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#### Abstract

In this short note, we present a sharp upper bound for the perturbation of eigenvalues of a singular diagonalizable matrix given by Stanley C. Eisenstat [3].
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## 1. Introduction

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the smallest nonnegative integer $k$ satisfying the rank equation,

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{k}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{k+1}\right)
$$

is called the index of the matrix $A[1,9]$. If $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the unique solution of the three matrix equations

$$
A^{k+1} X=A^{k}, \quad X A X=X, \quad A X=X A
$$

we call $X$ the Drazin inverse $A^{D}$. If $\operatorname{index}(A)=1$, then the Drazin inverse is reduced to the group inverse denoted by $A^{\sharp}[1,9]$.

Let us now recall the classical Bauer-Fike theorem of 1960 and its version from 1999.
Theorem 1.1. (Bauer-Fike Theorem [2,4]) Let $A$ be diagonalizable - i.e. $A=X \Lambda X^{-1}$, where the diagonal matrix $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right), \lambda_{i}$ is the eigenvalue of $A$. Let $E$ be the perturbation of $A$ and $\mu$ the eigenvalue of $A+E$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{i}\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu\right| \leq \kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]If $A$ is invertible, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{i}\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}-\mu}{\lambda_{i}}\right| \leq \kappa_{2}(X)\left\|A^{-1} E\right\|_{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{2}(X)=\left\|X^{-1}\right\|_{2}\|X\|_{2}$ is the condition number of $X$ with respect to the 2-norm.
Wei et al. $[7,8]$ explored how to extend the classical Bauer-Fike theorem to include the singular case, with the help of the group inverse. Later, Eisenstat [3] gave a different version as follows:

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $A$ is singular diagonalizable i.e. $A=X\left(\begin{array}{cc}\Lambda_{1} & \\ & 0\end{array}\right) X^{-1}$, where $\Lambda_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{r}\right), \lambda_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, r)$ is the nonzero eigenvalue of $A$. Let $E$ be the perturbation of $A$, and $\mu$ the eigenvalue of $A+E$. If $|\mu|>\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{i}\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}-\mu}{\lambda_{i}}\right| \leq \sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}} \kappa_{2}(X)\left\|A^{\sharp} E\right\|_{2}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2} / \sqrt{|\mu|^{2}-\left(\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2}\right)^{2}}$.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we present our main result that improves the upper bound of Ref. [3].
Theorem 2.1. Assume that $A$ is singular diagonalizable and $E$ is the perturbation of $A$, and $\mu$ is the eigenvalue of $A+E$. If $|\mu|>\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{i}\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}-\mu}{\lambda_{i}}\right| \leq \sqrt{1+\beta^{2}}\left\|X^{-1} A^{\sharp} E X\right\|_{2}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2} / \sqrt{|\mu|^{2}-\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2}^{2}}$.

$$
\text { Proof. Let } A=X\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda_{1} & \\
& \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) X^{-1} \text {, where } \Lambda_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{r}\right) \text { is a nonsingular }
$$ diagonal matrix. Let $x$ be an eigenvector of $A+E$ associated with $\mu$, and denote

$$
X^{-1} E X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E_{11} & E_{12} \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad X^{-1} x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} .
$$

Since $\mu x=(A+E) x$,

$$
\mu\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\mu X^{-1} x=X^{-1}(A+E) X X^{-1} x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{11}+\Lambda_{1} & E_{12} \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}
$$

so that

$$
\mu x_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}
$$

After a little algebra, we have

$$
A^{\sharp}=X\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{1}^{-1} & \\
& \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) X^{-1}, \quad A A^{\sharp}=X\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & \\
& \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) X^{-1}, \quad I-A A^{\sharp}=X\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right) X^{-1}
$$

and

$$
X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X=X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) X X^{-1} E X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right),
$$

so

$$
\mu x_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right) X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} .
$$

On taking the 2-norm of both sides we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mu|\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{2} \sqrt{\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \\
& =\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2} \sqrt{\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- i.e. $\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \beta^{2}\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2} & =\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E_{11} & E_{12} \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
& =\left\|X^{-1} E X\right\|_{2} \leq \kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\frac{\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2}}{\sqrt{|\mu|^{2}-\left(\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2}\right)^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mu|^{2} /\left(\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2}\right)^{2}-1}} \\
& \beta=\frac{\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{|\mu|^{2}-\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mu|^{2} /\left(\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

it is obvious that $\beta \leq \alpha$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I-\mu \Lambda_{1}^{-1} & \\
& \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=X^{-1} A^{\sharp}(A-\mu I) X X^{-1} x=-X^{-1} A^{\sharp} E x
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I-\mu \Lambda_{1}^{-1}\right) x & =-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0
\end{array}\right) X^{-1} A^{\sharp} E X\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda^{-1} & \\
& \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E_{11} & E_{12} \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{11} & \Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{12} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the 2-norm of both sides and noting that $\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2} \leq \beta\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2}$, we therefore obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{\lambda_{i} \neq 0}\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}-\mu}{\lambda_{i}}\right|\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{11} & \Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{12} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{2} \sqrt{\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \\
& =\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{11} & \Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{12} \\
0 & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{2} \sqrt{\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{1+\beta^{2}}\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{11} & \Lambda_{1}^{-1} E_{12} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{2}\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq \sqrt{1+\beta^{2}}\left\|X^{-1} A^{\sharp} E X\right\|_{2}\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Remark 2.1. If $|\mu|>\kappa_{2}(X)\left\|\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E\right\|_{2}$, then we take

$$
\beta=\frac{\kappa_{2}(X)\left\|\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{|\mu|^{2}-\left(\kappa_{2}(X)\left\|\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}}}
$$

so that

$$
\min _{i}\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}-\mu}{\lambda_{i}}\right| \leq \sqrt{1+\beta^{2}} \kappa_{2}(X)\left\|A^{\sharp} E\right\|_{2} .
$$

