Existence of Solution for a General Class of Strongly Nonlinear Elliptic Problems Having Natural Growth Terms and L¹-Data

Youssef Akdim* and Morad Ouboufettal

LAMA Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, P.O. Box 1796 Atlas Fez, Morocco

Received 23 December 2020; Accepted (in revised version) 12 September 2021

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the existence of solution for a general class of strongly nonlinear elliptic problems associated with the differential inclusion

 $\beta(u) + A(u) + g(x, u, Du) \ni f,$

where *A* is a Leray-Lions operator from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into its dual, β maximal monotone mapping such that $0 \in \beta(0)$, while $g(x, s, \xi)$ is a nonlinear term which has a growth condition with respect to ξ and no growth with respect to *s* but it satisfies a sign-condition on *s*. The right hand side *f* is assumed to belong to $L^1(\Omega)$.

Key Words: Sobolev spaces, Leray-Lions operator, trunctions, maximal monotone graphe.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35J15, 35J20, 35J60

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \ge 1$) with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Our aim is to show existence of solutions for the following strongly nonlinear elliptic inclusion

$$(E,f) \quad \begin{cases} \beta(u) + A(u) + g(x, u, Du) \ni f \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega), \\ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad g(x, u, Du) \in L^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where *A* is a Leray-Lions operator from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into its dual $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ $(1 defined as <math>A(u) = -div(a(x, u, Du)), \beta$ maximal montone mapping such that $0 \in \beta(0), g$ is a nonlinear lower term having "natural growth" (of order *p*) with respect to *Du*, with

http://www.global-sci.org/ata/

^{*}Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* youssef.akdim@usmba.ac.ma(Y. Akdim), morad.ouboufettal@usmba.ac.ma(M. Ouboufettal)

respect to *u*, we do not assume any growth restrictions, but it satisfies a "sign-condition" on *s* and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$.

It will turn out that, for each solution u, g(x, u, Du) will be in $L^1(\Omega)$, but for each $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, g(x, v, Dv) can be very odd, and does not necesserily belong to $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$.

Particular instances of problem (E, f) have been studied for $\beta = 0$, Boccardo, Gallouët and Murat in [6] have proved the existence of at least one solution for the problem. Let us point out that another work in this direction can be found in [4].

Another important work in the L^1 -theory for *p*-Laplacian type equations is [3] where problem

$$\begin{cases} -div(a(x, Du)) + \beta(u) \ni f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In [1], Y.Akdim and C.Allalou have proved the existence of renormalized solution for an elliptic problem type diffusion-convection in the framework of weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces

(E)
$$\begin{cases} \beta(u) - div(a(x, Du) + F(u)) \ni f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We also refer to [10, 13], For results on the existence of renormalized solutions of elliptic problems of type (E).

The present paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give basic assumptions on *a*, *g*, β and *f*. In Section 3, we study our main result, existence of solution to (E, f)for any L^1 -data *f*. To prove the main result, we will introduce and solve, in Section 4, approximating problems for any L^{∞} -data *f*. The proof of main result is given in Section 5. The last section is devoted to an example for illustrating our abstract result.

2 Assumptions

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \ge 1)$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Our aim is to show existence of solution to the strongly nonlinear elliptic inclusion problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$(E,f) \quad \begin{cases} \beta(u) + A(u) + g(x, u, Du) \ni f & \text{in } D'(\Omega), \\ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), & g(x, u, Du) \in L^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

with right-hand side $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. *A* is a non linear operator from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into its dual $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ $(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1)$ defined by

$$A(u) = -div(a(x, u, Du)),$$

where $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is Carathéodory function satisfaying the following assumptions:

Assumption (H_1)

$$a(x,s,\xi) \cdot \xi \ge \lambda |\xi|^p$$
, where $\lambda > 0$, (2.1a)

$$|a(x,s,\xi)| \le \beta(k(x) + |s|^{p-1} + |\xi|^{p-1}), \text{ where } k(x) \in L^{p'}(\Omega), \ k \ge 0, \ \beta > 0, \ (2.1b)$$

$$(a(x,s,\xi) - a(x,s,\eta)) \cdot (\xi - \eta) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \neq \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(2.1c)

