

Some Complexes and Modules Induced by Strongly FP-injective Modules

Donglin He, Yuyan Li and Wujun Pu*

School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Longnan Normal University, Longnan 742500, P.R. China.

Received 20 September 2025; Accepted 17 November 2025

Abstract. Let R be an associative ring with identity. In this paper, we consider generalizations of Gorenstein FP-injective R -modules and FP-injective complexes, give the definitions and characterizations of strongly Gorenstein FP-injective R -modules and strongly FP-injective complexes, which are induced by strongly FP-injective modules. Then we investigate the strongly FP-injective dimension of complexes.

AMS subject classifications: 16E05, 18G35, 18G20

Key words: Cotorsion pairs, strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules, strongly FP-injective complexes, strongly FP-injective dimension.

1 Introduction

Gorenstein homological theories play an important role in relative algebra. Auslander [12] introduced the notion of G -dimension of finite R -modules over a commutative Noetherian local ring. Auslander and Bridge [1] extended this notion to two sided Noetherian rings. Enochs and Jenda [3] defined Gorenstein projective modules (not necessarily finitely generated) and Gorenstein injective modules over arbitrary ring. Later, many authors have studied and generalized these notions successively.

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* hedonglin@lntc.edu.cn (D. He), nwnulyy@126.com (Y. Li), puwujun@lntc.edu.cn (W. Pu)

In 2013, as an extension of the notion of Gorenstein injective modules, Hu and Zhang [8] introduced the notion of Gorenstein FP-injective R -modules over a left coherent ring based on the complete hereditary cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{FP}, \mathcal{FI})$ in $R\text{-Mod}$. Here, the symbol \mathcal{FP} (resp., \mathcal{FI}) stands for the subcategory of all FP-projective (resp., FI-injective) modules. Li *et al.* [9] give the definition of strongly FP-injective modules, and proved that $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair over arbitrary ring, where \mathcal{SFI} denotes the subcategory of all strongly FP-injective modules. It is natural to consider another extension of Gorenstein injective modules based on the cotorsion pair $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$, that is called strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules in this paper.

Rozas [11] systematically introduced projective complexes, injective complexes and flat complexes. Yang and Liu [16] give the definition of FP-injective complexes. Wang and Liu [17] further investigated FP-injective dimension of complexes. These works are based on a left coherent ring. One purpose of this paper is to extend above works: we introduce and study strongly FP-injective complexes and strongly FP-injective dimension of complexes over an arbitrary ring.

We now state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an R -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) M is a strongly Gorenstein FP-injective module.
- (2) $M \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ and there is an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $N_i \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$, which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$.
- (3) There is an exact sequence $S = \cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1} \rightarrow S_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$ with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$ such that $M \cong \text{Im}(S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1})$, which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{SGFI}, -)$.
- (4) There is an exact sequence $S = \cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1} \rightarrow S_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$ with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$ such that $M \cong \text{Im}(S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1})$, which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a complex. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) X is a flat complex.
- (2) $X^+ = \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ is a strongly FP-injective complex.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that X is a strongly FP-injective complex and F is a finitely presented complex. Then $\text{Ext}^{i \geq 1}(F, X) = 0$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let C be a complex and n an integer. Then the following assertions about strongly FP-injective dimension of C are equivalent:*

- (1) $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(C) \leq n$.

- (2) $\inf H(C) \geq -n$ and $Z_{-n}(I) \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for each dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I$.
- (3) $\inf H(C) \geq -n$ and $Z_j(I) \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for every $j \leq -n$ for each dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I$.
- (4) There exists a dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I'$ such that $H_j(I') = 0$ for every $j \leq -n-1$ and $Z_{-n}(I') \in \mathcal{SFI}$.
- (5) There exists a dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I'$ such that $H_j(I') = 0$ for every $j \leq -n-1$ and $Z_j(I') \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for each $j \leq -n$.

The contents of the paper are summarized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some known notions and results. In Section 3, we introduce strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules, then give some properties and characterizations of strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules. Section 4 is devoted to strongly FP-injective complexes and strongly FP-injective dimension of complexes.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity, $R\text{-Mod}$ denotes the category of all left R -modules. By a “module” we always mean a left R -module. By the term “subcategory” we always mean a full additive subcategory closed under isomorphisms.

