

## NUMERICAL METHODS FOR NON-SMOOTH $L^1$ OPTIMIZATION : APPLICATIONS TO FREE SURFACE FLOWS AND IMAGE DENOISING

ALEXANDRE CABOUSSAT, ROLAND GLOWINSKI, AND VICTORIA PONS

**Abstract.** Non-smooth optimization problems based on  $L^1$  norms are investigated for smoothing of signals with noise or functions with sharp gradients. The use of  $L^1$  norms allows to reduce the blurring introduced by methods based on  $L^2$  norms. Numerical methods based on over-relaxation and augmented Lagrangian algorithms are proposed. Applications to free surface flows and image denoising are presented.

**Key Words.**  $L^1$  optimization, Over-relaxation algorithm, Augmented Lagrangian methods, Smoothing, Image Denoising.

### 1. Introduction

The need to smooth a given function is a problem that arises in many fields of science and engineering. A trade-off between the conservation of the accuracy and the regularity properties must be obtained. In volume-of-fluid methods pertaining to computational fluid dynamics, the smoothing of volume fractions of materials is required when calculating interfacial effects [2, 16]. In image treatment, noise can be removed by the application of appropriate filters, based on average mean calculations, low/high-pass filters or PDE-based techniques. Classical smoothing techniques range from kernel-based methods [2], to PDE-based techniques or wavelet-based methods [9]. However when using classical techniques, based on quadratic or  $L^2$  norms, blurring of the sharp edges is often introduced. Recently, methods based on  $L^1$  distances have received a lot more attention in various settings [4, 8, 9, 12, 19, 20]. More generally, smoothing is required when a numerical approximation of the derivatives of a non-smooth function is needed.

In this article, numerical methods for non-smooth optimization problems relying on  $L^1$  norms are presented in order to reduce the blurring due to quadratic terms in classical methods. The solution methods for the smoothing of a given signal require advanced techniques since strict convexity and differentiability properties are not satisfied. Moreover, the uniqueness of the solution is not guaranteed, unless some regularization terms are introduced [15, 21].

The problems addressed here consist of the minimization of the distance between a given signal, typically with jumps or noise, and a smooth approximation whose first derivatives are regular. The  $L^1$  distance is considered first. A smoothing term is introduced to add regularity. The regularization term is given either by the  $L^2$  norm or the  $L^1$  norm of the gradient of the approximated solution. Finally the  $L^2$  distance is considered together with a  $L^1$  smoothing term with bounded variation. Efficient numerical techniques are proposed for the solution of each of

---

Received by the editors October 30, 2008 and, in revised form, January 5, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65K10, 65N30, 68U10, 65D10, 93E14 .

these problems. The space discretization is addressed with piecewise linear finite elements. The discretized optimization problems are solved with either an over-relaxation algorithm [17], or an augmented Lagrangian approach [17, 18] when the strict convexity property is not satisfied, or a combination of both.

Numerical results are presented for two kinds of applications. First the smoothing of volume fractions in volume-of-fluid algorithms for multiphase flows is known to introduce artificial numerical errors near the boundaries of the physical domain (spurious currents) [2, 3, 16, 24, 27, 28]. The approximation of the surface tension effects near the boundaries requires for instance the introduction of *ghost cells* outside the domain [13]. This drawback can be corrected by the proposed approach.

On the other hand, image denoising and reconstruction is a very active field of research [6, 8, 10, 25]. The use of  $L^1$  distance has two main properties: it allows to avoid the blurring of edges due to quadratic regularization terms, while being appropriate for removing the noise. Numerical examples based on a famous example (see *e.g.* [10]), are presented to compare the suggested approaches.

## 2. Non-Smooth Optimization Models

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  be a given function (or *signal*), that contains either sharp interfaces, discontinuities along lines or points, or noise. We want to approximate the signal  $f$  by a smooth function  $u$  (typically  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ) in order to (i) be able to approximate the derivatives of  $f$  through the derivatives of the function  $u$ , or (ii) remove the noise from the original signal.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be bounded with partition of the boundary  $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$ . Let us denote by  $V_0$  and  $W_0$  the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v|_{\Gamma_0} = 0\}, \\ W_0 &= \{v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) : v|_{\Gamma_0} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Neumann case  $\Gamma_0 = \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$  is also included. We consider three possible approaches: first the  $L^1$  distance between the original function and its smooth approximation is considered, together with a regularization term depending on the gradient of the approximation. This regularization term can be taken as the  $L^2$  or the  $L^1$  norm of the gradient. The use of the  $L^1$  distance allows to conserve the sharp gradient (edges) of the original function. Finally, we consider the  $L^2$  distance, together with a  $L^1$  smoothing term, and design adequate numerical methods for each of these problems.

**2.1. Optimization with  $L^1$  Distance and  $L^2$  Smoothing Term.** For  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , solve

$$(1) \quad \min_{v \in V_0} \int_{\Omega} |v - f| dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

The distance term  $\int_{\Omega} |v - f| dx$  is not differentiable, but the addition of the smoothing term  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx$  forces uniqueness through (strict) convexity. The following theorem holds:

**Theorem 1.** *Problem (1) admits a unique solution  $u \in V_0$  (also if  $\Gamma_0 = \emptyset$ ). The solution is characterized by*

$$(2) \quad \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v - u) dx + \int_{\Omega} |v - f| dx - \int_{\Omega} |u - f| dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in V_0.$$