## THE GPL-STABILITY OF RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS FOR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS\*1)

Biao Yang Lin Qiu Jiao-xun Kuang (Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China.)

## Abstract

This paper deals with the GPL-stability of the Implicit Runge-Kutta methods for the numerical solutions of the systems of delay differential equations. We focus on the stability behaviour of the Implicit Runge-Kutta(IRK) methods in the solutions of the following test systems with a delay term

$$y'(t) = Ly(t) + My(t - \tau), \quad t \ge 0,$$
  
 $y(t) = \Phi(t), \quad t < 0,$ 

where L, M are  $N \times N$  complex matrices,  $\tau > 0, \Phi(t)$  is a given vector function. We shall show that the IRK methods is GPL-stable if and only if it is L-stable, when we use the IRK methods to the test systems above.

Key words: Delay differential equation, Implicit Runge-Kutta methods, GPL-stability.

## 1. Introduction

Before dealing with the numerical stability analysis of the IRK methods for systems of DDEs, we consider the following initial value problem

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \quad t > t_0,$$
 (1)

$$y(t_0) = y_0, (2)$$

where f is a given function and y(t) is unknown for  $t > t_0$ .

For the initial problem (1)-(2), consider an Implicit Runge-Kutta method,

$$K_{n,i} = hf(t_n + c_i h, y_n + \sum_{j=1}^{v} a_{ij} K_{n,j}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, v,$$
 (3)

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \sum_{i=1}^{v} b_i K_{n,i}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (4)

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{v} b_i = 1$ ,  $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{v} a_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i \le v$ ,  $y_n \sim y(t_n)$ ,  $t_n = t_0 + nh$  and h > 0 is a stepsize.

<sup>\*</sup> Received January 29 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup>The project is supported by Science and Technology Foundation of Shanghai Higher Education.

When we want to analyze the numerical stability of the IRK methods, we focus on the stability behaviour of the IRK methods with respect to the following linear test equations

$$y'(t) = \lambda y(t), \quad Re(\lambda) < 0,$$
 (5)

$$y(t_0) = y_0. (6)$$

We get the numerical recurrence formula, (see [7])

$$y_{n+1} = r(\bar{h})y_n, \quad n \ge 0, \tag{7}$$

 $r(\bar{h}) = 1 + \bar{h}b^T(I - \bar{h}A)^{-1}e$ 

$$= \frac{\det[I - \bar{h}(A - eb^T)]}{\det[I - \bar{h}A]}, \text{ if } \det[I - \bar{h}A] \neq 0.$$
(8)

**Definition 1.1.** (see [7]) Let R(q) be a function of q.

- (a) If  $Re(q) < 0 \Longrightarrow |R(q)| < 1$ , then we say R(q) is A-acceptable;
- (b) If  $q < 0 \Longrightarrow |R(q)| < 1$ , then we say R(q) is  $A_0$ -acceptable;
- (c) If R(q) is A-acceptable and  $\lim_{Re(q)\to -\infty} |R(q)|=0$ , then we say R(q) is L-acceptable.

From Definition 1.1, we have the following statements. For the Implicit Runge-Kutta methods (3)-(4),

- (1) it is A-stable if and only if  $r(\bar{h})$  is A-acceptable;
- (2) it is L-stable if and only if  $r(\bar{h})$  is L-acceptable.

## 2. The GPL-Stability of the IRK Methods

For the following systems of delay differential equations

$$y'(t) = Ly(t) + My(t - \tau), \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (9)

$$y(t) = \Phi(t), \quad t \le 0, \tag{10}$$

where  $y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_N(t))^T$ , L and M are constant complex  $N \times N$  matrices,  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\Phi(t)$  denotes a given vector value function and y(t) is unknown for t > 0.

We consider the exponential solutions of (9)-(10) in the form

$$y(t) = \xi \cdot e^{\zeta t}, \quad \xi \in C^N. \tag{11}$$

We have

**Lemma 2.1.** (see [5]) The systems (9) has nonzero exponential solutions if and only if

$$det[\zeta I - L - Me^{-\zeta \tau}] = 0. \tag{12}$$

The equation (12) is called the characteristic equation of (9), and (9) is asymptotically stable if and only if every root  $\zeta$  of (12) satisfies  $Re(\zeta) < 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (see [5]) Assume that the coefficients of (9) satisfy

$$\eta(L) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\max}(L + L^*) < 0,$$
(13)

$$||M|| < -\eta(L), \tag{14}$$

then all roots of the equation (12) have negative real parts and the systems of (9) is asymptotically stable, i.e.,  $\lim_{t\to -\infty} y(t) = 0$ .