Moreover, $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is Carathéodory function such that

Assumption (H_2)

$$g(x, s, \xi)s \ge 0,$$

$$|g(x, s, \xi)| \le b(|s|)(c(x) + |\xi|^p),$$
(2.2a)
(2.2b)

there exist $\sigma > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $|g(x, s, \xi)| \ge \gamma |\xi|^p$, when $|s| \ge \sigma$, (2.2c)

where $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous increasing function and c(x) a positive function wich is in $L^1(\Omega)$.

As to the nonlinearity β in the problem (E, f) we assume that $\beta : \mathbb{R} \to 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ a set valued, maximal monotone mapping such that $0 \in \beta(0)$.

3 Notion of solutions and main results

Definition 3.1. A weak solution to (E, f) is a pair of solution $(u, b) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $b(x) \in \beta(u(x))$ a.e in Ω , $g(x, u, Du) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$b - div(a(x, u, Du)) + g(x, u, Du) = f$$
 in $D'(\Omega)$.

The main existence result is the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Under the Assumptions (H_1) - (H_2) and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ there exists a solution of (E, f) in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Remark 3.1. We shall prove the existence of a solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, but it should be emphasized that for $\beta = 0$ and g = 0, the existence of *u* in such a space cannot expected, if $p \leq N$. In [5] the existence of a solution has been proved in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all q < (N(p-1))/(N-1).

4 **Result of existence where** $f \in L^{\infty}$ **-data**

To prove Theorem 3.1, we will introduce and solve approximating problems.

The next proposition will give us existence of solution $(u_n, b_n) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ of (E, f_n) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where f_n is a sequence of L^{∞} -functions which converges strongly to f in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $|f_n| \leq |f|$.

Proposition 4.1. Under the Assumptions (H_1) - (H_2) and $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ there exists a solution of (E, f) in the sens of Definition 3.1.

Proof. Step 1: The approximation problem. From now on, we will use the standard truncation function T_k , $k \ge 0$, defined for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by $T_k(s) = \max\{-k, \min\{s, k\}\}$.

First we introduce the approximate problem

$$(E_{\varepsilon},f) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) - div(a(x,u_{\varepsilon},Du_{\varepsilon})) + g_{\varepsilon}(x,u_{\varepsilon},Du_{\varepsilon}) = f, \\ u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where for each $\varepsilon \in]0;1]$, $\beta_{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Yosida approximation of β , note that, for any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ we have

$$\langle \beta_{\varepsilon}(u), u \rangle \geq 0, \quad |\beta_{\varepsilon}(u)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|u| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \beta_{\varepsilon}(u) = \beta(u),$$

and where

$$g_{\varepsilon}(x,s,\xi) = \frac{g(x,s,\xi)}{1+\varepsilon|g(x,s,\xi)|}$$

satisfies

$$g_{\varepsilon}(x,s,\xi)s \ge 0, \quad |g_{\varepsilon}(x,s,\xi)| \le |g(x,s,\xi)| \quad \text{and} \quad |g_{\varepsilon}(x,s,\xi)| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Since

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon)})| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$$

and g_{ε} is bounded for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists at least one solution u_{ε} of (E_{ε}, f) (cf. [11, 12]), i.e., for each $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ there exists at least one solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))\varphi + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})D\varphi + \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})\varphi = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$$
(4.1)

holds for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$.

