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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and discuss the concept of topical functions on upward sets. We give characterizations of topical functions in terms of upward sets.
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## 1 Introduction

If $X$ is a partially ordered vector space $X$, then the set $X^{+}=\{x \in X: x \geq 0\}$ is called the positive cone of $X$, and its members are called positive elements of $X$.

A partially ordered vector space $X$ is called a vector lattice if for every pair of points $x, y$ in $X$ both $\sup \{x, y\}$ and $\inf \{x, y\}$ exist. As usual, $\sup \{x, y\}$ is denoted by $x \vee y$ and $\inf \{x, y\}$ by $x \wedge y$. That is, $\sup \{x, y\}=x \vee y$ and $\inf \{x, y\}=x \wedge y$. In a vector lattice, the positive part, the negative
part and the absolute value of an element $x$ are defined by

$$
x^{+}=x \vee 0, \quad x^{-}=(-x) \vee 0, \quad \text { and } \quad|x|=x \vee(-x),
$$

respectively. Also we have

$$
x=x^{+}-x^{-}, \quad|x|=x^{+}+x^{-}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x^{+}-y^{+}\right| \leq|x-y| .
$$

A norm $\|$.$\| on a vector lattice X$ is said to be a lattice norm, whenever $|x| \leq|y|$ in $X$ implies $\|x\| \leq\|y\|$. A normed vector lattice is a vector lattice equipped with a lattice norm. If a normed vector lattice $X$ is complete, then $X$ is referred to a Banach lattice.

Recall that an element $\mathbf{1} \in X$ is called a strong unit if for each $x \in X$ there exists $0<\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $x \leq \boldsymbol{1}$. Using a strong unit $\mathbf{1}$ we can prove that

$$
\|x\|=\inf \{\lambda>0:|x| \leq \lambda \mathbf{1}\}, \quad \forall x \in X
$$

is a norm lattice on $X$. We have also

$$
|x| \leq\|x\| \mathbf{1}, \quad \forall x \in X
$$

Well-know examples of the Banach lattice with strong units are the lattice of all bounded functions defined on a set $X$ and the lattice $L^{\infty}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ of all essentially bounded functions on a space $S$ with a $\sigma$-algebra of measurable sets $\Sigma$ and a measure $\mu$.

A function $f: X \rightarrow \bar{R}=[-\infty,+\infty]$ is called topical if it is increasing $(x \leq y \Longrightarrow f(x) \leq f(y))$ and plus-homogeneous if $f(x+\lambda \mathbf{1})=f(x)+\lambda$ for all $x \in X$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and they are studied in [4-5]. The reader may find many applications in applied mathematics (see [3]).

Recall (see [3]) that a subset $U$ of $X$ is said to be upward, if $u \in U$ and $x \in X$ with $u \leq x$, then $x \in U$.

For any subset $U$ of $X$, we shall denote by $\operatorname{int} U, \mathrm{cl} U$, and $\operatorname{bd} U$ the interior, the closure and the boundary of $U$, respectively. We have

$$
N(x, r):=\{y \in X:\|x-y\| \leq r\}=\{y \in X: x-r \mathbf{1} \leq y \leq x+r \mathbf{1}\} .
$$

At first we stste the following lemma which is needed in the proof of the main results.
Lemma 1.1 ${ }^{[4]}$. Let $f: X \longrightarrow \bar{R}$ be a topical function. Then the following statements are true:
(a) If $x \in X$ and $f(x)=+\infty$ then $f \equiv+\infty$.
(b) If $x \in X$ and $f(x)=-\infty$ then $f \equiv-\infty$.

## 2 Upward Sets

Note that if $U \subseteq X$, then $U$ is an upward set if and only if for all $u \in U$ and all $x \in X$, $\max \{x, u\} \in U$.

