Anal. Theory Appl. Vol. 28, No. 1 (2012), 95–100

A MATHEMATICAL PROOF OF A PROBABILISTIC MODEL OF HARDY'S INEQUALITY

Prateek K

(Taleigao Plateau Goa University, India)

Received June 23, 2010; Revised Feb. 14, 2012

Abstract. In this paper using an argument from [1], we prove one of the probabilistic version of Hardy's inequality.

Key words: *random variables, uniform partition, Hardy's inequality* **AMS (2010) subject classification:** 11K99, 11P55

1 Introduction

Hardy's inequality is defined as for a constant c > 0, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(n)|}{n} \le c \|f\|_1$$

for all functions $f \in L^1([0,2\pi))$ with $\hat{f}(n) = 0$ for n < 0. This inequality is not true for all functions $f \in L^1([0,2\pi))$, which can be seen by letting f to be the Fejér kernel of order N for large enough N.

When McGehee, Pigno and Smith^[3] proved the Littlewood conjecture, many questions were asked of how Hardy's inequality can be generalized for all functions $f \in L^1([0, 2\pi))$. For instance, one of the expected generalizations is the following:

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{\hat{f}(n)|}{n} \le c \|f\|_1 + c \sum_{n>0} \frac{|\hat{f}(-n)|}{n}, \qquad f \in L^1([0, 2\pi)),$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

In this paper, we prove one version of Hardy's inequality for functions whose Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(n)$ are random variables on (0,1) for n > 0 without conditions on $\hat{f}(n)$ for n < 0.

In my proof use a technique that was motivated by $K\"{o}rner^{[1]}$, who used this technique in a different problem to modify a result of Byrnes (see [1]).

In the sequel, $[0,2\pi)$ denotes the unit circle, $L^1([0,2\pi)$ the space of integrable functions on $[0,2\pi), \mu$ the Lebesgue measure, and B_j the set of integers in the interval $[4^{j-1},4^j)$.

2 Basic Lemmas

In this section, I am going to prove some basic lemmas required for our purpose. Lemma 2.1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be independent random variables such that

$$|X_j| \le 1$$
 for each $j, 1 \le j \le N$,

and write

$$S_N = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N.$$

Then, for any $\lambda > 0$ *,*

$$Pr(|S_N - ES_N| \ge \lambda) \le 4\exp(-\frac{\lambda^2}{100N}).$$

For the proof, see [4, p.398].

The idea of the following proof is due to Köner^[1]. The statement of the lemma was observed by Kahane ^[2] without proof.

Lemma 2.2. Let (r_k) be a sequence of independent, zero mean random variables defined on the interval (0,1) with $|r_k| \le 1$ for all k. Let

$$f_n(\boldsymbol{\theta},t) = \sum_{p=1}^n r_p(t) e^{ip\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$
 for $t \in (0,1)$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in [0,2\pi).$

Then for $n \ge 27$ *and* $\lambda \ge 2 \times 2$ *,*

$$\mu(\{t:\sup_{\theta}|f_n(\theta,t)|\geq\lambda\sqrt{n\log n}\})\leq 4n^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{400}}.$$

Proof. By applying Lemma 2.1, we find that for fixed $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$,

$$\mu(\{t: \sup_{\theta} |f_n(\theta, t)| \ge \lambda \sqrt{n \log n}\}) \le 4n^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{100}}.$$

Let $(\theta_k)_{k=1}^{n^2}$ be a uniform partition of the unit circle. For fixed $t \in (0,1)$ and $\theta_k \in [0,2\pi)$ and for all θ with $|\theta - \theta_k| \le 2\pi/n^2$, we have

$$|f_n(\theta,t) - f_n(\theta_k,t)| \le \sum_{p=1}^n |r_p(t)| |e^{ip\theta} - e^{ip\theta_k}| \le 2\sum_{p=1}^n \frac{2\pi}{n^2} p = \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n}.$$

Lemma 2.3. There exists a set $\subset (0,1)$ of measure 1 such that whenever $t \in B$ there exists an index k_t with the property that

$$\sup_{\theta} |g_j(\theta, t)| \ge 60\sqrt{j4^{-j}}, \qquad \forall j \ge k_t.$$

Proof. Let

$$M_k = \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j$$
 also $M = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$.

Thus,

$$\mu(M) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k\right) \le \mu(M_k)$$

for all $k \ge 1$, i.e.,

$$\mu(M) \le \mu(\left(\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j\right) \le \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$$

for all $k \ge 1$. As

$$\mu(A_j) \le 8 \times 4^{-j/4}$$
 and $\sum 4^{-j/4} < \infty$,

hence

$$\mu(M) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

Thus, $\mu(M) = 0$. Putting $B = M^C$, the lemma is proved.

3 Main Result

In this section, we prove the probabilistic version of Hardy's inequality, which is main contribution in this paper.