2.1 Cotorsion pairs

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and \mathcal{X} a subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Define

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{X} &= \left\{ M \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, X) = 0 \text{ for any object } X \in \mathcal{X} \right\}, \\ {}^{\perp}\mathcal{X} &= \left\{ M \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{i \geq 1}(M, X) = 0 \text{ for any object } X \in \mathcal{X} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{X}^{\perp} and \mathcal{X}^{\perp_1} are similarly defined. A pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of subcategories of \mathcal{A} is said to be a cotorsion pair if $\mathcal{X}^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{Y}$ and ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}$. The cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is said to be hereditary if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{i \geq 1}(X, Y) = 0$ for all objects $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. A morphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is called an \mathcal{X} -preenvelope of M if for any morphism $f: M \rightarrow X'$ with $X' \in \mathcal{X}$, there is a morphism $g: X \rightarrow X'$ such that $g\varphi = f$. A monomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow B$ with $B \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be a special \mathcal{X} of M if φ is an \mathcal{X} -preenvelope of M and $\text{coker } \varphi \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{X}$. Dually, an \mathcal{X} -precover and special \mathcal{X} -precover are similarly defined. A cotorsion pair is said to be complete provided that every object of \mathcal{A} has a special \mathcal{Y} -preenvelope and special \mathcal{X} -precover (for more details see [4]).

2.2 FP-injective modules and strongly FP-injective modules

A module M is called FP-injective [14] if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$ for each finitely presented module N . FP-injective modules act in ways similar to injective modules. Let \mathcal{FI} denote the subcategory of all FP-injective modules, and \mathcal{FP} denote the collection of modules N such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$ for any FP-injective module M , that is called FP-projective module [10]. $(\mathcal{FP}, \mathcal{FI})$ forms a complete hereditary cotorsion pair over a left coherent ring. A module M is called a strongly FP-injective module [9], if $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(N, M) = 0$ for each finitely presented module N . Our study of strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules is related to the coherence criteria obtained via strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules in [2], which provide ring-theoretic contexts where our notions naturally arise. In what follows, we denote by \mathcal{SFI} the subcategory of all strongly FP-injective modules. It is obvious that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{SFI} \subseteq \mathcal{FI}$, where \mathcal{I} denote the subcategory of all injective modules. Some results in [9] are spread out as follows, which will be used repeatedly in the paper.

Lemma 2.1. *The following statements are hold:*

- (1) \mathcal{SFI} is closed under extensions, products and cokernels of monomorphisms.
- (2) A right R -module M is flat if and only if $M^+ = \text{Hom}_R(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a strongly FP-injective left R -module.
- (3) $({}^{\perp_1} \mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair.

Lemma 2.2. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a left coherent ring.
- (2) $\mathcal{SFI} = \mathcal{FI}$.
- (3) Every direct limit of strongly FP-injective left R -modules is FP-injective.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -Mod, and U a strongly FP-injective module. Then V is a strongly FP-injective module if and only if W is a strongly FP-injective module.*

2.3 Complexes

Let $\mathcal{C}(R)$ denote the abelian category of complexes of left R -modules. A complex of modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}^X} X_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n^X} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}^X} \cdots$$

is denoted by X . The n -th cycle (resp., homology) module is defined as $\text{Ker}\delta_n^X$ (resp., $\text{Ker}\delta_n^X/\text{Im}\delta_{n+1}^X$) and denoted by $Z_n(X)$ (resp., $H_n(X)$). $\sup X = \sup\{i \mid X_i \neq 0\}$, $\inf X = \inf\{i \mid X_i \neq 0\}$. Given a module M , we use $D^n(M)$ to denote the complex $\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{1} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$ with M in n -th and $(n-1)$ -th positions. We also use $S^n(M)$ to denote the complex with M in degree zero and 0 elsewhere. Let \mathcal{W} be a subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$. Then a complex X is said to be exact under $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{W})$ if the complex $\text{Hom}_R(X, W)$ is exact for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$.

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of complexes is a family of homomorphisms $f = (f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of modules satisfying $\delta_n^Y f_n = f_{n-1} \delta_n^X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A quasi-isomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism such that the induced map $H(f): H(X) \rightarrow H(Y)$ is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For complexes X and Y , denote by $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(X, Y)$ the complex of \mathbb{Z} -modules with n -th component $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(X, Y)_n = \prod_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(X_t, Y_{n+t})$ and differential

$$\delta_n(f) = \left(\delta_{n+m}^Y f_m - (-1)^n f_{m-1} \delta_m^X \right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{H}\text{om}(X, Y)_n$. It is easy to see that $\text{Hom}(X, Y) = Z_0(\mathcal{H}\text{om}(X, Y))$. Let $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) = Z(\mathcal{H}\text{om}(X, Y))$. One checks that $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)$ can be made into a complex in which $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)_n$ is the abelian group of morphisms from X to $\Sigma^{-n}Y$ and whose boundary operator is given by $\delta_n(f): X \rightarrow \Sigma^{-(n-1)}Y$ where $\delta_n(f)_m = (-1)^n \delta_m^Y f_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)_n$, in which $\Sigma^{-n}Y$ is a complex satisfying the condition that $(\Sigma^{-n}Y)_i = Y_{i+n}$ and whose boundary operators are $(-1)^{-n} \delta_{i+n}^Y$ (for more details see [17]).