Step 2: The priori estimats. Taking u_{ε} as a test function in (4.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))u_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})Du_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})u_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega} fu_{\varepsilon}$$
(4.2)

as the first term on the left hand side is nonnegative and since g_{ε} verifies the sign condition, by (2.1a) we have

$$\lambda ||u_{\varepsilon}||_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq C ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||u_{\varepsilon}||_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

where *C* is a positive constant coming from the Hölder and Poincaré inequalities, then

$$||u_{\varepsilon}||_{W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C_{1}.$$
(4.3)

Moreover, from (4.2) and (4.3), we infer that

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) u_{\varepsilon} \leq C_{2}.$$
(4.4)

For $\delta > 0$, we define $H^+_{\delta} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H_{\delta}^{+}(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r > \delta, \\ \frac{r}{\delta}, & \text{if } 0 \le r \le \delta, \\ 0, & \text{if } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, H^+_{δ} is an approximation of $sign^+_0$. We use the test function

$$\varphi = H^+_{\delta}(\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) - k)$$

in (4.1). Since β_{ε} monotone increasing with $\beta_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0$. Also by (2.1a)

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) (H_{\delta}^{+})' (\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) - k) \beta_{\varepsilon}'(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) Du_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$$

and since g_ε verifies the sign condition

$$\int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) H^+_{\delta}(\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) - k) \geq 0.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))-k\right)H_{\delta}^{+}(\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))-k) \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(f-k\right)H_{\delta}^{+}(\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))-k).$$

Taking $\delta \longrightarrow 0$ yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) - k\right)^{+} \le \int_{\Omega} (f - k)^{+}.$$
(4.5)

Similarly, one can show

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) + k\right)^{-} \le \int_{\Omega} \left(f + k\right)^{-}.$$
(4.6)

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\left| \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) \right| - k \right)^{+} \le \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| f \right| - k \right)^{+}.$$
(4.7)

Choosing $k > ||f||_{\infty}$, we obtain

$$||\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty}.$$
(4.8)

Step 3: Basic convergence results. By (4.8), there exist $b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{2}}(u_{\varepsilon})) \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} b \quad \text{in} \ L^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
 (4.9)

Since u_{ε} remains bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we can extract a subsequence, still denoted by u_{ε} , such that

$$u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$$
 weakly in $W_0^{l,p}(\Omega)$,
 $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ a.e in Ω .

We already know that for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{R}^{*+}$

$$T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$$
 weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Our objective is to prove that

$$T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) \to T_k(u)$$
 strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

We shall use in (4.1) the test function

$$v_{\varepsilon}=\varphi(z_{\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$z_{\varepsilon} = T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) - T_k(u)$$
 and $\varphi(s) = se^{\lambda s^2}$.

We get

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))v_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})Dv_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})v_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega} fv_{\varepsilon}.$$
(4.10)

From now on, we denote by $\eta^1(\varepsilon)$, $\eta^2(\varepsilon)$, \cdots , various sequences of real numbers which converge to zero when ε tends to zero.

Since v_{ε} converges to zero weakly* in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f v_{\varepsilon} \to 0,$$

this implies that

$$\eta^{1}(\varepsilon) = \int_{\Omega} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))v_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})Dv_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})v_{\varepsilon} \to 0.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))v_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\{|u_{\varepsilon}| \le k\}} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))v_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\{|u_{\varepsilon}| > k\}} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))v_{\varepsilon},$$

the second term on the right hand is nonnegative. Also $\chi_{\{|u_{\varepsilon}| \le k\}}\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))$ is uniformly bounded, together with the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem provides that

$$\int_{\{|u_{\varepsilon}|\leq k\}}\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))v_{\varepsilon}\to 0$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) Dv_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) v_{\varepsilon} \leq \eta^{2}(\varepsilon).$$

Using same arguments in [6], we obatin

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} [a(x, T_k(u_{\varepsilon}), DT_k(u_{\varepsilon})) - a(x, T_k(u_{\varepsilon}), DT_k(u))] D(T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) - T_k(u)) \leq \eta^3(\varepsilon).$$

Finally, a result in [7] (see also [9]) implies

$$T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) \to T_k(u)$$
 strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. (4.11)

Step 4: Passing to the limit. In vertue of (4.11), we have for a subsequence

$$Du_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow Du$$
 a.e in Ω ,

which with

$$u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$$
 a.e in Ω_{ε}

yields, since $a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})$ is bounded in $(L^{p'}(\Omega))^N$

$$a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup a(x, u, Du)$$
 weakly in $(L^{p'}(\Omega))^N$, (4.12)

as well as

$$g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) \to g(x, u, Du)$$
 a.e in Ω . (4.13)

We now use the classical trick in order to prove that $g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})$ is uniformly equiintegrable.

