation. Analogously to the three-component NLS equations (1.3), the FCNLS equation (1.4) can be reduced to different four-component NLS equations with the different conditions on the parameters. The Riemann-Hilbert problem for the FCNLS equation and its multi-soliton solutions have not been yet studied, so that the construction of such a problem and finding multi-soliton solutions of the related $5 \times 5$ matrix spectral problem is of a significant interest.

The structure of this work is as follows. In Section 2, we analyse a spectral problem and properties of the Jost functions connected to the Lax pair with a $5 \times 5$ matrix spectral problem for the FCNLS equation (1.4). In Section 3, the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem is derived and the symmetry of the scattering matrix and temporal and spatial evolution of the scattering data are studied. The solutions of Riemann-Hilbert problem, obtained in Section 4, are used to establish the $N$-soliton solutions of the FCNLS equation (1.4). Besides, the propagation of one-and two-soliton solutions is discussed. Finally, some conclusions are presented in Section 5.

## 2. Spectral Analysis

Let us start with the Lax pair for the FCNLS equation (1.4). It can be written as

$$
\Phi_{x}=U \Phi, \quad \Phi_{t}=V \Phi
$$

where $\Phi$ is a column vector function, matrices $U$ and $V$ have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
U & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-i \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1} \\
0 & -i \lambda & 0 & 0 & q_{2} \\
0 & 0 & -i \lambda & 0 & q_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i \lambda & q_{4} \\
p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} & i \lambda
\end{array}\right) \\
V & =-2 i \lambda^{2} \Lambda+2 \lambda P+V_{0}, \quad V_{0}=-i\left(P_{x}+P^{2}\right) \Lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda$ is the spectral parameter,

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad P=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{4} \\
p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=a_{11} q_{1}^{*}+a_{21} q_{2}^{*}+a_{31} q_{3}^{*}+a_{41} q_{4}^{*}, \\
& p_{2}=a_{21}^{*} q_{1}^{*}+a_{22} q_{2}^{*}+a_{32} q_{3}^{*}+a_{42} q_{4}^{*}, \\
& p_{3}=a_{31}^{*} q_{1}^{*}+a_{33}^{*} q_{2}^{*}+a_{33} q_{3}^{*}+a_{43} q_{4}^{*}, \\
& p_{4}=a_{41}^{*} q_{1}^{*}+a_{42}^{*} q_{2}^{*}+a_{43}^{*} q_{3}^{*}+a_{44} q_{4}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{x}+i \lambda \Lambda \Phi=P \Phi, \\
& \Phi_{t}+2 i \lambda^{2} \Lambda \Phi=Q \Phi, \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q=2 \lambda P+V_{0}$.
For $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, the Eqs. (2.1) yield

$$
\Phi \propto e^{-i \lambda \Lambda x-2 i \lambda^{2} \Lambda t}
$$

Letting

$$
\mu=\Phi e^{i \lambda \Lambda x+2 i \lambda^{2} \Lambda t}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \sim \mathbb{I}, \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we arrive at the new Lax pair

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{x}+i \lambda[\Lambda, \mu]=P \mu, \\
& \mu_{t}+2 i \lambda^{2}[\Lambda, \mu]=Q \mu \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with the commutator $[\Lambda, \mu]:=\Lambda \mu-\mu \Lambda$. We can obtain the full differential

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(e^{i\left(\lambda x+2 \lambda^{2} t\right) \bar{\Lambda}} \mu\right)=e^{i\left(\lambda x+2 \lambda^{2} t\right) \bar{\Lambda}}[(P d x+Q d t) \mu] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{\lambda \bar{\Lambda}} \mu=e^{\lambda \Lambda} \mu e^{-\lambda \Lambda}$.
In order to construct a Riemann-Hilbert problem, one has to find the solutions of the spectral problem (2.3) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For this, we represent the solution of the Eq. (2.4) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu^{(0)}+\frac{\mu^{(1)}}{\lambda}+\frac{\mu^{(2)}}{\lambda^{2}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3}}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}$ not related to $\lambda$. Substituting (2.5) into (2.3) and equating the coefficients at the same powers of $\lambda$ yields

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
o(1): \mu_{x}^{(0)}+i\left[\Lambda, \mu^{(1)}\right]=P \mu^{(0)}, & \\
o(\lambda): i\left[\Lambda, \mu^{(0)}\right]=0 & \text { for } x \text { part, }  \tag{2.6}\\
o(\lambda): 2 i\left[\Lambda, \mu^{(1)}\right]=2 P \mu^{(0)} & \text { for } t \text { part. }
\end{array}
$$

The first and third equations in (2.6) show that $\mu_{x}^{(0)}=0$, so that $\mu^{(0)}$ is not related to $x$. Besides, (2.2) and the second equation in (2.6) imply that $\mu^{(0)}$ is a diagonal matrix. Hence,

$$
\mathbb{I}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mu=\mu^{(0)} .
$$