Following [5], a complex X is called finitely generated if, in the case where we can write $X = \sum_{i \in I} Y_i$ with $Y_i \in \mathcal{C}(R)$ subcomplexes of X , there exists a finite subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $X = \sum_{j \in J} Y_j$. A complex X is called finitely presented if X is finitely generated and for every exact sequence of complexes $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with L finitely generated, K is also finitely generated.

Lemma 2.4 ([5]). *The following statements are hold:*

- (1) *A complex X is finitely presented if and only if X is bounded and X_n is finitely presented in $R\text{-Mod}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*
- (2) *If M is a finitely presented module, then $S^i(M)$ and $D^i(M)$ are finitely presented complexes for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Definition 2.1 ([17]). *A complex X is called FP-injective if $\text{Ext}^1(F, X) = 0$ for all every finitely presented complex F .*

Lemma 2.5 ([17]). *Let X be a complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) X is an FP-injective complex.
- (2) X is exact and $Z_n(X)$ is an FP-injective module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) X_n is an FP-injective module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(F, X)$ is exact for each finitely presented complex F .

Definition 2.2 ([8]). Let M be an R -module. M is called a Gorenstein FP-injective module if there exists an exact sequence

$$Y = \cdots \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow Y_{-1} \rightarrow Y_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $Y_i \in \mathcal{FI}$ when $i \geq 0$ and Y_i is injective when $i < 0$ such that $M \cong \text{Im}(Y_0 \rightarrow Y_{-1})$, and which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ is applied for any $H \in \mathcal{FP} \cap \mathcal{FI}$. The subcategory of all Gorenstein FP-injective modules is denoted by \mathcal{GFI} .

3 Strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules

We start this section with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let M be an R -module. Then M is said to be a strongly Gorenstein FP-injective module if there exists an exact sequence

$$X = \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1^X} X_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0^X} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{-1}^X} X_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $X_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$ when $i \geq 0$ and X_i is injective when $i < 0$ such that $M \cong \text{Im} \delta_0^X$, and X stays exact under $\text{Hom}_R(S, -)$ for any $S \in {}^{\perp_1} \mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$. This exact sequence is called a complete \mathcal{SFI} - \mathcal{I} resolution. The subcategory of all strongly Gorenstein FP-injective modules is denoted by \mathcal{SGFI} .

Remark 3.1. It is obvious that $\text{Im} \delta_i^X$ is a strongly Gorenstein FP-injective module for each $i \leq 0$ in the above definition.

Lemma 3.1. Let M be a module. Then:

- (1) M is strongly Gorenstein FP-injective if and only if $M \in ({}^{\perp_1} \mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ and there exists an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$, which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1} \mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$.
- (2) If M is a strongly FP-injective module, then $M \in \mathcal{SGFI}$.
- (3) If M is an injective module, then $M \in \mathcal{SGFI}$.

- (4) For each exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ with $U \in \mathcal{SFI}$ and $W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, then $V \in \mathcal{SGFI}$.

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) Let M be a strongly Gorenstein FP-injective module. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$X = \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1^X} X_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0^X} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{-1}^X} X_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $X_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$ when $i \geq 0$ and X_i is injective when $i < 0$ such that $M \cong \text{Im} \delta_0^X$, and X stays exact under $\text{Hom}_R(S, -)$ for any $S \in {}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$. It is sufficient to prove that $M \in ({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_{-1} \rightarrow \text{Im} \delta_{-1}^X \rightarrow 0$. By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(S, -)$ to the sequence for any $S \in {}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$, we can get an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S, X_{-1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S, \text{Im} \delta_{-1}^X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(S, M) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\text{Hom}_R(S, X_{-1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S, \text{Im} \delta_{-1}^X)$ is exact, so $\text{Ext}_R^1(S, M) = 0$. By the shifting formula of dimension and Remark 3.1, it is easy to check that $\text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(S, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(S, \text{Im} \delta_{-i}^X)$ for any $i \geq 0$, hence $M \in ({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $M \in ({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ and there exists an exact sequence $(\xi) = \cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$, which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Let

$$(\xi_1) = 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow S_{-1} \rightarrow S_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

be an injective resolution of M with S_i an injective module for each $i \leq -1$. Since $M \in ({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ and $S_i \in ({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ for any $i \leq -1$, we can get (ξ_1) is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$ by [13, Lemma 2.9]. Hence, M is strongly Gorenstein FP-injective by combining (ξ) and (ξ_1) .