For any measurable subset *E* of Ω and for any $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{E} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})| &= \int_{E \cap \{|u_{\varepsilon}| \le m\}} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})| + \int_{E \cap \{|u_{\varepsilon}| > m\}} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})| \\ &\leq \int_{E} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, T_{m}(u_{\varepsilon}), DT_{m}(u_{\varepsilon}))| + \frac{1}{m} \int_{E} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})u_{\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

Using (2.2b) and (4.4), we obtain

$$\int_{E} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})| \leq b(m) \int_{E} (c(x) + |DT_{m}(u_{\varepsilon})|^{p}) + \frac{C_{2}}{m},$$

since the sequence $(DT_m(u_{\varepsilon}))$ converge strongly in $(L^p(\Omega))^N$ the above inequality implies the equi-integrability of $g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})$.

In view of (4.13), we thus have

$$g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) \to g(x, u, Du)$$
 strongly in $L^{1}(\Omega)$. (4.14)

From (4.12), (4.14) and (4.9), we can pass to the limit in (4.1)

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))\varphi + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})D\varphi + \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})\varphi = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi,$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} b\varphi + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, Du) D\varphi + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, Du) \varphi$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \quad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in W_0^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
(4.15)

Moreover, since $g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})u_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$ a.e in Ω and $g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})u_{\varepsilon} \to g(x, u, Du)u$ a.e in Ω and

$$0\leq \int_{\Omega}g_{\varepsilon}(x,u_{\varepsilon},Du_{\varepsilon})u_{\varepsilon}\leq C,$$

by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$g(x, u, Du)u \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Step 5: Subdifferential argument. Since β is a maximal monotone graph, there exists a convex, l.s.c and proper function

$$j : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty]$$
 such that $\beta(r) = \partial j(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

According to [8], for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, $j_{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$j_{\varepsilon}(r) = \int_0^r \beta_{\varepsilon}(s) ds$$

has the following properties as in [13]

- i) For any $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, j_{ε} is convex and differentiable for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $j'_{\varepsilon}(r) = \beta_{\varepsilon}(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$
- ii) $j_{\varepsilon}(r) \to j(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Y. Akdim and M. Ouboufettal / Anal. Theory Appl., 39 (2023), pp. 53-68

From i) it follows that for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$

$$j_{\varepsilon}(r) \ge j_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon})) + (r - T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))$$

$$(4.16)$$

holds for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and almost everywhere in Ω .

Let $E \subset \Omega$ be an arbitrary measurable set and χ_E its characteristic function. Let $h_l : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$h_l(r) = \min(1, (l+1-|r|)^+)$$

for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

We fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, multiplying (4.16) by $h_l(u_{\varepsilon})\chi_E$, integrating over Ω and using *ii*), we obtain

$$j(r)\int_{E}h_{l}(u_{\varepsilon}) \geq \int_{E}j_{\varepsilon_{0}}(T_{l+1}(u_{\varepsilon}))h_{l}(u_{\varepsilon}) + (r - T_{l+1}(u_{\varepsilon}))h_{l}(u_{\varepsilon})\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{\varepsilon}))$$
(4.17)

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $0 < \varepsilon < \min(\varepsilon_0, \frac{1}{l})$. As $\varepsilon \to 0$, taking into account that *E* arbitrary, we obtain from (4.17)

$$j(r)h_l(u) \ge j_{\varepsilon_0}(T_{l+1}(u))h_l(u) + bh_l(u)(r - T_{l+1}(u))$$
(4.18)

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and almost everywhere in Ω .

Passing to the limit with $l \to \infty$ and then with $\varepsilon_0 \to 0$ in (4.18) finally yields

$$j(r) \ge j(u(x)) + b(x)(r - u(x))$$
(4.19)

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and almost everywhere in Ω , hence $u \in D(\beta)$ and $b \in \beta(u)$ for almost everywhere in Ω .

With this last step the proof of Proposition 4.1 is concluded.