Now, the solutions $\mu_{ \pm}=\mu_{ \pm}(x, \lambda)$ of the Eq. (2.3) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{+}=\left(\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{1},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{2},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{3},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{4},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{5}\right), \\
& \mu_{-}=\left(\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{5}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[\mu_{ \pm}\right]_{l}, l=1,2,3,4,5$ denotes the $l$-th column of the corresponding matrix $\left[\mu_{+}\right]$or [ $\mu_{-}$]. Moreover, we note that these solutions satisfy the asymptotic conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \quad \text { as } & x \rightarrow+\infty, \\
\mu_{-} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} & \text { as }  \tag{2.8}\\
x \rightarrow-\infty,
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the $5 \times 5$ unit matrix. Let us note that the solutions $\left[\mu_{ \pm}\right]$for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ are uniquely determined by the Volterra integral equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{+}(x, \lambda)=\mathbb{I}-\int_{x}^{+\infty} e^{-i \lambda \Lambda(x-y)} P(y) \mu_{+}(y, \lambda) e^{i \lambda \Lambda(x-y)} d y \\
& \mu_{-}(x, \lambda)=\mathbb{I}+\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-i \lambda \Lambda(x-y)} P(y) \mu_{-}(y, \lambda) e^{i \lambda \Lambda(x-y)} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

with the kernel matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-i \lambda \Lambda(x-y)} P e^{i \lambda \Lambda(x-y)} \\
& :\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{1} e^{-2 i \lambda(x-y)} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{2} e^{-2 i \lambda(x-y)} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{3} e^{-2 i \lambda(x-y)} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{4} e^{-2 i \lambda(x-y)} \\
p_{1} e^{2 i \lambda(x-y)} & p_{2} e^{2 i \lambda(x-y)} & p_{3} e^{2 i \lambda(x-y)} & p_{4} e^{2 i \lambda(x-y)} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\operatorname{Re}[2 i \lambda(x-y)]<0$ and $\operatorname{Re}[-2 i \lambda(x-y)]<0$, the columns $\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4}$ and $\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{5}$ are analytic vector-functions on $\mathbb{C}^{+}$, and $\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{1},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{2},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{3},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{4}$ and $\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{5}$ are analytic vectors-functions on $\mathbb{C}^{-}$.

Let us study the properties of $\mu_{ \pm}$. The relation $\operatorname{tr}(P)=0$ and Liouville's formula yield that the determinants of the matrices $\mu_{ \pm}$do not depend on the variable $x$. Therefore, the Eq. (2.8) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mu_{ \pm}=1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since for $E=e^{-i \lambda \Lambda x}$ both $\mu_{-} E$ and $\mu_{+} E$ are the solutions of the spectral problem (2.3), they are linearly interdependent and satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{-} E=\mu_{+} E S(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(\lambda)=\left(s_{k j}\right)_{5 \times 5}$ is the scattering matrix. The Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) show that

$$
\operatorname{det} S(\lambda)=1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

In order to write the Riemann-Hilbert problem for the Eqs. (1.4), we consider the inverse matrices

$$
\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}\right]^{1}} \\
{\left[\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}\right]^{2}} \\
{\left[\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}\right]^{3}} \\
{\left[\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}\right]^{4}} \\
{\left[\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}\right]^{5}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left[\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}\right]^{l}, l=1,2,3,4,5$ are the rows of $\mu_{+}^{-}$or $\mu_{-}^{-}$.
It follows from (2.3) that $\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}$ satisfy the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{x}=-i \lambda[\Lambda, K]-K P . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Eq. (2.11) gives that $\left[\mu_{-}^{-1}\right]^{1},\left[\mu_{-}^{-1}\right]^{2},\left[\mu_{-}^{-1}\right]^{3},\left[\mu_{-}^{-1}\right]^{4},\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{5}$ are analytic vector-functions on $\mathbb{C}^{-}$and $\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{1},\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{2},\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{3},\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{4},\left[\mu_{-}^{-1}\right]^{5}$ are analytic vector-functions on $\mathbb{C}^{+}$.

According to Eq. (2.10), we have

$$
E^{-1} \mu_{-}^{-1}=R(\lambda) E^{-1} \mu_{+}^{-1}
$$

Theorem 2.1. If the matrices $S$ and $R$ are written as

$$
S(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} & s_{14} & s_{15} \\
s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} & s_{24} & s_{25} \\
s_{31} & s_{32} & s_{33} & s_{34} & s_{35} \\
s_{41} & s_{42} & s_{43} & s_{44} & s_{45} \\
s_{51} & s_{52} & s_{53} & s_{54} & s_{55}
\end{array}\right), \quad R(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & r_{14} & r_{15} \\
r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & r_{24} & r_{25} \\
r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & r_{34} & r_{35} \\
r_{41} & r_{42} & r_{43} & r_{44} & r_{45} \\
r_{51} & r_{52} & r_{53} & r_{54} & r_{55}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then the entries $s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{14}, s_{21}, s_{22}, s_{23}, s_{24}, s_{31}, s_{32}, s_{33}, s_{34}, s_{41}, s_{42}, s_{43}, s_{44}$ are analytic functions in $\mathbb{C}^{+}, s_{55}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^{-}, r_{55}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^{+}$, and $r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{21}, r_{22}, r_{23}$, $r_{24}, r_{31}, r_{32}, r_{33}, r_{34}, r_{41}, r_{42}, r_{43}, r_{44}$ are analytic functions in $\mathbb{C}^{-}$.

Proof. It follows from (2.10) that $E^{-1} \mu_{+}^{-1} \mu_{-} E=S(\lambda)$,
$S(\lambda)=E^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}{\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{1}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{1}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{1}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{1}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{1}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{5}} \\ {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{2}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{2}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{2}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{2}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{2}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{5}} \\ {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{3}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{3}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{3}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{3}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{3}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{5}} \\ {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{4}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{4}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{4}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{4}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4}} & {\left[\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}\right]^{4}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{5}} \\ {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{5}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{5}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{5}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{5}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4}} & {\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{5}\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{5}}\end{array}\right) E$,
and for the matrix $S$ the claim follows from the properties of $\mu_{+}^{-1}$ and $\mu_{-}$. The matrix $R(\lambda)$ can be treated analogously.