Example 2.1. Suppose $x \in X$ and $U=\{y \in X: x \leq y\}$. Then $U$ is an upward set of $X$.
Definition 2.2. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is an arbitrary function. Set for $\lambda \in R$,

$$
B_{\lambda}(f)=\{x \in X: f(x) \geq \lambda\},
$$

then $B_{\lambda}(f)$ is called upper level set.
Corollary 2.3. The function $f: X \longrightarrow R$ is increasing if and only iffor every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}, B_{\lambda}(f)$ is upward.

Theorem 2.4.
(a) The collection $\tau_{u}$ of upward sets is a topology in $X$.
(b) If $U \in \tau_{u}$, then $\bar{U} \in \tau_{u}$.
(c) If $U \in \tau_{u}$, and $x \in U$ then for every $\varepsilon>0, x+\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \in$ int $U$.
(d) If $U \in \tau_{u}$, then int $U=\{x \in X: x-\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{int} U$ for some $\varepsilon\}$.

Proof. The part (a) is trivial.
(b) Suppose $U \in \tau_{u}$ and $x \in \bar{U}$, if $x \leq y$, we show that $y \in \bar{U}$. Consider the sequence $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \geq 1}$ such that $\left\|x_{\alpha}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Put $\varepsilon_{\alpha}=\left\|x_{\alpha}-x\right\|$. Then for every $\alpha \geq 1, x_{\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_{\alpha} 1+x$. Therefore for every $\alpha \geq 1, \varepsilon_{\alpha} \mathbf{1}+x \in U$. For every $\alpha \geq 1$, put $y_{\alpha}=\varepsilon_{\alpha} \mathbf{1}+y$ then $y_{\alpha} \in U$, also $\varepsilon_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ hence $y \in \bar{U}$.
(c) Suppose $U \in \tau_{u}, x \in U$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Consider the neighborhood of $(x+\varepsilon \mathbf{1})$.

$$
V=\{y \in X:\|y-(x+\varepsilon \mathbf{1})\|<\varepsilon\} .
$$

Then $V=\{y \in X: x<y<x+2 \varepsilon \mathbf{1}\}$. Since $U$ is upward and $x \in X, V \subseteq U$. Therefore $x+\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \in$ int $U$. (d) Suppose $U \in \tau_{u}$ and for some $\varepsilon>0, x-\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \in U$. Then by (c) we have $x=(x-\varepsilon \mathbf{1})+$ $\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{int} U$. If $x \in \operatorname{int} U$ The there exists a neighborhood $N(x, \varepsilon)$ of x such that $N(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$. Also $x-\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \in N(x, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$, therefore $x-\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \in U$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $U \in \tau_{u}$ be closed and $u \in U$. Then $u \in \operatorname{bd} U$ if and only if for every $\lambda>0, u-\lambda 1 \notin U$.

Let $X$ be a normed linear space and $U$ a nonempty subset of $X$. Then a point $g_{0} \in U$ is said to be a best approximation for $x \in X$, if

$$
\left\|x-g_{0}\right\|=d(x, U)=\inf \{\|x-g\|: g \in U\} .
$$

If each $x \in X$ has at least one best approximation in U , then $U$ is called a proximinal subset of $X$. Let $U$ be a subset of a normed linear space $X$, then for $x \in X$ we put

$$
P_{U}(x)=\left\{g_{0} \in U:\left\|x-g_{0}\right\|=d(x, U)\right\}
$$

the set of all best approximations for $x \in X$.
Theorem 2.6. Let $U \in \tau_{u}$ be closed in $X$. Then $U$ is proximinal.
Proof. Suppose $x_{0} \in X \backslash \bar{U}$ and $r=d\left(x_{0}, U\right)=\inf _{u \in U}\left\|x_{0}-u\right\|$. Since $U$ is closed, for $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in U$ such that $\left\|x_{0}-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|<r+\varepsilon$. Therefore

$$
-(r+\varepsilon) \mathbf{1} \leq u_{\varepsilon}-x_{0} \leq(r+\varepsilon) \mathbf{1} .
$$