5 **Proof of Theorem 3.1**

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be divided into several steps.

5.1 The approximation problem

Consider the sequence of approximate equations

$$(E, f_n) \quad \begin{cases} \beta(u_n) - div(a(x, u_n, Du_n)) + g(x, u_n, Du_n) \ni f_n, \\ u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where f_n is a sequence of L^{∞} -functions which converges strongly to f in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $|f_n| \le |f|$.

From Proposition 4.1, there exists a solution $(u_n, b_n) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ of (E, f_n) such that

$$\int_{\Omega} b_n \varphi + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, Du_n) D\varphi + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n, Du_n) \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f_n \varphi$$
(5.1)

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

5.2 The priori estimats

Lemma 5.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(u_n, b_n) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a solution of (E, f_n) . Then, there exists a constant C, not depending on n, such that

$$||u_n||_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C,$$
(5.2)

and

$$||b_n||_{L^1(\Omega)} \le ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$
(5.3)

Proof. Taking $T_k(u_n)$ as a test function in (5.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} b_n T_k(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, DT_k(u_n)) DT_k(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n, Du_n) T_k(u_n)$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f_n T_k(u_n)$$
(5.4)

as the first term on the left hand side is nonnegative and since g verifies the sign condition, by (2.1a) we have

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} |DT_k(u_n)|^p \le \int_{\Omega} f_n T_k(u_n) \le k ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$
(5.5)

On the other hand, we have

$$k \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)| \le \int_{\Omega} |f_n| |T_k(u_n)| \le k ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$
(5.6)

Hence from (2.2c), (5.5), (5.6) and for $k > \sigma$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |D(u_n)|^p &= \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} |D(u_n)|^p + \int_{\Omega} |DT_k(u_n)|^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} |g(x,u_n,Du_n)| + \frac{k}{\lambda} ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{k}{\lambda}\right) ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)}, \end{split}$$

then

$$||u_n||_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

We neglect in (5.4) the positive terms

$$a(x, u_n, DT_k(u_n))DT_k(u_n), g(x, u_n, Du_n)T_k(u_n),$$

and keep

$$\int_{\Omega} b_n T_k(u_n) \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n T_k(u_n) \leq k ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

since $b_n \in \beta(u_n)$ a.e in Ω

$$\int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} |b_n| \le ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

passing the limit as $k \downarrow 0$ and using the Fatou Lemma, we find

$$\int_{\Omega} |b_n| \leq ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, we complete the proof.

5.3 Basic convergence results

Lemma 5.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(u_n, b_n) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a solution of (E, f_n) . We have

$$b_n \rightharpoonup b$$
 weakly in $L^1(\Omega)$, (5.7a)

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad weakly \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$
 (5.7b)

$$u_n \to u \quad a.e \ in \ \Omega,$$
 (5.7c)

$$T_k(u_n) \to T_k(u)$$
 strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. (5.7d)

Proof. Let $(u_n^{\varepsilon}, b_n^{\varepsilon})$ be a solution for the problem

$$\begin{cases} \beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_{n}^{\varepsilon})) - div(a(x, u_{n}^{\varepsilon}, Du_{n}^{\varepsilon})) + g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{n}^{\varepsilon}, Du_{n}^{\varepsilon}) = f_{n}, \\ u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

By (4.7) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_n^{\varepsilon}))| - k \right)^+ \le \int_{\Omega} \left(|f_n| - k \right)^+.$$

Using the fact that $\beta_{\varepsilon}(T_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(u_n^{\varepsilon})) \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} b_n$ in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (|b_n| - k)^+ \le \int_{\Omega} (|f_n| - k)^+.$$
(5.8)

The sequence b_n is weakly sequentially compact in $L^1(\Omega)$.

This follows from the following criterion for weak sequential compactness of subset *F* of $L^1(\mu)$ where μ is a finite measure

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\sup_F\int_{\Omega}(|f|-k)^+d\mu=0.$$

Indeed, this condition is easily seen to be equivalent to the uniform integrability of the family *F* (that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\int_A |f| d\mu < \varepsilon$ if $\mu(A) < \delta$) and this implies the weak sequential compactness.