- (2) Let M be a strongly FP-injective module. Then $M \in ({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI})^{\perp} \subseteq ({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ by Lemma 2.1(3). Considering the exact sequence

$$(\xi_2) = \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{1_M} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots,$$

one can check that (ξ_2) is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. According to (1), we can know $M \in \mathcal{SGFI}$.

- (3) It is obvious.
- (4) Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with $U \in \mathcal{SFI}$ and $W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$. Since $W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow S \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$

with $K \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ and $S \in \mathcal{SFI}$ by the proof of (1), which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Construct the pullback diagram (Diagram 1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & K & \xlongequal{\quad} & K & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 1: The pullback diagram of $V \rightarrow W$ and $S \rightarrow W$.

Since $U, S \in \mathcal{SFI}$ and \mathcal{SFI} is closed under extensions by Lemma 2.1, we have $D \in \mathcal{SFI}$. Note that the right column and the middle row are exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. It is not difficult to get that the middle column is also exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. According to (1), we know $U, W \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$, so $V \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$. Therefore, $V \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ by (1). \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a module. Then:*

- (1) \mathcal{SGFI} is closed under extensions, cokernels of monomorphisms and summands.
- (2) Every kernels in a complete \mathcal{SFI} - \mathcal{I} resolution is in \mathcal{SGFI} .
- (3) Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. If $V, W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, then $U \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, U) = 0$ for any $N \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$.

Proof. In order to prove the conclusion, let us firstly prove that there exists an exact sequence $(\eta) = \cdots \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $N_i \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$ such that (η) is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$ for any $M \in \mathcal{SGFI}$. By Lemma 3.1(1), there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $S_0 \in \mathcal{SFI}$ and $K_0 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$. Note that $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair, there is a special ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ -precover of S_0 , $0 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow 0$ with $N_0 \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ and $L_0 \in \mathcal{SFI}$. Since

\mathcal{SFI} is closed under extensions, so $N_0 \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$. Construct the pullback diagram (Diagram 2).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & L_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & L_0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_0 & \longrightarrow & N_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0 & \longrightarrow & S_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 2: The pullback diagram of $N_0 \rightarrow S_0$ and $K_0 \rightarrow S_0$.

Since $L_0 \in \mathcal{SFI}$ and $K_0 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ in the left column, one can see that $H_0 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ by Lemma 3.1(4). Because the middle column and the bottom row are exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$, we can get $0 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Proceed the process to H_0 , and so on, it is not difficult to get an exact sequence $(\eta) = \cdots \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $N_i \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$ such that (η) is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$ for any $M \in \mathcal{SGFI}$.

Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. If $U, W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, then there exists exact sequences $(\eta_1) = \cdots \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ and $(\eta_2) = \cdots \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ with each $L_i, N_i \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$ such that (η_1) and (η_2) are exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Note that \mathcal{SGFI} is closed under arbitrary products by Definition 3.1. According to [4, Lemma 8.2.11], we can obtain an exact sequence $(\eta_3) = \cdots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $D_i \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$ such that (η_3) is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Because $U, W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ and $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ is closed under extensions, one can see $V \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$, so $V \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ by Lemma 3.1(1). Hence, \mathcal{SGFI} is closed under extensions.

If $U, V \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ with $K_0 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ and $S_0 \in \mathcal{SFI}$, which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Construct the pullback diagram (Diagram 3).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & K_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & S_0 & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Diagram 3: The pullback diagram of $U \rightarrow V$ and $S_0 \rightarrow V$.

Because the bottom row and the middle column are exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$, so is the middle row. Since $K_0, U \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ and \mathcal{SGFI} is closed under extensions, one can get $D \in \mathcal{SGFI}$. So there is an exact sequence $(\eta_4) = \cdots \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ with each $H_i \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$ such that (η_4) is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Combining (η_4) and $0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$, one easily check that there is an exact sequence $(\eta_5) = \cdots \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ with each H_i and S_0 in \mathcal{SFI} . By Lemma 3.1(1) and $U, V \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, we get $W \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$. Thus, $W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$. Therefore, \mathcal{SGFI} is closed under cokernels of monomorphisms.

Since \mathcal{SGFI} is closed under extensions and products, it is easy to prove that \mathcal{SGFI} is also closed under summands by [7, Proposition 1.4].