Put $u_{0}=x_{0}+r \mathbf{1}$. Then we can clearly prove that $u_{0} \in P_{U}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Corollary 2.7. Let $U \in \tau_{u}$ is closed of $X$. Then for $x_{0} \in X \backslash \bar{U}, u_{0}=x_{0}+r \mathbf{1} \in P_{U}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where

$$
r=d\left(x_{0}, U\right)
$$

Definition 2.8. Suppose $U \in \tau_{u}$. Define the function $\rho_{U}: X \rightarrow \bar{R}$ for $x \in X$

$$
\rho_{U}(x)=\sup \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U\} .
$$

Note that if $U=X$ then for every $x \in X$, we have

$$
\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U\}=\mathbf{R} .
$$

Also if $U=\emptyset$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, then for every $x \in X$, we have

$$
\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U\}=\emptyset .
$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $U$ be a nonempty upward subset of $X$. Then for every $x \in X$

$$
\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U\} \neq \emptyset
$$

and the set $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U\}$ is an interval to form $(-\infty, r)$ or $(-\infty, r]$.
Proof. Consider $u \in U$ and $x \in X$, if $\lambda=-\inf \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: u-x \leq \lambda \mathbf{1}\}$ then $u \leq x-\lambda \mathbf{1}$. Since $U$ is upward, $x-\lambda \mathbf{1} \in U$. Therefore

$$
\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U\} \neq \emptyset,
$$

If $r_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $x \in r_{0} \mathbf{1}+U$. If $r \leq r_{0}$ put $\eta=r_{0}-r$, since $U$ is upward then

$$
x-r \mathbf{1}=\left(x-r_{0} \mathbf{1}\right)+\eta \mathbf{1} \in U .
$$

Theorem 2.10. Let $U \in \tau_{u}$, then
(a) $\rho_{U}$ is topical.
(b) $\rho_{U} \equiv-\infty$ if and only if $U=\emptyset$.
(c) $\rho_{U} \equiv+\infty$ if and only if $U=X$.
(d) $\rho_{U}$ is finite if and only if $\emptyset \neq U \subset X$.
(e) If $U \in \tau_{u}$ is a closed upward subset of $X$ and $u \in U$, then $\rho_{U}(u)=0$ if and only if $u \in \operatorname{bd} U$.
(f) If $U \in \tau_{u}$ is a closed upward subset of $X$, then

$$
\operatorname{bd} u=\left\{u \in X: \rho_{U}(u)=0\right\} .
$$

Proof. (a) Since $U$ is upward, $\rho_{U}$ is increasing. Suppose $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{U}(x+\alpha \mathbf{1}) & =\sup \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x+\alpha \mathbf{1} \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U\} \\
& =\sup \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x \in(\lambda-\alpha) \mathbf{1}+U\} \\
& =\sup \{(\beta+\alpha) \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \beta \mathbf{1}+U\} \\
& =\sup \{\beta \in \mathbf{R}: x \in \beta \mathbf{1}+U\}+\alpha \\
& =\rho_{U}(x)+\alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is $\rho_{U}$ is plus-homogeneous and topical.
(b), (c) and (d) are trivial.
(e) Suppose $\rho_{U}=0$ and $u \notin$ bd $U$, then by Corollary 2.5 , for some $\lambda>0, u-\lambda \mathbf{1} \in U$, it follows that $\rho_{U} \geq \lambda>0$. This is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose $u \in \operatorname{bd} U$. Therefore by Corollary 2.5 , for every $\lambda>0, u \notin \lambda \mathbf{1}+U$. Since $U$ is closed and $u \in U=01+U$, we have $\rho_{U}=0$.
(f) is a consequence of (e).

Theorem 2.11. Let $U$ be an upward subset of $X$. Then
(a) $\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x)>0\right\}=\operatorname{int} U \subseteq U$.
(b) $X \backslash \operatorname{int} U=\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x) \leq 0\right\}$.