63

Since we have (5.8) and f_n convergent in $L^1(\Omega)$ by assumption, implies that b_n is weakly precompact in $L^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$b_n \rightarrow b$$
 weakly in $L^1(\Omega)$.

From (5.2) we deduce that for a subsequence still indexed by *n*, (5.7c) hold as $n \to \infty$, where *u* is a measurable function defined on Ω .

We already know that for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{R}^{*+}$

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u)$$
 weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Our objective is to prove that

$$T_k(u_n \to T_k(u) \quad \text{strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We shall use in (5.1) the test function

$$v_n=\varphi(z_n),$$

where

$$z_n = T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)$$
 and $\varphi(s) = se^{\lambda s^2}$.

We get

$$\int_{\Omega} b_n v_n + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, Du_n) Dv_n + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n, Du_n) v_n = \int_{\Omega} f_n v_n.$$
(5.9)

From now on, we denote by $\varepsilon_1(n), \varepsilon_2(n), \cdots$, various sequences of real numbers which converge to zero when $n \to \infty$.

Since v_n converges to zero weakly* in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, f_n converges strongly to f in $L^1(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} f_n v_n \to 0.$$

We have

$$\int_{\Omega} b_n v_n = \int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} b_n v_n + \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} b_n v_n.$$

Since $b_n \in \beta(u_n)$, the second term on the right hand is nonnegative. Also $\chi_{\{|u_n| \le k\}}b_n$ is uniformly bounded, together with the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem provides that

$$\int_{\{|u_n|\leq k\}} b_n v_n \to 0.$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, Du_n) Dv_n + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n, Du_n) v_n \leq \varepsilon_1(n).$$

Using same arguments in [6], we obtain

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} [a(x, T_k(u_n), DT_k(u_n)) - a(x, T_k(u_n), DT_k(u))] D(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u))$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_2(n),$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} [a(x, T_k(u_n), DT_k(u_n)) - a(x, T_k(u_n), DT_k(u))] D(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u))$$

$$\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Finally, a result in [7] (see also [9]) implies

$$T_k(u_n) \to T_k(u)$$
 strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Thus, we complete the proof.

5.4 Passing to the limit

In vertue of (5.7d), we have for a subsequence

$$Du_n \to Du$$
 a.e in Ω ,

which with

$$u_n \rightarrow u$$
 a.e in Ω

yields, since $a(x, u_n, Du_n)$ is bounded in $(L^{p'}(\Omega))^N$

$$a(x, u_n, Du_n) \rightharpoonup a(x, u, Du)$$
 weakly in $(L^{p'}(\Omega))^N$ (5.10)

as well as

$$g(x, u_n, Du_n) \to g(x, u, Du)$$
 a.e in Ω . (5.11)

1

We now use the classical trick in order to prove that $g(x, u_n, Du_n)$ is uniformly equiintegrable. For any measurable subset *E* of Ω and for any $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$\int_{E} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)| = \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \le m\}} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)| + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| > m\}} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)|$$

$$\leq \int_{E} |g(x, T_m(u_n), DT_m(u_n))| + \int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)|.$$

Using (2.2b), we obtain

$$\int_{E} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)| \le b(m) \int_{E} (c(x) + |DT_m(u_n)|^p) + \int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)|.$$
(5.12)

For fixed *m*, the first integral of the right hand side of (5.12) is small uniformly in *n* when the measure of *E* is small (due to $DT_m(u_n)$ converges strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$).

We now discuss the behaviour of the second integral of the right hand side of (5.12). We use in (5.1) the test function $S_m(u_n)$, where for m > 1

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} S_m(s) = 0, & ext{if} \ \ |s| \leq m-1, \ S_m(s) = rac{|s|}{s}, & ext{if} \ \ |s| \geq m, \ S'_m(s) = 1, & ext{if} \ \ m-1 \leq |s| \leq m. \end{array}
ight.$$

This yields

$$\int_{\Omega} b_n S_m(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, Du_n) Du_n S'_m(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n, Du_n) S_m(u_n) = \int_{\Omega} f_n S_m(u_n).$$

Which implies

$$\int_{\{|u_n|>m\}} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)| \leq \int_{\{|u_n|>m-1\}} |f_n|$$

and thus

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} |g(x, u_n, Du_n)| \le \int_{\{|u| > m-1\}} |f|.$$

We have proved that the seconde terme of the right hand side of (5.12) is small, uniformly in n and in E, when m is sufficiently large.