## 3. Riemann-Hilbert Problem

In this section, we construct a respective Riemann-Hilbert problem. More precisely, considering the matrix functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{1}=P_{1}(x, \lambda):=\left(\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{1},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{2},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{3},\left[\mu_{-}\right]_{4},\left[\mu_{+}\right]_{5}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
P_{2}=P_{2}(x, \lambda):=\left(\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\mu_{-}^{-1}\right]^{1}} \\
{\left[\mu_{-}^{-1}\right]^{2}} \\
{\left[\mu_{-}^{-}\right]^{3}} \\
{\left[\mu_{-}^{-}\right]^{4}} \\
{\left[\mu_{+}^{-1}\right]^{5}}
\end{array}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

we note that $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}^{+}$and $\mathbb{C}^{-}$, respectively, and satisfy the asymptotic conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow+\infty,  \tag{3.3}\\
& P_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow-\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

At the moment, we write $P_{+}$for $P_{1}$ restricted to the left-hand side of the real $\lambda$-axis and $P_{-}$ for $P_{2}$ restricted to the right-hand side of that axis. On the real line, these matrix-functions satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-}(x, \lambda) P_{+}(x, \lambda)=G(x, \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
G(x, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{15} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & r_{25} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & r_{35} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & r_{45} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
s_{51} e^{2 i \lambda x} & s_{52} e^{2 i \lambda x} & s_{53} e^{2 i \lambda x} & s_{54} e^{2 i \lambda x} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

According to Eq. (3.3), the canonical normalization conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow+\infty, \\
& P_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 3.1.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{det} P_{1}=r_{55} & \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{+}, \\
\operatorname{det} P_{2}=s_{55} & \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{-} . \tag{3.5}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. According to Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2), matrices $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}=\mu_{-} H_{1}+\mu_{-} H_{2}+\mu_{-} H_{3}+\mu_{-} H_{4}+\mu_{+} H_{5}, \\
& P_{2}=H_{1} \mu_{-}^{-1}+H_{2} \mu_{-}^{-1}+H_{3} \mu_{-}^{-1}+H_{4} \mu_{-}^{-1}+H_{5} \mu_{+}^{-1}, \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
H_{1}=\operatorname{dig}(1,0,0,0,0), & H_{2}=\operatorname{dig}(0,1,0,0,0), & H_{3}=\operatorname{dig}(0,0,1,0,0), \\
H_{4}=\operatorname{dig}(0,0,0,1,0), & H_{5}=\operatorname{dig}(0,0,0,0,1) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} P_{1}(\lambda) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{-} H_{1}+\mu_{-} H_{2}+\mu_{-} H_{3}+\mu_{\_} H_{4}+\mu_{+} H_{5}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det} \mu_{-} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3}+H_{4}+E R(\lambda) E^{-1} H_{5}\right) \\
& =1 \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{15} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & r_{25} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & r_{35} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & r_{45} e^{-2 i \lambda x} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{55}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =r_{55} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equation in (3.5) can be proven analogously. The Eq. (2.9) shows that $\operatorname{det} \mu_{ \pm}=$ 1 , which yields $\operatorname{det} P_{1}=r_{55}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{+}$, and $\operatorname{det} P_{2}=s_{55}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{-}$.

Let $A^{\dagger}$ denotes the Hermitian of the matrix $A$. A symmetry relation for the matrix $P$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\dagger}=-B P B^{-1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & a_{21}^{*} & a_{31}^{*} & a_{41}^{*} & 0 \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32}^{*} & a_{42}^{*} & 0 \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{43}^{*} & 0 \\
a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows from (2.3) and (3.7) that

$$
\left(\mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B\right)_{x}=-i \lambda\left[\Lambda, \mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B\right]-\mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B P
$$

Hence, if $\mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B$ and $\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}(\lambda)$ satisfy (2.11), then $\mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B$ is linearly related to $\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}(\lambda)$, i.e. $\mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B=C \mu_{ \pm}^{-1}(\lambda)$ with $C$ independent of $x$. Using the large- $x$ boundary conditions of $\mu_{ \pm}$, we find that $C=B$. Consequently,

$$
B^{-1} \mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B=\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}(\lambda)
$$

The scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ satisfies the equation

$$
B^{-1} S^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) B=S^{-1}(\lambda)=R(\lambda)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{55}(\lambda) & =s_{55}^{*}\left(\lambda^{*}\right), & & \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{+}, \\
-s_{51}^{*}(\lambda) & =a_{11} r_{15}+a_{21}^{*} r_{25}+a_{31}^{*} r_{35}+a_{41}^{*} r_{45}, & & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \\
-s_{52}^{*}(\lambda) & =a_{21} r_{15}+a_{22} r_{25}+a_{32}^{*} r_{35}+a_{42}^{*} r_{45}, & & \lambda \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{3.8}\\
-s_{53}^{*}(\lambda) & =a_{31} r_{15}+a_{32} r_{25}+a_{33} r_{35}+a_{43}^{*} r_{45}, & & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \\
-s_{54}^{*}(\lambda) & =a_{41} r_{15}+a_{42} r_{25}+a_{43} r_{35}+a_{44} r_{45}, & & \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=B P_{2}(\lambda) B^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{-} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking into account the first equation in (3.6), we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) & =\left(\mu_{-}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) H_{1}+\mu_{-}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) H_{2}+\mu_{-}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) H_{3}+\mu_{-}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) H_{4}+\mu_{+}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) H_{5}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =H_{1} \mu_{-}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)+H_{2} \mu_{-}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)+H_{3} \mu_{-}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)+H_{4} \mu_{-}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)+H_{5} \mu_{+}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) \\
& =B P_{2}(\lambda) B^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
P_{1}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=B P_{2}(\lambda) B^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{-}
$$

