

THE UNIQUENESS OF VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF THE SECOND ORDER FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

Bian Baojun

Zhejiang University

Received January 26, 1987; revised May 23, 1987

Abstract

Recently R. Jensen [1] has proved the uniqueness of viscosity solutions in $W^{1,\infty}$ of second order fully nonlinear elliptic equation $F(D^2u, Du, u) = 0$. He does not assume F to be convex. In this paper we extend his result [1] to the case that F can be dependent on x , i. e. prove that the viscosity solutions in $W^{1,\infty}$ of the second order fully nonlinear elliptic equation $F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0$ are unique. We do not assume F to be convex either.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the problem of uniqueness of viscosity solutions of the fully nonlinear second order elliptic partial differential equation

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1.1}$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \tag{1.2}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we define

$$F_\varepsilon^+(D^2u, Du, u, x) = F(D^2u, Du, u, x + \varepsilon Du / (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \tag{1.3}$$

$$F_\varepsilon^-(D^2u, Du, u, x) = F(D^2u, Du, u, x - \varepsilon Du / (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \tag{1.4}$$

where $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \varepsilon\}$

In 1983 the definition of "viscosity solution" was introduced by M. G. Crandall and P. L. Lions [2] as a notion of weak solution of Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$H(Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1.5}$$

Under some assumptions, they have established global uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions. In P. L. Lions work⁽⁴⁾ the definition of "viscosity solution" was extended to second order problems, i. e., to (1.1), and under some regularity assumptions on F which include the convexity of F , the uniqueness of viscosity solutions was proved. Finally R. Jensen⁽¹⁾ proved uniqueness of viscosity solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) in 1986. He does not assume F to be convex but only not allow spatial dependence in x . The techniques he used in [1] are new. He constructed two approximation operators $A_\varepsilon^+[u] = u_\varepsilon^+ \geq A_\varepsilon^-[u] = u_\varepsilon^-$ and proved his result.

In this paper we prove a maximum principle of viscosity solutions which implies the uniqueness of viscosity solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) in the two cases: (α) F is degenerate elliptic, decreasing and uniformly continuous in x ; or (β) F is uniformly elliptic, nonincreasing, Lipschitz continuous in p and uniformly continuous in x . We do not assume F to be convex either. The techniques which we use are similar to that in [1] but with some improvement. First we prove that $A_\varepsilon^+[\cdot]$ takes viscosity subsolutions of (1.1) into viscosity subsolutions of $F_\varepsilon^+[\cdot] = 0$ and $A_\varepsilon^-[\cdot]$ takes viscosity supersolutions into viscosity supersolutions of $F_\varepsilon^-[\cdot] = 0$. Then we obtain an estimation of semiconvex functions. Lastly we combine these results with results of [1] and give the maximum principle of viscosity solutions.

We implicitly assume throughout this paper that Ω is a bounded domain in R^n , g is continuous on $\partial\Omega$ and solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) are always in $C(\bar{\Omega})$.

We wish to thank Prof. Dong Gaungchang for his suggestions and advice.

2. Viscosity Solutions

We begin by recalling some definitions. The set of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices will be denoted by $S(n)$. These matrices admit the partial ordering $>$ where $M > N$ if $M - N$ is positive semidefinite. A fully nonlinear P. D. O. $F[\cdot]$ is defined by

$$F[\varphi](x) = F(D^2\varphi, D\varphi, \varphi, \cdot)(x) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega) \quad (2.1)$$

where $F \in C(S(n) \times R^n \times R \times \Omega)$.

Definition 2.1. The operator $F[\cdot]$ is degenerate elliptic if

$$F(M, p, t, x) \geq F(N, p, t, x) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $M > N$ and all $(p, t, x) \in R^n \times R \times \Omega$. The operator $F[\cdot]$ is uniformly elliptic if there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$F(M, p, t, x) - F(N, p, t, x) \geq c_1 \text{trace}(M - N) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $M > N$ and $(p, t, x) \in R^n \times R \times \Omega$

Definition 2.2. The operator $F[\cdot]$ is nonincreasing if

$$F(M, p, t, x) \leq F(M, p, s, x) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $t \geq s$ and $(M, p, x) \in S(n) \times R^n \times \Omega$. The operator $F[\cdot]$ is decreasing if there is a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(M, p, t, x) - F(M, p, s, x) \leq c_2(s - t) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $t > s$ and $(M, p, x) \in S(n) \times R^n \times \Omega$.

Definition 2.3. The operator $F[\cdot]$ is Lipschitz in p if there is a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$F(M, p, t, x) - F(M, q, t, x) \leq c_3|p - q| \quad (2.6)$$

for all

$$(M, p, q, t, x) \in S(n) \times R^n \times R^n \times R \times \Omega$$

The operator $F[\cdot]$ is uniformly continuous in x if there is a continuous increasing function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma(0) = 0$ and

$$F(M, p, t, x) - F(M, p, t, y) \leq \sigma(|x - y|) \quad (2.7)$$

for all

$$(M, p, t, x, y) \in S(n) \times R^n \times R \times \Omega \times \Omega$$

Definition 2.4. $w \in C(\Omega)$ is a viscosity supersolution of (1.1) if

$$F(M, p, w(x), x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } (p, M) \in D^-w(x) \text{ and all } x \in \Omega \quad (2.8)$$

$w \in C(\Omega)$ is a viscosity subsolution of (1.1) if

$$F(M, p, w(x), x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (p, M) \in D^+w(x) \text{ and all } x \in \Omega \quad (2.9)$$

$w \in C(\Omega)$ is a viscosity solution of (1.1) if both (2.8) and (2.9) hold, where $D^+w(x)$ and $D^-w(x)$ denote superdifferential and subdifferential of $w(x)$, respectively (see [1]).

Lemma 2.5. Let $w \in C(\Omega)$. The following are equivalent:

(i) w is a viscosity supersolution of (1.1);

(ii) $F(D^2\varphi(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_0), x_0) \leq 0$ for all open set $G \subset \Omega$ and all $(x_0, \varphi) \in G \times C^\infty(G)$ such that $w(x) \geq \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in G$, $w(x_0) = \varphi(x_0)$.

The proof of Lemma 2.5 is similar to that of Lemma 2.15 in [1].

Lemma 2.6. Let $w \in C(\Omega)$. The following are equivalent:

(i) w is a viscosity subsolution of (1.1);

(ii) $F(D^2\varphi(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_0), x_0) \geq 0$ for all open set $G \subset \Omega$ and all $(x_0, \varphi) \in G \times C^\infty(G)$ such that $w(x) \leq \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in G$, $w(x_0) = \varphi(x_0)$.

Definition 2.7. For all $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}_0]$ ($\bar{\varepsilon}_0$ is the range in the implicit function Theorem, see [1]), we define

$$F_\varepsilon^\pm(M, p, t, x) = F(M, p, t, x \pm \varepsilon p / (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (2.10)$$

for all $(M, p, t, x) \in S(n) \times R^n \times R \times \Omega$. It is very clear that $F_\varepsilon^\pm \in C(S(n) \times R^n \times R$