This completes the proof of the uniforme equi-integrability of $g(x, u_n, Du_n)$. In view of (5.11), we thus have

$$g(x, u_n, Du_n) \to g(x, u, Du)$$
 strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$. (5.13)

From (5.10), (5.13), we can pass to the limit in (4.1)

$$\int_{\Omega} b_n \varphi + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, Du_n) D\varphi + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n, Du_n) \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f_n \varphi,$$

and we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} b\varphi + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, Du) D\varphi + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, Du) \varphi$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \quad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in W_0^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
(5.14)

With this last step the proof of Theorem 3.1 is concluded.

6 Example

Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \ge 1$). Let us consider the Carathéodory functions

$$a(x, s, \xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}\xi,$$

 $g(x, s, \xi) =
ho s|s|^r|\xi|^p, \quad
ho > 0, \quad r > 0,$

and β the maximal monotone graph defined by

$$\beta(s) = (s-1)^+ - (s+1)^-.$$

It is easy to show that the Carathéodory function $a(x, s, \xi)$ satisfies the growth condition (2.1b), the coercivity (2.1a) and the strict monotonic condition (2.1c). Also the Carathéodory function $g(x, s, \xi)$ satisfies the conditions (2.2a), (2.2b) and (2.2c) with $|s| > \sigma = 1$ and $\gamma = \rho$.

Finally, the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, therefore for all $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ the following problem

$$(E,f) \quad \begin{cases} \beta(u) - \Delta_p(u) + g(x, u, Du) \ni f & \text{in } D'(\Omega), \\ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad g(x, u, Du) \in L^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

has at least one solution.

References

- [1] Y. Akdim and C. Allalou, Renormalized solutions of Stefan degenerate elliptic nonlinear problems with variable exponent, J. Nonlinear Evol. Equ. Appl., (2015), 105–119.
- [2] F. Andreu, N. Igbida, J. M. Mazón and J. Toledo, L¹ existence and uniqueness results for quasi-linear elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 24(1) (2007), 61–89.
- [3] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre and J. L. Vázquez, An L¹-theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 22(2) (1995), 241–273.
- [4] A. Bensoussan, L. Boccardo, and F. Murat, On a nonlinear partial differential equation having natural growth terms and unbounded solution, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 5(4) (1988), 347–364.
- [5] L. Boccardo and T. Gallouët, Strongly nonlinear elliptic equations having natural growth terms and *L*¹ data, Nonlinear Anal., 19(6) (1992), 573–579.
- [6] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët and F. Murat, A unified presentation of two existence results for problems with natural growth, Progress in partial differential equations: the Metz surveys, 2 (1992), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 296, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1993, 127–137.
- [7] L. Boccardo, F. Murat and J.-P. Puel, Existence of bounded solutions for nonlinear elliptic unilateral problems, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 152 (1988), 183–196.
- [8] H. Brézis, Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semi-Groupes de Contractions dans les Espaces de Hilbert, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 5, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1973.

- [9] F. E. Browder, Existence theorems for nonlinear partial differential equations, Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XVI, Berkeley, Calif., 1968), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, 1–60.
- [10] P. Gwiazda, P. Wittbold, A. Wróblewska and A. Zimmermann, Renormalized solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems in generalized Orlicz spaces, J. Differential Equations, 253(2) (2012), 635–666.
- [11] J. Leray and J.-L. Lions, Quelques résulatats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 93 (1965), 97–107.
- [12] J.-L. Lions, Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéaires, Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [13] P. Wittbold and A. Zimmermann, Existence and uniqueness of renormalized solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponents and L^1 -data, Nonlinear Anal., 72(6) (2010), 2990–3008.