Proof. (a) Suppose $x \in\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x)>0\right\}$. Then there exists $\lambda>0$ such that $x \in \lambda \mathbf{1}+U$. From Theorem 2.4 (c), $x=(x-\lambda \mathbf{1})+\lambda \mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{int} U$. That is $\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x)>0\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{int} U$.

Now if $x \in U$, then $\rho_{U}(x) \geq 0$. Therefore $U \subseteq\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x)>0\right\}$ and $\bar{U}=\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x)>\right.$ $0\}$. From Theorem 2.10 (f) and the relation $\bar{U}=\operatorname{int} U \bigcup \operatorname{bd} U$. We have int $U=\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x)>\right.$ $0\}$.
(b) By (a) we have

$$
X \backslash \operatorname{int} U=\left\{x \in X: \rho_{U}(x) \leq 0\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.12. Let $U$ be a subset of $X$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\rho_{U}$ is topical and $U=B_{0}\left(\rho_{U}\right)$.
(b) $U$ is upward and for any real sequence $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ with $x+\lambda_{k} \mathbf{1} \in U$ and $\lambda_{k} \longrightarrow \lambda$, one has $x+\lambda \mathbf{1} \in U$.

Proof. $\quad(a) \Rightarrow(b)$. Suppose $g_{1} \in U=B_{0}\left(\rho_{U}\right)$ and $g_{2} \in X$ where $g_{2} \geq g_{1}$. Since $\rho_{U}$ is topical $g_{2} \in B_{0}\left(\rho_{U}\right)$. Therefore $U$ is upward. Now suppose $x \in X, \lambda, \lambda_{k} \in R$ and $\lambda_{k} \longrightarrow \lambda$. Since for any $k, x+\lambda_{k} \mathbf{1} \in U$, it follows that $\rho_{U}\left(x+\lambda_{k} \mathbf{1}\right) \geq 0$ and since $\rho_{U}$ is topical

$$
\rho_{U}\left(x+\lambda_{k} \mathbf{1}\right)=\rho_{U}(x)+\lambda_{k} \longrightarrow \rho_{U}(x)+\lambda=\rho_{U}(x+\lambda \mathbf{1}) .
$$

Hence

$$
\rho_{U}(x+\lambda \mathbf{1}) \geq 0 .
$$

It follows that $x+\lambda \mathbf{1} \in U$.
$(b) \Rightarrow(a)$. From Theorem 2.10, $\rho_{U}$ is topical. Suppose $x \in X$ and $x \in B_{0}\left(\rho_{U}\right)$. Choose $\lambda_{k}>0$ where $\lambda_{k} \longrightarrow 0$, since $\rho_{U}$ is topical $\rho_{U}\left(x+\lambda_{k} \mathbf{1}\right)=\rho_{U}(x)+\lambda_{k} \geq \lambda_{k}>0$. Therefore $x+\lambda_{k} \mathbf{1} \in U$, since $\lambda_{k} \longrightarrow 0$ it follows that $x \in U$ and $B_{0}\left(\rho_{U}\right) \subseteq U$. Also we know from Theorem 2.11, that $U \subseteq B_{0}\left(\rho_{U}\right)$, hence $U=B_{0}\left(\rho_{U}\right)$.

In the following we give a necessary and sufficient condition for topical function.
Theorem 2.13. Let $f: X \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $f$ is topical.
(b) The set $B_{0}(f) \in \tau_{u}$ and $f=\rho_{B_{0}(f)}$.

Proof. $\quad(b) \Rightarrow(a)$. If $B_{0}(f) \in \tau_{u}$, by Theorem 2.10, $f=\rho_{B_{0}(f)}$ is topical.
(a) $\Rightarrow(b)$. Suppose $f$ is topical. If $f \equiv-\infty$ then $B_{0}(f)=\emptyset$; therefore by Theorem 2.10, $\rho_{B_{0}(f)}=-\infty$.