It follows from the Eqs. (3.5) and (3.8) that $\operatorname{det} P_{1}(\lambda)=\left(\operatorname{det} P_{2}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)\right)^{*}$. Therefore, if $\operatorname{det} P_{1}(\lambda)=0$, then $\operatorname{det} P_{2}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=0$. Let us assume that $\operatorname{det} P_{1}$ has $N$ simple zeros $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{+}$, and $\operatorname{det} P_{2}$ has $N$ simple zeros $\left\{\lambda_{j}^{*}\right\}_{1}^{N}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{-}$. These zeros and nonzero vectors $v_{j}$ and $\hat{v}_{j}$, constitute complete discrete data, satisfying the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) v_{j}=0  \tag{3.10}\\
& \hat{v}_{j} P_{2}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{j}$ and $\hat{v}_{j}$ are a column and a row vectors, respectively. The Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10) lead to the following relation for the eigenvectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}_{j}=v_{j}^{\dagger} B, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use $v_{j}$ in time-spatial revolution analysis. Take the derivatives of the first equation in (3.10) with respect to $x$ and $t$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1, x} v_{j}+P_{1} v_{j, x}=0  \tag{3.12}\\
& P_{1, t} v_{j}+P_{1} v_{j, t}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Using the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1, x} & =\left(\mu_{-} H_{1}+\mu_{-} H_{2}+\mu_{-} H_{3}+\mu_{+} H_{4}\right)_{x} \\
& =\mu_{-, x} H_{1}+\mu_{-, x} H_{2}+\mu_{-, x} H_{3}+\mu_{+, x} H_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the Lax pair in (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1, x}= & {\left[-i \lambda\left(\Lambda \mu_{-}-\mu_{-} \Lambda\right)+P \mu_{-}\right] H_{1}+\left[-i \lambda\left(\Lambda \mu_{-}-\mu_{-} \Lambda\right)+P \mu_{-}\right] H_{2} } \\
& +\left[-i \lambda\left(\Lambda \mu_{-}-\mu_{-} \Lambda\right)+P \mu_{-}\right] H_{3}+\left[-i \lambda\left(\Lambda \mu_{-}-\mu_{-} \Lambda\right)+P \mu_{-}\right] H_{4} \\
& +\left[-i \lambda\left(\Lambda \mu_{+}-\mu_{+} \Lambda\right)+P \mu_{+}\right] H_{5} \\
= & -i \lambda \Lambda P_{1}+i \lambda P_{1} \Lambda+P P_{1} \\
= & -i \lambda\left[\Lambda, P_{1}\right]+P P_{1} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar arguments show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1, t}=-2 i \lambda^{2}\left[\Lambda, P_{1}\right]+Q P_{1} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.13) and (3.14), respectively, into the first and second equations in (3.12) and noting that $P_{1} v_{j}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \lambda \Lambda v_{j}+v_{j, x}=0,  \tag{3.15}\\
& 2 i \lambda^{2} \Lambda v_{j}+v_{j, t}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

According to (3.15), we have

$$
v_{j}=e^{-i\left(\lambda_{j} x+2 \lambda_{j}^{2} t\right) \Lambda} v_{j, 0},
$$

where $v_{j, 0}$ are constant vectors and (3.11) implies

$$
\hat{v}_{j}=v_{j}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) B=v_{j, 0}^{\dagger} e^{i\left(\lambda_{j}^{*} x+2 \lambda_{j}^{* 2} t\right) \Lambda} B .
$$

## 4. Multi-Soliton Solutions

Expanding $P_{1}(\lambda)$ for large $\lambda$ as

$$
P_{1}(\lambda)=\mathbb{I}+\frac{P_{1}^{(1)}}{\lambda}+\frac{P_{1}^{(2)}}{\lambda^{2}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3}}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

and substituting it into (2.3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(1): i\left[\Lambda, P_{1}^{(1)}\right]=P . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Eq. (4.1) produces the following relations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}(x, t)=2 i\left(P_{1}^{(1)}\right)_{15}, & q_{2}(x, t)=2 i\left(P_{1}^{(1)}\right)_{25}  \tag{4.2}\\
q_{3}(x, t)=2 i\left(P_{1}^{(1)}\right)_{35}, & q_{4}(x, t)=2 i\left(P_{1}^{(1)}\right)_{45}
\end{array}
$$

where $\left(P_{1}^{(1)}\right)_{i j}$ refers to the $(i, j)$-entry of the matrix $P_{1}^{(1)}$.
In order to obtain soliton solutions, we set $G=\mathbb{I}$ in (3.4) and provide the solutions of the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.4), viz.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}(\lambda)=\mathbb{I}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{v_{k} \hat{v}_{j}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j}}{\lambda-\hat{\lambda}_{j}}, \\
& P_{2}(\lambda)=\mathbb{I}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{v_{k} \hat{v}_{j}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j}}{\lambda-\lambda_{j}}, \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ is the $N \times N$ matrix with the entries

$$
M_{k j}=\frac{\hat{v}_{k} v_{j}}{\lambda_{j}-\hat{\lambda}_{k}} .
$$

The Eqs. (4.3) yield

$$
P_{1}^{(1)}=-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_{k} \hat{v}_{j}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j},
$$