Thus, for fixed *t* and θ_k and for all θ such that $|\theta - \theta_k| \le 2\pi/n^2$, we have

$$|f_n(\boldsymbol{\theta},t)| \leq \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n} + |f_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k,t)|,$$

and consequently

$$\sup_{|\theta-\theta_k|\leq \pi/n^2} |f_n(\theta,t)| \leq \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n} + |f_n(\theta_k,t)|.$$

But on a set (of *t*) of measure $\geq 1 - 4n^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{100}}$ we have for each θ_k

$$|f_n(\theta_k,t) \leq \lambda \sqrt{n \log n}.$$

K. Prateek : A Mathematical Proof of a Probabilistic Model of Hardy's Inequality 98

Therefore, for any particular θ_k we have on a set (of *t*) of measure $\geq 1 - 4n^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{100}}$,

$$\sup_{\theta-\theta_k|\leq 2\pi/n^2} |f_n(\theta,t)| \leq \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n} + \lambda \sqrt{n\log n}.$$

Since the set

$$\left\{t: \sup_{\theta} |f_n(\theta, t)| \ge \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n} + \lambda \sqrt{n\log n}\right\}$$

is contained in the set

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{n^2} \left\{ t : \sup_{|\theta - \theta_k| \le 2\pi/n^2} |f_n(\theta, t)| \le \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n} + \lambda \sqrt{n \log n} \right\},$$

we must have

$$\mu\left(\left\{t: \sup_{\theta} |f_n(\theta, t)| \ge \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n} + \lambda\sqrt{n\log n}\right\}\right) \le \sum_{p=1}^{n^2} 4n^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{100}} = 4n^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{100}}.$$

If $\lambda \ge \sqrt{2}$ and $n \ge 27$, we have

$$\frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n} \leq \lambda \sqrt{n \log n},$$

hence it follows that

$$\mu(\{t:\sup_{\theta}|f_n(\theta,t)|\geq 2\lambda\sqrt{n\log n}\})\leq 4n^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{100}}.$$

On replacing 2λ by λ

$$\mu(\{t: \sup_{\theta} |f_n(\theta, t)| \le \lambda \sqrt{n \log n}\}) \le 4n^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{400}}.$$

whenever $\lambda \ge 2\sqrt{2}$ and $n \ge 27$.

Thus, by letting

$$g_j(\theta,t) = \sum_{n \in B_j} r_n(t) e^{in\theta},$$

where B_j denotes the set of integers in the interval $[4^{j-1}, 4^j)$, we see that

$$\mu\left(\left\{t: \sup_{\theta} |g_j(\theta, t)| \ge 2\lambda\sqrt{j4^{-j}}\right\}\right) \le 4\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2-\frac{\lambda^2}{400}} \left(4^{(2-\lambda^2)\frac{j}{400}}\right)$$

for all $\lambda \ge 2\sqrt{2}$ and $j \ge 4$. By choosing $\lambda = 30$, we see that

$$\mu(A_j) \le 8 \times 4^{-j/4} \quad \text{for} \quad j \ge 4,$$

where

$$A_j = \left\{ t : \sup_{\theta} |g_j(\theta, t)| \ge 60\sqrt{j4^{-j}} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let (r_k) be a sequence of independent, zero mean random variables on the interval (0,1), with $|r_k| = 1$ for all k. Then there exists a set $S \subset (0,1)$ of measure 1 such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{f}(n)|}{n} \le c \|f\|_1$$

for all functions $f \in L^1([0,2\pi))$ satisfying the condition

$$\hat{f}(n)\overline{r_n(t)} \ge 0$$
, for all $n > 0$.

Proof. Let $t \in B$ be fixed. It suffices to prove the result for all trigonometric polynomials f with

$$\hat{f}(n)\overline{r_n(t)} \ge 0$$
, for all $n > 0$.

Thus, let f be a trigonometric polynomials with $\hat{f}(n)\overline{r_n(t)} \ge 0$

$$F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}, t).$$

It is clear from the definition of g_i that

$$\hat{F}(n) = \frac{r_n(t)}{4^j}, \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0,$$

where *j* is the unique index such that $n \in B_j$. Also, we see that

$$\hat{F}(n) = 0,$$
 for $n \le 0.$

Since $t \in B$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\theta \in [0,2\pi)} |g_j(\theta,t)| := K < \infty.$$

Therefore, *F* is a bounded function on the circle with $||F||_{\infty} \leq K$.

Now, we apply a standard duality argument to obtain

$$\begin{split} K\|f\|_{1} &:= \|F\|_{\infty}\|f\|_{1} \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(\theta) \overline{F(\theta)} \mathrm{d}\theta \right| = \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) \overline{\widehat{F}(n)} \right| \\ &= \left| \widehat{f}(0) \overline{\widehat{F}(0)} + \sum_{n > 0} \widehat{f}(n) \overline{\widehat{F}(n)} \right|, \\ K\|f\|_{1} &= \left| \sum_{n > 0} \widehat{f}(n) \overline{\widehat{F}(n)} \right| - |\widehat{f}(0)| |\widehat{F}(0)|, \end{split}$$

hence,

$$2\|f\|_1\|F\|_{\infty} \ge \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in B_j}\widehat{f}(n)\overline{\widehat{F}(n)}\right| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in B_j}\widehat{f}(n)\overline{\frac{r_n(t)}{4^j}}\right| \ge \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in B_j}\frac{|\widehat{f}(n)|}{n}.$$

Thus we have proven the above theorem for c = 8k.

References

- [1] Körner, T. W., On a Polynomials of Byrnes, BUll. London Math. Soc., 12(1980), 219-224.
- [2] Kanane, J. -P., Sur Les Polynömer á coefficients unimodulaires, Bull. London Math. Soc., 12(1980), 321-342.
- [3] McGehee, O. C., Pigno, L. and Smith, B., Hardy's Inequality and the L¹ Norm of Exponential Sums, Annals Math., 113(1981), 613-618.
- [4] Rënyl, A., Probability Theory, North-Holland (Amsterdam, 1970).
- [5] Smith, B., Two Trigonometric Designs, ISNM 65 General Inequalities, 3 Birkhauser (Basel, 1983), 141-148.

Taleigao Plateau Goa University Panaji-Goa India

E-mail: kvprateek@gmail.com