## 3. Examples

We now discuss two examples illustrating the improvement over the bound in Ref. [3].
Consider the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ given by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-0.25 & 0.5 \times 10^{10} & 1.25 \times 10^{10} \\
-0.5 \times 10^{-10} & 1 & 1.5 \\
-1.25 \times 10^{-10} & 1.5 & 1.75
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the three eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=2, \lambda_{3}=0 \text { such that } A=X \operatorname{diag}(1,2,0) X^{-1},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 2 & 1 \\
2 \times 10^{-10} & 2 \times 10^{-10} & 2 \times 10^{-10} \\
1 \times 10^{-10} & 2 \times 10^{-10} & -1 \times 10^{-10}
\end{array}\right), \\
& X^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.75 & -0.5 \times 10^{10} & -0.25 \times 10^{10} \\
-0.5 & 0.5 \times 10^{10} & 0.5 \times 10^{10} \\
-0.25 & 0.5 \times 10^{10} & -0.25 \times 10^{10}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose the perturbation matrix $E$ such that $|E| \leq 10^{-6} \times|A|$, where $|E|$ is the absolute matrix of

$$
E=10^{-16} \times X\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
10^{5} & -1 \times 10^{10} & 0 \\
1 & 10^{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) X^{-1}
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
X^{-1} A A^{\sharp} E X=10^{-16} \times\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
10^{5} & -1 \times 10^{10} & 0 \\
1 & 10^{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X=10^{-16} \times \operatorname{diag}(0,0,1) \text { and } \Lambda_{1}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}(1,0.5) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The matrix $A+E$ has the three eigenvalues

$$
\mu_{1}=1+0.9976 \times 10^{-11}, \quad \mu_{2}=2+1.0006 \times 10^{-11}, \quad \mu_{3}=0.6067 \times 10^{-17}
$$

Let us now compare the two assumptions in Refs. [3, 8], respectively - viz.

$$
\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2}=7.4246 \times 10^{14} \gg \mu_{i}, \quad(i=1,2)
$$

and

$$
\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2}=1.0000 \times 10^{-16} \ll \mu_{i}, \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

It is easy to see that our assumption is weaker than that of Ref. [3] so we cannot apply Theorem 1.2, but our bound holds - i.e.

$$
\sqrt{1+\beta^{2}}\left\|X^{-1} A^{\sharp} E X\right\|_{2}=1.0000 \times 10^{-6} .
$$

Let us now consider another matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ given by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1.75 & 0.5 \times 10^{-5} & 2.75 \times 10^{-5} \\
-0.5 \times 10^{5} & 2 & 3.5 \\
-2.75 \times 10^{5} & 3.5 & 4.25
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the three eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{1}=3, \lambda_{2}=5, \lambda_{3}=0 \text { such that } A=X \operatorname{diag}(3,5,0) X^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 2 & 1 \\
2 \times 10^{5} & 2 \times 10^{5} & 2 \times 10^{5} \\
10^{5} & 2 \times 10^{5} & -1 \times 10^{5}
\end{array}\right) \\
& X^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.75 & -0.5 \times 10^{-5} & -0.25 \times 10^{-5} \\
-0.5 & 0.5 \times 10^{-5} & 0.5 \times 10^{-5} \\
-0.25 & 0.5 \times 10^{-5} & -0.25 \times 10^{-5}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We select the perturbation matrix $E$ satisfying $|E| \leq 10^{-10} \times|A|-$ viz.

$$
E=10^{-11} \times X\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
10^{-5} & -1 \times 10^{-10} & 0 \\
1 & 10^{-5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) X^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
X^{-1} A A^{\sharp} E X=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
10^{-16} & -1 \times 10^{-21} & 0 \\
1 \times 10^{-11} & 10^{-16} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

and

$$
X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X=10^{-11} \times \operatorname{diag}(0,0,1), \quad \Lambda_{1}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}(0.3333,0.2000),
$$

and $A+E$ has the three eigenvalues

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=3+6.217248937900877 \times 10^{-15}, \quad \mu_{2}=5-7.105427357601002 \times 10^{-15} \\
& \mu_{3}=1 \times 10^{-11}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can compare with the relative error bounds of Refs. [3, 8], with

$$
\kappa_{2}(X)\|E\|_{2}=0.70544766163927<\mu_{i}, \quad(i=1,2),
$$

and

$$
\left\|X^{-1}\left(I-A A^{\sharp}\right) E X\right\|_{2}=1 \times 10^{-11} \ll \mu_{i}, \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

The bound in Ref. [3] is

$$
\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}} \kappa_{2}(X)\left\|A^{\sharp} E\right\|_{2}=0.15277727491342,
$$

whereas our new bound is

$$
\sqrt{1+\beta^{2}}\left\|X^{-1} A^{\sharp} E X\right\|_{2}=2.000000000377778 \times 10^{-12} .
$$

The relative error bounds for $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\lambda_{1}-\mu_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right|=1.998401444325282 \times 10^{-15} \\
& \left|\frac{\lambda_{2}-\mu_{2}}{\lambda_{2}}\right|=1.443289932012704 \times 10^{-15}
\end{aligned}
$$
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