where $\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j}$ denotes the $(k, j)$-entry of the inverse matrix $M^{-1}$. Using nonzero vectors $v_{k, 0}=\left(\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}, \tau_{k}, \zeta_{k}, \gamma_{k}\right)^{T}$ and $\theta_{k}=-i\left(\lambda_{k} x+2 \lambda_{k}^{2} t\right)$, we generate the vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{k}= & e^{\theta_{k} \Lambda} v_{k, 0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
e^{\theta_{k}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{\theta_{k}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{\theta_{k}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & e^{\theta_{k}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\theta_{k}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{k} \\
\beta_{k} \\
\tau_{k} \\
\zeta_{k} \\
\gamma_{k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{k} e^{\theta_{k}} \\
\beta_{k} e^{\theta_{k}} \\
\tau_{k} e^{\theta_{k}} \\
\zeta_{k} e^{\theta_{k}} \\
\gamma_{k} e^{-\theta_{k}}
\end{array}\right), \\
\hat{v}_{j}= & v_{j}^{\dagger}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) B \\
= & \left(\alpha_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*},}, \beta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}, \tau_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}, \zeta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}, \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{-\theta_{j}^{*}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & a_{21}^{*} & a_{31}^{*} & a_{41}^{*} & 0 \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32}^{*} & a_{42}^{*} & 0 \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{43}^{*} & 0 \\
a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \left(a_{11} \alpha_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{21} \beta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{31} \tau_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{41} \zeta_{j}^{*} \theta^{\theta_{j}^{*}},\right. \\
& a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{22} \beta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{32} \tau_{j}^{*} e_{j}^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{42} \zeta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}, \\
& a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{j}^{*} e_{j}^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{33} \tau_{j}^{*} e_{j}^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{43} \zeta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}, \\
& \left.a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}}+a_{44} \zeta_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{j}^{*}},-\gamma_{j}^{*} e^{-\theta_{j}^{*}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{k} \hat{v}_{j}= & \left(\begin{array}{lllll}
b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & b_{14} & b_{15} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & b_{24} & b_{25} \\
b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} & b_{34} & b_{35} \\
b_{41} & b_{42} & b_{43} & b_{44} & b_{45} \\
b_{51} & b_{52} & b_{53} & b_{54} & b_{55}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{4.4}\\
\hat{v}_{k} v_{j}= & \left(a_{11} \alpha_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}+a_{21} \beta_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}+a_{31} \tau_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}+a_{41} \zeta_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}\right. \\
& +a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{k}^{*} \beta_{j}+a_{22} \beta_{k}^{*} \beta_{j}+a_{32} \tau_{k}^{*} \beta_{j}+a_{42} \zeta_{k}^{*} \beta_{j} \\
& +a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{k}^{*} \tau_{j}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{k}^{*} \tau_{j}+a_{33} \tau_{k}^{*} \tau_{j}+a_{43} \zeta_{k}^{*} \tau_{j} \\
& \left.+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}+a_{44} \zeta_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}\right) e^{\theta_{k}^{*}+\theta_{j}} \\
& -\gamma_{k}^{*} \gamma_{j} e^{-\theta_{k}^{*}-\theta_{j}},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b_{15}=-\alpha_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}, & b_{25}=-\beta_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}, \quad b_{35}=-\tau_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}, \\
b_{45}=-\zeta_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}, & b_{55}=-\gamma_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}} . &
\end{array}
$$

It should be noted that the values of $b_{i j}, i \leq 5, j \leq 4$ are not used in the calculation of the solution, so we will omit them for convenience.

Previous considerations show that general $N$-soliton solutions of the FCNLS equation (1.4) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}=2 i \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j}, & q_{2}=2 i \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j}, \\
q_{3}=2 i \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j}, & q_{4}=2 i \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \zeta_{k} \gamma_{j}^{*} e^{\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}^{*}}\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j}, \tag{4.5}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{k j}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\hat{\lambda}_{k}}\left[\left(a_{11} \alpha_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}+a_{21} \beta_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}+a_{31} \tau_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}+a_{41} \zeta_{k}^{*} \alpha_{j}\right.\right. \\
&+a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{k}^{*} \beta_{j}+a_{22} \beta_{k}^{*} \beta_{j}+a_{32} \tau_{k}^{*} \beta_{j}+a_{42} \zeta_{k}^{*} \beta_{j} \\
&+a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{k}^{*} \tau_{j}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{k}^{*} \tau_{j}+a_{33} \tau_{k}^{*} \tau_{j}+a_{43} \zeta_{k}^{*} \tau_{j} \\
&\left.+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}+a_{44} \zeta_{k}^{*} \zeta_{j}\right) e^{\theta_{k}^{*}+\theta_{j}} \\
&\left.-\gamma_{k}^{*} \gamma_{j} e^{-\theta_{k}^{*}-\theta_{j}}\right], \quad 1 \leq k, j \leq N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us introduce the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \alpha_{1} e^{\theta_{1}} & \alpha_{2} e^{\theta_{2}} & \ldots & \alpha_{N} e^{\theta_{N}} \\
r_{1}^{*} e^{-\theta_{1}^{*}} & M_{11} & M_{12} & \ldots & M_{1 N} \\
r_{2}^{*} e^{-\theta_{2}^{*}} & M_{21} & M_{22} & \ldots & M_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
r_{N}^{*} e^{-\theta_{N}^{*}} & M_{N 1} & M_{N 2} & \ldots & M_{N N}
\end{array}\right), \\
& G=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \beta_{1} e^{\theta_{1}} & \beta_{2} e^{\theta_{2}} & \ldots & \beta_{N} e^{\theta_{N}} \\
r_{1}^{*} e^{-\theta_{1}^{*}} & M_{11} & M_{12} & \ldots & M_{1 N} \\
r_{2}^{*} e^{-\theta_{2}^{*}} & M_{21} & M_{22} & \ldots & M_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
r_{N}^{*} e^{-\theta_{N}^{*}} & M_{N 1} & M_{N 2} & \ldots & M_{N N}
\end{array}\right), \\
& H=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \tau_{1} e^{\theta_{1}} & \tau_{2} e^{\theta_{2}} & \ldots & \tau_{N} e^{\theta_{N}} \\
r_{1}^{*} e^{-\theta_{1}^{*}} & M_{11} & M_{12} & \ldots & M_{1 N} \\
r_{2}^{*} e^{-\theta_{2}^{*}} & M_{21} & M_{22} & \ldots & M_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
r_{N}^{*} e^{-\theta_{N}^{*}} & M_{N 1} & M_{N 2} & \ldots & M_{N N}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \zeta_{1} e^{\theta_{1}} & \zeta_{2} e^{\theta_{2}} & \ldots & \zeta_{N} e^{\theta_{N}} \\
r_{1}^{*} e^{-\theta_{1}^{*}} & M_{11} & M_{12} & \ldots & M_{1 N} \\
r_{2}^{*} e^{-\theta_{2}^{*}} & M_{21} & M_{22} & \ldots & M_{2 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
r_{N}^{*} e^{-\theta_{N}^{*}} & M_{N 1} & M_{N 2} & \ldots & M_{N N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