(2) Let

$$X = \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1^X} X_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0^X} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{-1}^X} X_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

be a complete $\mathcal{SFI}\text{-}\mathcal{I}$ resolution and $K_n = \text{Ker}\delta_n^X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. One can get $\text{Ker}\delta_n^X = \text{Im}\delta_{n+1}^X$ is a strongly Gorenstein \mathcal{FP} -injective module for all $n \leq -1$ by Remark 3.1. Suppose $n \geq 0$, since $X_i \in \mathcal{SFI} \subseteq ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and X stays exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$, we obtain that

$$\text{Ext}^1(N, K_i) = 0, \quad \text{Ext}_R^j(N, K_n) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(N, K_{n+j-1})$$

for any $N \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$ and any $j \geq 1$. So $\text{Ext}_R^{j \geq 1}(N, K_n) = 0$, which means $K_n \in$

$({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$. By Lemma 3.1(1), one get $K_n \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Therefore, every kernel in any complete \mathcal{SFI} - \mathcal{I} resolution is in \mathcal{SGFI} .

(3) (\Rightarrow) It is obvious by Lemma 3.1(1).

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $\text{Ext}^1(F, U) = 0$ for any $F \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$. Since $W \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow N \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ with $K \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ and $N \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$ by the proof of (1) such that it is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Construct the pullback diagram (Diagram 4).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & K & \xlongequal{\quad} & K & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 4: The pullback diagram of $V \rightarrow W$ and $N \rightarrow W$.

Since $K, V \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, so $B \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ by (1). By assumption $\text{Ext}^1(N, U) = 0$, one know U is a direct summand of B . Hence, $U \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ by (1). \square

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) M is a strongly Gorenstein FP-injective module.
- (2) $M \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ and there is an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$, which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$.
- (3) There is an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1} \rightarrow S_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$ with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ such that $M \cong \text{Im}(S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1})$ which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{SGFI}, -)$.
- (4) There is an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1} \rightarrow S_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$ with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ such that $M \cong \text{Im}(S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1})$ which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) It is easy to prove it by Lemma 3.1. (1) \Rightarrow (3), (2) \Rightarrow (4), and (3) \Rightarrow (4) are trivial.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that there is an exact sequence

$$S = \cdots \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1} \rightarrow S_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

with each $S_i \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ such that $M \cong \text{Im}(S_0 \rightarrow S_{-1})$ which is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. By Lemma 3.1(1) and $S_i \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, we get $S_i \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Meanwhile, S is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$, it is not difficult to obtain that $\text{Ext}^1(N, K_i) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, K_n) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(N, K_{n+j-1})$ for any $N \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}$, any $i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \geq 1$, where $K_n = \text{Ker}(S_n \rightarrow S_{n-1})$. So $\text{Ext}_R^{j \geq 1}(N, K_n) = 0$, which means $K_n \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Of course $M \in ({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI})^{\perp}$. Since $S_0 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S'_0 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow S_0 \rightarrow 0$ with $N_0 \in \mathcal{SFI}$ and $S'_0 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$, that is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$. Construct the pullback diagram (Diagram 5).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & S'_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & S'_0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & N_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0 & \longrightarrow & S_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 5: The pullback diagram of $K_0 \rightarrow S_0$ and $N_0 \rightarrow S_0$.

Since the middle column and the bottom row of Diagram 5 are exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$, so is the middle row. Note that $M_0 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ by Lemma 3.2. Construct the pullback diagram (Diagram 6).

One can see that $M_1 \in \mathcal{SGFI}$ by Lemma 3.2. Since the bottom row and the right column are exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$, so is the middle column. Hence, the sequence $\cdots \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow 0$ is exact under $\text{Hom}_R({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI} \cap \mathcal{SFI}, -)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & K_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_1 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S'_0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & S_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S'_0 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & K_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Diagram 6: The pullback diagram of $M_0 \rightarrow K_0$ and $S_1 \rightarrow K_0$.

$\mathcal{SFI}, -)$, which is combined by $\cdots \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow 0$. Repeat the process of M to M_0 , and so on, we can obtain an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $N_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$. Therefore, M is a strongly Gorenstein FP-injective module by Lemma 3.1. \square

4 Strongly FP-injective complexes and strongly FP-injective dimension of complexes

In this section, we introduce the concept of strongly FP-injective complexes, and discuss some properties and characterizations of strongly FP-injective complexes in virtue of the cotorsion pair $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$. Finally, we study strongly FP-injective dimensions of complexes.

Definition 4.1. A complex X is called strongly FP-injective if X is exact and $Z_n(X)$ is a strongly FP-injective module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let X be a complex. If X is a strongly FP-injective complex, then X is also an FP-injective complex.

Proof. Assume that X is a strongly FP-injective complex. By Definition 4.1, X is exact and $Z_n(X)$ is a strongly FP-injective module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since strongly FP-injective modules are FP-injective, one can know $Z_n(X)$ is a strongly FP-injective module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.5, X is also an FP-injective complex. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let M be a module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) M is a strongly FP-injective module.
- (2) $D^i(M)$ is a strongly FP-injective complex.