Suppose there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x)=\lambda>-\infty$. Then $f(x-\lambda \mathbf{1})=0$, and $x-\lambda \mathbf{1} \in$ $B_{0}(f)$. Hence $B_{0}(f) \neq \emptyset$. If $g_{1} \in B_{0}(f), g_{2} \in X$ and $g_{2} \geq g_{1}$. Since $f$ is increasing, $g_{2} \in B_{0}(f)$,
so that $B_{0}(f)$ is upward. If $x \in X$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{B_{0}(f)}(x) & =\sup \left\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: x-\lambda \mathbf{1} \in B_{0}(f)\right\} \\
& =\sup \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: f(x-\lambda \mathbf{1}) \geq 0\} \\
& =\sup \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: f(x)-\lambda \geq 0\} \\
& =\sup \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}: f(x) \geq \lambda\} \\
& =f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.14. Let $U$ is a closed upward subset of $X$ and $x \in X$. Put

$$
V=\{x+\lambda \mathbf{1}: \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\},
$$

$W=\{x+\lambda 1: \lambda>0\}$ and $P=\{x+\lambda 1: \lambda \leq 0\}$. Then the following ststments are ture:
(a) $\operatorname{card}(V \cap \operatorname{bd} U)=1$,
(b) $\operatorname{card}(W \cap \operatorname{bd} U) \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{card}(P \cap \operatorname{bd} U) \leq 1$,
(c) If $x \notin U$, then $\operatorname{card}(P \cap \operatorname{bd} U)=0$ and card $(W \cap \operatorname{bd} U)=1$,
(d) If $x \in U$, then $\operatorname{card}(P \cap \mathrm{bd} U)=1$ and $\operatorname{card}(W \cap \mathrm{bd} U)=0$.
(Cardinal number of a finite set $A$ is the number of elements in that set $A$ and denote by $\operatorname{card} A)$.

Proof. If $V \cap \mathrm{bd} U=\emptyset$, then by Theorem 2.108 (f), for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}, \rho_{U}(x+\lambda \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. Since $\rho_{U}$ is topical, for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}, \rho_{U}(x) \neq-\lambda$. Therefore $\rho_{U}(x)$ is not finite and $\rho_{U}$ is not finite. From Theorem 2.10 (d) $U=\emptyset$ or $U=X$. It follows that card $(V \cap \operatorname{bd} U)>0$. If for every $i=1,2$ there exists $\lambda_{i}$ such that $x+\lambda_{i} \mathbf{1} \in V \bigcap \mathrm{bd} U$. From Theorem 2.10 (e), for every $i, \rho_{U}\left(x+\lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}\right)=0$. Since $\rho_{U}$ is topical, it follows that $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}$. Thus card $(V \bigcap \operatorname{bd} U) \leq 1$.
(b) It is similar to (a).
(c) Suppose $x \notin U$, then $\rho_{U}(x)<0$. Therefore for every $\lambda \leq 0, \rho_{U}(x)+\lambda<\lambda$. Since $\rho_{U}$ is topical, then for every $\lambda \leq 0, \rho_{U}(x+\lambda \mathbf{1})<0$. It follows that card $(P \cap \mathrm{bd} U)=0$.

Also if put $\lambda=-\rho_{U}(x)$, we have $\rho_{U}(x+\lambda \mathbf{1})=0$. Hence card $(W \cap \operatorname{bd} U)=1$.
(d) Suppose $x \in U$, then $\rho_{U}(x) \geq 0$. Therefore for every $\lambda>0, \rho_{U}(x)+\lambda \geq \lambda$. Since $\rho_{U}$ is topical, for every $\lambda>0, \rho_{U}(x+\lambda \mathbf{1})>0$. Hence card $(W \cap \operatorname{bd} U)=0$.

Also if put

$$
\lambda=-\rho_{U}(x)
$$

then $\rho_{U}(x+\lambda \mathbf{1})=0$. It follows that $\operatorname{card}(P \cap \operatorname{bd} U)=1$.
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