needed for the representation of general $N$-soliton solutions. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=-2 i \frac{\operatorname{det} F}{\operatorname{det} M}, \quad q_{2}=-2 i \frac{\operatorname{det} G}{\operatorname{det} M}, \quad q_{3}=-2 i \frac{\operatorname{det} H}{\operatorname{det} M}, \quad q_{4}=-2 i \frac{\operatorname{det} K}{\operatorname{det} M} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the soliton solutions for $N=1$ and $N=2$. If $N=1$, one-soliton solutions have the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}=2 i \frac{\alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}}}{M_{11}}, & q_{2}=2 i \frac{\beta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}}}{M_{11}}, \\
q_{3}=2 i \frac{\tau_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}}}{M_{11}}, & q_{4}=2 i \frac{\zeta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}}}{M_{11}}, \tag{4.7}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{11}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\hat{\lambda}_{1}}[ & \left(a_{11}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|\tau_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& +a_{21} \beta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{31} \tau_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{41} \zeta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1} \\
& +a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{32} \tau_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{42} \zeta_{1}^{*} \beta_{1} \\
& +a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{43} \zeta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1} \\
& \left.\left.+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}\right) e^{\theta_{1}+\theta_{1}^{*}}-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{1}^{*}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\theta_{1}=-i\left(\lambda_{1} x+2 \lambda_{1}^{2} t\right)$. Furthermore, fixing $\gamma_{1}=1, \lambda_{1}=n_{1}+i m_{1}$ and setting

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(a_{11}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|\tau_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+a_{21} \beta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{31} \tau_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{41} \zeta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1} \\
& \quad+a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{32} \tau_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{42} \zeta_{1}^{*} \beta_{1} \\
& \quad+a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{43} \zeta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1} \\
& \left.\quad+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}\right)=e^{2 \xi_{1}}, \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

we write relations (4.7) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}=2 m_{1} \alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{1}+\xi_{1}\right), \\
& q_{2}=2 m_{1} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{1}+\xi_{1}\right), \\
& q_{3}=2 m_{1} \tau_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{1}+\xi_{1}\right),  \tag{4.9}\\
& q_{4}=2 m_{1} \zeta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{1}+\xi_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\theta_{k}=-i\left(\lambda_{k} x+2 \lambda_{k}^{2} t\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}=-2 i n_{1} x-4 i n_{1}^{2} t \\
& \theta_{1}+\theta_{1}^{*}=2 m_{1} x+4 i m_{1}^{2} t+8 n_{1} m_{1} t
\end{aligned}
$$

and the one-soliton solutions (4.7) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}=2 m_{1} \alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{-2 i n_{1} x-4 i n_{1}^{2} t} \operatorname{sech}\left(2 m_{1} x+4 i m_{1}^{2} t+8 n_{1} m_{1} t+\xi_{1}\right) \\
& q_{2}=2 m_{1} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{-2 i n_{1} x-4 i n_{1}^{2} t} \operatorname{sech}\left(2 m_{1} x+4 i m_{1}^{2} t+8 n_{1} m_{1} t+\xi_{1}\right) \\
& q_{3}=2 m_{1} \tau_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{-2 i n_{1} x-4 i n_{1}^{2} t} \operatorname{sech}\left(2 m_{1} x+4 i m_{1}^{2} t+8 n_{1} m_{1} t+\xi_{1}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& q_{4}=2 m_{1} \zeta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{-\xi_{1}} e^{-2 i n_{1} x-4 i n_{1}^{2} t} \operatorname{sech}\left(2 m_{1} x+4 i m_{1}^{2} t+8 n_{1} m_{1} t+\xi_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

According to the Eq. (4.10), the solution $q_{1}$ has the peak amplitude

$$
\Upsilon_{1}=2 m_{1}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|\left|\gamma_{1}^{*}\right| e^{-\xi_{1}}
$$

and the velocity

$$
\varpi_{1}=2 i m_{1}+4 n_{1}
$$

The peak amplitudes and the velocities of $q_{2}, q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ have similar representations - viz.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Upsilon_{2}=2 m_{1}\left|\beta_{1} \| \gamma_{1}^{*}\right| e^{-\xi_{1}}, & \Upsilon_{3}=2 m_{1}\left|\tau_{1} \| \gamma_{1}^{*}\right| e^{-\xi_{1}}, & \Upsilon_{4}=2 m_{1}\left|\zeta_{1} \| \gamma_{1}^{*}\right| e^{-\xi_{1}} \\
\varpi_{2}=2 i m_{1}+4 n_{1}, & \varpi_{3}=2 i m_{1}+4 n_{1}, & \varpi_{4}=2 i m_{1}+4 n_{1}
\end{array}
$$

The functions $\Upsilon_{1}, \Upsilon_{2}, \Upsilon_{3}, \Upsilon_{4}$ depend on the imaginary part of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\varpi_{1}, \varpi_{2}, \varpi_{3}, \varpi_{4}$ depend on the real and the imaginary parts of $\lambda_{1}$. Figs. 1 and 2 show the localised structure and the dynamic behavior of one-soliton solutions. Solutions $q_{2}, q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ exhibit the same behaviour and we do not show them here.