Recall that a complex X is a flat (resp., injective, projective) if and only if X is exact and $Z_n(X)$ is a flat (resp., injective, projective) module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) X is a flat complex.
- (2) $X^+ = \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ is a strongly FP-injective complex.

Proof. Assume that $X = \cdots \rightarrow X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$. Then X^+ can be made into the complex

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}\left(X, \sum^{-n-1} D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\right) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}\left(X, \sum^{-n} D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\right) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}\left(X, \sum^{-n+1} D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\right) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha^{-n}: \text{Hom}(X, \sum^{-n} D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X_{-n}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, $f = (f_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \alpha^{-n}(f) = f_{-n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is not difficult to prove that α^{-n} is an isomorphism for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X^+ = \cdots & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(X, \sum^{-n-1} D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(X, \sum^{-n} D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(X, \sum^{-n+1} D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \alpha^{-n-1} & & \downarrow \alpha^{-n} & & \downarrow \alpha^{-n+1} \\ W = \cdots & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(X_{-n-1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(X_{-n}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(X_{-n+1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

One can get isomorphisms

$$Z_n(X^+) \cong Z_n(W) \cong \text{Hom}_R(Z_n, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong Z_n(X)^+,$$

when X is exact. By Lemma 2.1, we have $Z_n(X)$ is flat if and only if $Z_n(X)^+$ is strongly FP-injective. So $Z_n(X)$ is flat if and only if $Z_n(X^+)$ is strongly FP-injective. Note that X is an exact complex if and only if W is an exact complex, if and only if X^+ is exact. Therefore, X is a flat complex if and only if $X^+ = \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, D^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ is a strongly FP-injective complex. \square

Here are some characterizations of a left coherent ring.

Corollary 4.1. *Let R be a ring and X a complex. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a left coherent ring.
- (2) X is an FP-injective complex if and only if X is a strongly FP-injective complex.
- (3) X is a strongly FP-injective complex if and only if X^+ is a flat complex.
- (4) X is a strongly FP-injective complex if and only if X^{++} is a strongly FP-injective complex.
- (5) X is a flat complex if and only if X^+ is a flat complex.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1, Theorem 3.1 and [17, Corollary 2.14]. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a strongly FP-injective complex. Then X_n is a strongly FP-injective module and $\mathcal{H}om(F, X)$ is exact for any finitely presented complex F .*

Proof. According to Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 2.5 one can get $\mathcal{H}om(F, X)$ is exact for any finitely presented complex F . It is sufficient to prove that X_n is a strongly FP-injective module. Let

$$X = \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1^X} X_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0^X} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{-1}^X} X_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots,$$

and $0 \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{f} V \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ be an arbitrary extension of X_n by U , in which U is any finitely presented module. Since $\text{Ker}\pi = \text{Im}\delta_n^X \subseteq \text{Ker}\delta_{n-1}^X$ with $\pi: X_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Coker}\delta_n^X$ is a canonical projective. By the factor lemma, there exists homological morphism $g: \text{Coker}\delta_n^X \rightarrow X_{n-2}$ such that $g\pi = \delta_{n-1}^X$. Construct the pushout diagram (Diagram 7). So Diagram 8 is commutative.

It is easy to get

$$H = \cdots \rightarrow X_{n+2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+2}^X} X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f\delta_{n+1}^X} V \xrightarrow{\alpha} W \xrightarrow{gh} X_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-2}^X} X_{n-3} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is a complex. Therefore, $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow H \rightarrow D^n(U) \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of complexes. According to Lemma 2.4 and U is a finitely presented module, $D^n(U)$ is a finitely presented complex. By applying the functor $\text{Hom}(D^n(U), -)$ to above short exact sequence, one can get the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D^n(U), X) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(D^n(U), H) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(D^n(U), D^n(U)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D^n(U), X) \rightarrow \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & X_n & \xrightarrow{f} & V & \longrightarrow & U \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \delta_n^X & & \downarrow \alpha & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & W & \longrightarrow & U \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow h & & \\
& & \text{Coker} \delta_n^X & \equiv & \text{Coker} \delta_n^X & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Diagram 7: The pushout diagram of $X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ and $X_n \rightarrow V$.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & X_{n+2} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+2}^X} & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}^X} & X_n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^X} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}^X} & X_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-2}^X} & X_{n-3} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & X_{n+2} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+2}^X} & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f\delta_{n+1}^X} & V & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W & \xrightarrow{gh} & X_{n-2} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-2}^X} & X_{n-3} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{1} & U & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Diagram 8: The joined diagram.

