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#### Abstract

In this paper, some new results on the estimations of bounds for determinant of Hadamard Product of two H-matrices are given. Several recent results are improved and generalized.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $R^{m \times n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ real matrices and $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in R^{m \times n}$. The Hadamard product of $A$ and $B$ is defined as an $m \times n$ matrix denoted by $A \circ B:(A \circ B)_{i j}=a_{i j} b_{i j}$. $|A|$ is defined by $(|A|)_{i j}=\left|a_{i j}\right|$.

We write $A \geq B$ if $a_{i j} \geq b_{i j}$ for all $i, j$. A real $n \times n$ matrix A is called a nonsingular M-matrix if $A=s I-B$ satisfies: $s>0, B \geq 0$ and $s>\rho(B)$, where $\rho(B)$ is the spectral radius of $B$. Let $M_{n}$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ nonsingular M-matrices. Suppose $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in R^{n \times n}$, its comparison matrix $\mu(A)=\left(m_{i j}\right)$ is defined by

$$
m_{i j}= \begin{cases}\left|a_{i j}\right|, & \text { if } i=j \\ -\left|a_{i j}\right|, & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

A real $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is called an H -matrix if its comparison matrix $\mu(A)$ is a nonsingular M-matrix. $H_{n}$ denotes the set of all $n \times n$ H-matrices. Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$. $A_{k}$ denotes the $k \times k$ successive principal submatrix of $A$.

In [1], Yao-tang Li and Ji-cheng Li gave an estimation of bounds for determinant of Hadamard product of two H -matrices recently as follows:
Theorem $^{[1, \text { Theorem } 6]}$. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in H_{n}, \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i i} b_{i i}>0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}(A \circ B) & \geq\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i i}\right) \operatorname{det}(\mu(A))+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i i}\right|\right) \operatorname{det}(\mu(B)) \cdot \prod_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|\frac{a_{i k} a_{k i}}{a_{i i} a_{k k}}\right|  \tag{1}\\
& =W_{n}(A, B) .
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, we will improve this result and generalize Jian-zhou Liu's main results on M-matrices in [2] to H -matrices.
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## 2. Some Lemmas

In this section, we will give some lemmas that shall be used.
From the definitions and [2, Lemma 3], the following two lemmas are obtained immediately.
Lemma 1. If $A \in H_{n}, A_{k}$ is the $k \times k$ successive principal submatrix of $A$, then $A_{k} \in H_{k}$.
Lemma 2. If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in H_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i i}\right| \geq\left|a_{k k}\right| \operatorname{det}[\mu(A(k))] \geq \operatorname{det}[\mu(A)] \geq 0, \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(k) \in R^{(n-1) \times(n-1)}$ is the principal submatrix of matrix $A$ obtained by deleting row and column $k$ of $A$.
Lemma 3. If $A$ and $B \in H_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{k k}\right| \frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(B_{k-1}\right)\right]}-\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(A_{k}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(A_{k-1}\right)\right]} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(B_{k-1}\right)\right]} \\
& \geq \frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(B_{k-1}\right)\right]} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|\frac{a_{i k} a_{k i}}{a_{i i}}\right|, \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 1,

$$
A_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{k-1} & A_{12}^{(k-1)} \\
A_{21}^{(k-1)} & a_{k k}
\end{array}\right), B_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{k-1} & B_{12}^{(k-1)} \\
B_{21}^{(k-1)} & b_{k k}
\end{array}\right) \in H_{k}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(\left|a_{11}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{k-1, k-1}\right|\right) \geq \mu\left(A_{k-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left[\mu\left(A_{k-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \geq \operatorname{diag}\left(\left|a_{11}^{-1}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{k-1, k-1}^{-1}\right|\right)>0
$$

So,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|A_{21}^{(k-1)}\right|\left[\mu\left(A_{k-1)}\right]^{-1}\left|A_{12}^{(k-1)}\right| \geq\left|A_{21}^{(k-1)}\right| \operatorname{diag}\left(\left|a_{11}^{-1}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{k-1, k-1}^{-1}\right|\right)\left|A_{12}^{(k-1)}\right|\right. \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|\frac{a_{i k} a_{k i}}{a_{i i}}\right| \geq 0  \tag{4}\\
& \begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(A_{k}\right)\right] & =\operatorname{det} \mu\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{k-1} & A_{12}^{(k-1)} \\
A_{21}^{(k-1)} & a_{k k}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu\left(A_{k-1}\right) & -\left|A_{12}^{(k-1)}\right| \\
-\left|A_{21}^{(k-1)}\right| & \left|a_{k k}\right|
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu\left(A_{k-1}\right) & \left|a_{k k}\right|-\left|A_{21}^{(k-1)}\right|\left[\mu\left(A_{k-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\left|A_{12}^{(k-1)}\right|
\end{array}\right) \\
0 & =\operatorname{det}\left[\mu\left(A_{k-1}\right)\right] \cdot\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|-\left|A_{21}^{(k-1)}\right|\left[\mu\left(A_{k-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\left|A_{12}^{(k-1)}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$
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