For single soliton solutions (4.10), we take $a_{i j}=-1$ if $i=j$ and $a_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j$. Moreover, let $\alpha_{1}=\tau_{1}=\beta_{1}=\zeta_{1}=1 / 2-\sqrt{2} / 2 i, \gamma_{1}=1, n_{1}=1 / 3, m_{1}=1 / 2$. The shape


Figure 1: One-soliton solution $q_{1}$ for $\gamma_{1}=1, n_{1}=1 / 3, m_{1}=1 / 2, a_{i i}=-1$ and $a_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j, i, j=1,2,3,4$. (a) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$. (b) Density plot. (c) Wave propagation along $x$-axis.


Figure 2: One-soliton solution $q_{1}$ for $a_{i j}=-1(i, j=1,2,3,4), \gamma_{1}=1, n_{1}=1 / 3$ and $m_{1}=1 / 2$. (a) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$. (b) Density plot. (c) Wave propagation along $x$-axis.
of the solution $q_{1}$ is shown in Fig. 1. Fig. 2 shows solutions in the case where $a_{i j}=-1$ if $i \neq j$ and other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1. Note that now the amplitude of the soliton is smaller than in Fig. 1.

For $N=2$, the two-soliton solutions can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{1}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\alpha_{1} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{2} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\alpha_{2} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right), \\
q_{2}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\beta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right), \\
q_{3}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\tau_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\tau_{1} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right.  \tag{4.11}\\
& \left.+\tau_{2} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\tau_{2} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right), \\
q_{4}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\zeta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\zeta_{1} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\zeta_{2} \gamma_{1}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\zeta_{2} \gamma_{2}^{*} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{11}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1}^{*}} & {\left[\left(a_{11}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|\tau_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right.\right.} \\
& +a_{21} \beta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{31} \tau_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{41} \zeta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1} \\
& +a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{32}^{*} \tau_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{42} \zeta_{1}^{*} \beta_{1} \\
& +a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{33}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{43} \zeta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1} \\
& \left.\left.+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}\right) e^{\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{1}}-\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\left(\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{1}\right)}\right], \\
M_{12}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}^{*}} & \left(a_{11} \alpha_{1}^{*} \alpha_{2}+a_{21} \beta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{2}+a_{31} \tau_{1}^{*} \alpha_{2}+a_{41} \zeta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \beta_{2}+a_{22} \beta_{1}^{*} \beta_{2}+a_{32} \tau_{1}^{*} \beta_{2}+a_{42} \zeta_{1}^{*} \beta_{2} \\
&+a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \tau_{2}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \tau_{2}+a_{33} \tau_{1}^{*} \tau_{2}+a_{43} \zeta_{1}^{*} \tau_{2} \\
&\left.\left.+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \zeta_{2}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \zeta_{2}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{1}^{*} \zeta_{2}+a_{44} \zeta_{1}^{*} \zeta_{2}\right) e^{\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{2}}-\gamma_{1}^{*} \gamma_{2} e^{-\theta_{1}^{*}-\theta_{2}}\right], \\
& M_{21}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}^{*}}\left[\left(a_{11} \alpha_{2}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{21} \beta_{2}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{31} \tau_{2}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{41} \zeta_{2}^{*} \alpha_{1}\right.\right. \\
&+a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{22} \beta_{2}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{32} \tau_{2}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{42} \zeta_{2}^{*} \beta_{1} \\
&+a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{2}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{33} \tau_{2}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{43} \zeta_{2}^{*} \tau_{1} \\
&\left.\left.+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{2}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{2}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{44} \zeta_{2}^{*} \zeta_{1}\right) e^{\theta_{2}^{*}+\theta_{1}}-\gamma_{2}^{*} \gamma_{1} e^{-\theta_{2}^{*}-\theta_{1}}\right], \\
& M_{22}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{2}^{*}}\left[\left(a_{11}\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|\tau_{2}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right.\right. \\
&+a_{21} \beta_{2}^{*} \alpha_{2}+a_{31} \tau_{2}^{*} \alpha_{2}+a_{41} \zeta_{2}^{*} \alpha_{2} \\
&+a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \beta_{2}+a_{32} \tau_{2}^{*} \beta_{2}+a_{42} \zeta_{2}^{*} \beta_{2} \\
&+a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \tau_{2}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{2}^{*} \tau_{2}+a_{43} \zeta_{2}^{*} \tau_{2} \\
&+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \zeta_{2}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{2}^{\left.\left.* \zeta_{2}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{2}^{*} \zeta_{2}\right) e^{\theta_{2}^{*}+\theta_{2}}-\left|\gamma_{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\left(\theta_{2}^{*}+\theta_{2}\right)}\right],}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\theta_{1}=-i\left(\lambda_{1} x+2 \lambda_{1}^{2} t\right), \quad \theta_{2}=-i\left(\lambda_{2} x+2 \lambda_{2}^{2} t\right), \quad \lambda_{1}=n_{1}+i m_{1}, \quad \lambda_{2}=n_{2}+i m_{2} .
$$