Noting that X is a strongly FP-injective complex, and strongly FP-injective complexes are FP-injective, we know $\text{Ext}^1(D^n(U), X) = 0$, which means $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow H \rightarrow D^n(U) \rightarrow 0$ is split. So the n -th term $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ is split, and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(U, X_n) = 0$. Thus, X_n is a strongly FP-injective module. \square

Gillespie introduced in [6] several classes of complexes associated to a cotorsion pair in an abelian category. Specializing to the cotorsion pair $({}^{\perp_1} \mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$, Gillespie's definition reduces to the following.

Definition 4.2. Let R be a ring and X a complex.

- (1) X is called a ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ -complex if it is exact and $Z_i(X) \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) X is called an \mathcal{SFI} -complex if it is exact and $Z_i(X) \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) X is called a $\text{dg-}{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ complex if $X_i \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\text{Hom}(X, S)$ is exact whenever S is an \mathcal{SFI} -complex.
- (4) X is called a $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ complex if $X_i \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\text{Hom}(T, X)$ is exact whenever T is a ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ -complex.

Remark 4.1. (1) \mathcal{SFI} -complexes coincide with strongly FP-injective complexes.

- (2) The class of all ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ -complexes (resp., $\text{dg-}{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ complexes) is denoted by $\widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}}$ (resp., $\text{dg-}\widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}}$). Similarly, the class of all \mathcal{SFI} -complexes (resp., $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ complexes) is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}$ (resp., $\text{dg-}\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}$).

Proposition 4.4. Let X be a complex. Then X admits a special $\widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}}$ -precover and a special $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}$ -preenvelope.

Proof. Since $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair, it is easy to prove that X admits a special $\widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}}$ -precover and a special $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}$ -preenvelope. The proof is complete. \square

According to [17, Lemma 3.2] and [15, Theorem 3.5], one can get the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.2. Let R be a ring and X a complex. Then:

- (1) Complexes that are bounded below and have components in ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ are $\text{dg-}{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ complexes.
- (2) Complexes that are bounded above and have components in \mathcal{SFI} are $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ complexes.
- (3) $(\widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}}, \text{dg-}\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}})$ and $(\text{dg-}\widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}})$ are complete hereditary cotorsion pairs in $\mathcal{C}(R)$.
- (4) $\text{dg-}\widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}} \cap \varepsilon = \widetilde{{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}}$ and $\text{dg-}\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}} \cap \varepsilon = \widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}$, where ε denotes the class of exact complexes.

Proof. Since $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \mathcal{SFI})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair, one can get (1) and (3) by [17, Lemma 3.2], and $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \widetilde{\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}})$ and $(\text{dg-}{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}})$ are hereditary cotorsion pairs in $\mathcal{C}(R)$. According to [15, Theorem 3.5], it is not difficult to prove that $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \widetilde{\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}})$ and $(\text{dg-}{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}})$ are complete. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.3. Assume that X is a strongly FP-injective complex and F is a finitely presented complex. Then $\text{Ext}^{i \geq 1}(F, X) = 0$.

Proof. Since F is a finitely presented complex, we have F is bounded and F_n is a finitely presented module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 2.4. According to Definition 4.1, it is easy to know $F_n \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$. Hence, F is a $\text{dg-}{}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}$ complex by Theorem 4.2(1). Note that $({}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{SFI}, \widetilde{\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair, so we can get $\text{Ext}^{i \geq 1}(F, X) = 0$. \square

Now let us introduce strongly FP-injective dimensions of complexes.

Definition 4.3. A morphism $X \rightarrow D$ is called a $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ resolution of X , if $X \rightarrow D$ is a quasi-isomorphism and D is a $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ complex.

Proposition 4.5. Let R be a ring and X a complex. Then X has an injective $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ resolution.

Proof. Since dg- injective complexes are $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ complexes, and X has an injective dg- injective resolution, it is easy to get that X has an injective $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ resolution. \square

Definition 4.4. Let X be a complex and n an integer. The strongly FP-injective dimension of X , $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X)$, is defined as follows:

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) \leq n$ if there is a quasi-isomorphism $X \rightarrow D$ with D is a $\text{dg-}\mathcal{SFI}$ complex such that $\inf D \geq -n$ and $Z_i(D) \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for any integer $i \leq -n$.
- If $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) \leq n$ but $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) \leq n-1$ does not hold, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) = n$.
- If $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) \leq m$ for any integer m , then $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) = -\infty$.
- If $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) \leq m$ does not hold for any integer m , then $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(X) = +\infty$.