Choosing $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}, \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}, \zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}$ and using the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{2 \xi_{1}}:=- & \left(a_{11}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{22}\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{33}\left|\tau_{1}\right|^{2}+a_{44}\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& +a_{21} \beta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{31} \tau_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+a_{41} \zeta_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1} \\
& +a_{21}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{32}^{*} \tau_{1}^{*} \beta_{1}+a_{42} \zeta_{\zeta}^{*} \beta_{1} \\
& +a_{31}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{32}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+a_{43} \zeta_{1}^{*} \tau_{1} \\
& \left.+a_{41}^{*} \alpha_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{42}^{*} \beta_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}+a_{43}^{*} \tau_{1}^{*} \zeta_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we write two-soliton solution (4.11) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{1}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\alpha_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\alpha_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\alpha_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right), \\
q_{2}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\beta_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\beta_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\beta_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\beta_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right), \\
q_{3}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\tau_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\tau_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\tau_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\tau_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right), \\
q_{4}= & \frac{-2 i}{M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12} M_{21}}\left(-\zeta_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{22}+\zeta_{1} e^{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\zeta_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}^{*}} M_{21}-\zeta_{2} e^{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{*}} M_{11}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{11}=\frac{-e^{\xi_{1}}}{i m_{1}} \cosh \left(\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{1}+\xi_{1}\right), \\
& M_{12}=\frac{-2 e^{\xi_{1}}}{n_{2}-n_{1}+i\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \cosh \left(\theta_{1}^{*}+\theta_{2}+\xi_{1}\right), \\
& M_{21}=\frac{-2 e^{\xi_{1}}}{n_{1}-n_{2}+i\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \cosh \left(\theta_{2}^{*}+\theta_{1}+\xi_{1}\right), \\
& M_{22}=\frac{-e^{\xi_{1}}}{i m_{2}} \cosh \left(\theta_{2}^{*}+\theta_{2}+\xi_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Fig. 1 we show the elastic collision of two solitons. The solitons maintain their original shape after the collision.

The graphs in Fig. 4 are constructed with the same parameters as for the Fig. 3 with one amendment - viz. here we set $a_{i j}=-1, i \neq j$. Fig. 4 shows that the energy of the soliton is not transferred during the collision - i.e. this is an elastic collision. The solutions $q_{2}, q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ exhibit the same behaviour. Therefore, they are not visualised here.


Figure 3: Two-soliton solution $q_{1}$ for $a_{i i}=-1$ and $a_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j, i, j=1,2,3,4, \alpha_{1}=\tau_{1}=\beta_{1}=\zeta_{1}=$ $1 / 2-\sqrt{2} / 2 i, \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}, \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}, \zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2} n_{1}=-1 / 3, n_{2}=1 / 3, m_{1}=0.25$ and $m_{2}=0.5$. (a) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$. (b) Density plot. (c) Wave propagation along $x$-axis.


Figure 4: Two-soliton solution $q_{1}$ for $a_{i j}=-1(i, j=1,2,3,4), n_{1}=-1 / 3, n_{2}=1 / 3, m_{1}=0.25, m_{2}=0.5$. (a) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$. (b) Density plot. (c) Wave propagation along $x$-axis.

Changing values of the parameters $\beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}, \zeta_{2}$ used for the graphs in Fig. 3 and holding other parameters unchanged, we arrive at another collision type - cf. Fig. 5. Before the collision, the energy of the right soliton is higher than of the left ones. However, after the collision the right soliton reaches the left one and the energy diminishes rapidly. Simultaneously, the soliton from the left reaches the right one and the energy increases rapidly. Thus during this collision the energy transfers from one soliton to the other. Note that similar effect is noted in [22,23].

The graphs in Fig. 6 are constructed mainly with the same parameters as in Fig. 5, but $a_{i j}=-1, i \neq j$. The corresponding soliton collision shown in Figs. 6(a), 6(d) and 6(f) is also inelastic and an energy transfer occurs during the collision. Besides, the soliton has a smaller amplitude than the one in Fig. 5.

Remark 4.1. Considering Figs. 3-6, we note that the parameters $a_{i j}(i \neq j)$ influence the amplitude of the solitons. If $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}, \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}, \zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}$, there is no energy transfer during soliton collision and solitons hold their original shapes - i.e. we have an elastic collision. If $\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1} \neq \beta_{2}, \zeta_{1} \neq \zeta_{2}$, an energy transfer occurs during the collision - i.e. we have an inelastic collision. In both cases, the width of the solitons remain unchanged during the collision.


Figure 5: Two-soliton solutions for $a_{i i}=-1$ and $a_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j, i, j=1,2,3,4, \alpha_{1}=\tau_{1}=\zeta_{1}=1 / 2-$ $\sqrt{2} / 2 i, \beta_{1}=1 / 2, \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1, \alpha_{2}=-1 / 2+\sqrt{2} / 2 i, \beta_{2}=-0.3, \tau_{2}=1 / 2-\sqrt{2} / 2 i, \zeta_{2}=1 / 2+\sqrt{2} / 2 i, n_{1}=$ $-1 / 3, n_{2}=1 / 3, m_{1}=0.25, m_{2}=0.5$. (a) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{1}$. (b) Density plot for $q_{1}$. (c) Wave propagation along $x$-axis for $q_{1}$. (d) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{2}$. (e) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{3}$. (f) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{4}$.


Figure 6: Two-soliton solutions for $a_{i j}=-1(i, j=1,2,3,4), n_{1}=-1 / 3, n_{2}=1 / 3, m_{1}=0.25, m_{2}=0.5$. (a) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{1}$. (b) Density plot for $q_{1}$. (c) Wave propagation along the $x$-axis time for $q_{1}$. (d) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{2}$. (e) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{3}$. (f) Three dimensional plot at $t=0$ for $q_{4}$.

## 5. Conclusions

We employ the Riemann-Hilbert approach in the study of a FCNLS equation (1.4) associated with a $5 \times 5$ Lax pair. In particular, we consider a spectral problem and use the Jost functions in order to derive a Riemann-Hilbert problem for the equation mentioned. We note a symmetry relation for the scattering matrix and study the temporal and spatial evolution of the scattering data, solve the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem without reflection and obtain $N$-soliton solutions of the FCNLS equation. Finally, we consider some solutions in the case if $N=1$ and $N=2$, and describe the local structure and dynamic behavior of one-and two-soliton solutions using their graphic representations.
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