Theorem 4.4. Let C be a complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(C) \leq n$.
- (2) $\inf H(C) \geq -n$ and $Z_{-n}(I) \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for each dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I$.
- (3) $\inf H(C) \geq -n$ and $Z_j(I) \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for any integer $j \leq -n$ for each dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I$.
- (4) There exists a dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I'$ such that $H_j(I') = 0$ for any integer $j \leq -n-1$ and $Z_{-n}(I') \in \mathcal{SFI}$.
- (5) There exists a dg-injective resolution $C \rightarrow I'$ such that $H_j(I') = 0$ for any integer $j \leq -n-1$ and $Z_j(I') \in \mathcal{SFI}$ for any $j \leq -n$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that $\widetilde{\mathcal{SFI}}\text{-id}(C) \leq n$. Then there is a dg- \mathcal{SFI} resolution $C \xrightarrow{f} F$ such that $\inf H(F) \geq -n$ and $Z_{-n}(F) \in \mathcal{SFI}$. For each dg-injective resolution $C \xrightarrow{g} I$, $\inf H(I) = \inf H(C) = \inf H(F) \geq -n$. By Proposition 4.5, we can assume f is injective. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

with L exact. By applying the functor $\text{Hom}(-, I)$ to above sequence, we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, I) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L, I) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since I is dg-injective and L is exact, so $\text{Ext}^1(L, I) = 0$, and thus there exists a morphism of complexes $h : F \rightarrow I$ such that $hf = g$. Note that f, g are both quasi-isomorphisms, so is h . We can assume that h is injective (if not, let $F \rightarrow \underline{I}$ be injective with \underline{I} an injective complex. Then $F \rightarrow I \oplus \underline{I}$ is an injective quasi-isomorphism). Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow I \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ with W an exact sequence. Since F and I are both dg- \mathcal{SFI} complexes, so is W . According to Theorem 4.2(3), we get W is an \mathcal{SFI} -complex. Consider the following short exact sequence of modules:

$$0 \rightarrow Z_{-n}(F) \rightarrow Z_{-n}(I) \rightarrow Z_{-n}(W) \rightarrow 0$$

with $Z_{-n}(W)$ and $Z_{-n}(F)$ strongly FP-injective modules. Hence, $Z_{-n}(I) \in \mathcal{SFI}$ by Lemma 2.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (4) is obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Since dg-injective resolutions are dg- \mathcal{SFI} resolutions, it is easy to prove (1) by Definition 4.3.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) and (4) \Rightarrow (5) One can easily prove them by Lemma 2.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) and (5) \Rightarrow (4) are clear. □

Acknowledgments

We thank the referees for their time and comments. The paper is supported by the Gansu Natural Science Foundation Project (No. 25JRRK002).

References

- [1] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable Module Theory*, AMS, 1969.
- [2] M. Chen, H. Kim, F. Wang, and X. Zhang, *Some characterizations of coherent rings in terms of strongly FP-injective modules*, Commun. Algebra 48(7) (2020), 2857–2871.
- [3] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. 220(1) (1995), 611–633.
- [4] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, De Gruyter, 2011.
- [5] E. E. Enochs and J. R. G. Rozas, *Flat covers of complexes*, J. Algebra 210(1) (1998), 86–102.
- [6] J. Gillespie, *The flat model structure on $Ch(R)$* , Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 356(8) (2004), 3369–3390.
- [7] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189(1-3) (2004), 167–193.
- [8] J. Hu and D. Zhang, *Weak AB-context for FP-injective modules with respect to semidualizing modules*, J. Algebra Appl. 12(07) (2013), 1350039.
- [9] W. Li, J. Guan, and B. Ouyang, *Strongly FP-injective modules*, Commun. Algebra 45(9) (2017), 3816–3824.
- [10] L. Mao and N. Ding, *FP-projective dimensions*, Commun. Algebra 33(4) (2005), 1153–1170.
- [11] J. R. G. Rozas, *Covers and Envelopes in the Category of Complexes of Modules*, CRC Press, 1999.
- [12] P. Samuel and M. Auslander, *Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative*, Séminaire d’algèbre Commutative, 1967.
- [13] S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif, and D. White, *AB-contexts and stability for Gorenstein flat modules with respect to semidualizing modules*, Algebras Represent. Theory 14(3) (2011), 403–428.
- [14] B. Stenström, *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 2(2) (1970), 323–329.
- [15] G. Yang and Z. Liu, *Cotorsion pairs and model structures on $Ch(R)$* , Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 54(3) (2011), 783–797.
- [16] X. Yang and Z. Liu, *FP-injective complexes*, Commun. Algebra 38(1) (2009), 131–142.
- [17] Z. Wang and Z. Liu, *FP-injective complexes and FP-injective dimension of complexes*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 91(2) (2011), 163–187.