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#### Abstract

Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$, which has no zeros in $|z|<1$, Dewan et al. [K. K. Dewan and Sunil Hans, Generalization of certain well known polynomial inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 363 (2010), pp. 38-41] established $$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|+\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\left(\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\},
$$ for any $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$. In this paper we improve the above inequality for the polynomial which has no zeros in $|z|<k, k \geq 1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Our results generalize certain well known polynomial inequalities.
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## 1 Introduction and statement of results

Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$, then according to a result known as Bernstein's inequality [3] on the derivative of a polynomial, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials having all its zeros at the origin.

If the polynomial $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then it was proved by Turan [10] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]With equality for those polynomials which have all their zeros at the origin.
For the class of polynomials having no zeros in $|z|<1$, the inequality (1.1) can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (1.3) was conjectured by Erdös and later proved by Lax [6].
As an extension of the inequality (1.2) Malik [7] proved that if $p(z)$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n}{1+k} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Govil [5] improved the inequality (1.4) and proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n}{1+k}\left\{\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|+\frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|\right\} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a refinement of the inequality (1.4) Aziz and Zargar [2] proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|+\frac{n-s}{(1+k) k^{s}} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently Dewan and Hans [4] obtained a refinement of inequalities (1.2) and (1.3). They proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ and has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \geq n\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right| \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the case that $p(z)$ having no zeros in $|z|<1$, they proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|+\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\left(\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\} . \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, we obtain an improvement and generalizations of the above inequalities. For this purpose we first present the following result which is a generalization and refinement of inequalities (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7).

Theorem 1.1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, with s-fold zeros at the origin where $0 \leq s \leq n$, then for every $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} p(z)\right| \geq k^{-n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right| \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With equality for $p(z)=a z^{n}$ where $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 1.1. Clearly for $k=1$ and $s=0$ the inequality (1.9) reduces to the inequality (1.7).
According to Lemma 2.1, if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then for $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|p(z)|
$$

then for every complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$, by choosing suitable argument of $\beta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} p(z)\right|=\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right|-|\beta| \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|p(z)| . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.9) and (1.10) we have

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right|-|\beta| \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|p(z)| \geq k^{-n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right| \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|
$$

or

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right|-|\beta| \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|p(z)| \geq k^{-n}\left(n-|\beta| \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right) \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|,
$$

equivalently

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq|\beta| \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|p(z)|+k^{-n}\left(n-|\beta| \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right) \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| .
$$

Making $|\beta| \rightarrow 1$, then

$$
\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|p(z)|+\frac{n-s}{(1+k) k^{n-1}} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| .
$$

Since for $0 \leq s<n$ and $k \leq 1$, we have $\frac{1}{k^{s}} \leq \frac{1}{k^{n-1}}$ and for $s=n$ we have $n-s=0$, therefore the following result is a refinement and extention of the inequality (1.6).

Corollary 1.1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|+\frac{n-s}{(1+k) k^{n-1}} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|,  \tag{1.11a}\\
& \max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|+\frac{n-s}{(1+k) k^{n-1}} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| . \tag{1.11b}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take $s=0$ in Corollary 1.1, then inequality (1.11b) reduce to inequality (1.5). Now if we take $\beta=-1$ in Theorem 1.1, we have the following result

Corollary 1.2. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)-\frac{n+s k}{1+k} p(z)\right| \geq \frac{n-s}{(1+k) k^{s}} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having no zeros in $|z|<k, k \geq 1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin, i.e., $p(z)=z^{s} h(z)$, where $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $(n-s)$ that does not vanish in $|z|<k, k \geq 1$, then the polynomial

$$
q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=z^{n} \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=z^{s}\left(z^{n-s} \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}\right)
$$

is of degree $n$, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1 / k$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Also

$$
\min _{|z|=1 / k}|q(z)|=\frac{1}{k^{n+s}} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| .
$$

By applying Theorem 1.1 for the polynomial $q(z)$, we get the following result
Corollary 1.3. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having no zeros in $|z|<k, k \geq 1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right| \geq k^{-s}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right| \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

Finally by using Corollary 1.3, we prove the following interesting result which is a generalization of the inequality (1.8).

Theorem 1.2. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having no zeros in $|z|<k, k \geq 1$, except s-fold zeros at the origin, then for every complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{|z|=k^{2}}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p(z)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\{k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|+k^{s}\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|\right\} \max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|\right. \\
& \left.-\left\{k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|-k^{s}\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|\right\} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|\right] . \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take $k=1$ in (1.14) we have

Corollary 1.4. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having no zeros in $|z|<1$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then for every complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{|z|=1}\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s}{2} p(z)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\{\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|+\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right. \\
& \left.-\left\{\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|-\left|s+\beta \frac{n+s}{2}\right|\right\} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right] . \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For $s=0$ the inequality (1.15) reduces to the inequality (1.8).

## 2 Lemmas

For the proof of these theorems, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Aziz and Shah [1].

Lemma 2.1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in the closed disk $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, with $s$-fold zeros at the origin, then for $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|p(z)| . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $F(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$ and $f(z)$ be a polynomial of degree not exceeding that of $F(z)$. If $|f(z)| \leq|F(z)|$ for $|z|=k, k \leq 1$, and $F(z)$, $f(z)$ have common s-fold zeros at the origin, then for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} f(z)\right| \leq\left|z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} F(z)\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha$ be a complex number with $|\alpha|<1$, then $|\alpha f(z)|<|F(z)|$ for $|z|=k$. It is concluded from Rouche's Theorem, the polynomial $\alpha f(z)-F(z)$ has as many zeros in $|z|<k$ as $F(z)$ and so has all of its zeros in $|z|<k$, with s-fold zeros at the origin. On applying Lemma 2.1, we have for $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)-z F^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|\alpha f(z)-F(z)| .
$$

Therefore for any real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$, the polynomial

$$
T(z)=\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)-z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}(\alpha f(z)-F(z)) \neq 0
$$

for $|z|=1$.

Equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=\alpha\left\{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} f(z)\right\}-\left\{z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} F(z)\right\} \neq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|=1$. This concludes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} f(z)\right| \leq\left|z F^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} F(z)\right| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|=1$. If the inequality (2.4) is not true, then there is a point $z=z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$ such that

$$
\left|z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\left|z_{0} F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} F\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

Now take

$$
\alpha=-\frac{z_{0} F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} F\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} f\left(z_{0}\right)}
$$

then $|\alpha|<1$ and with this choice of $\alpha$, we have from (2.3), $T\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ for $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$. But this contradicts the fact that $T(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|=1$. For $\beta$ with $|\beta|=1$, the inequality (2.4) follows by continuity. This is equivalent to the desired result.

If we take $F(z)=M\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}$ in Lemma 2.2, where $M=\max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$, then we have:
Lemma 2.3. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with s-fold zeros at the origin, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1, k \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} p(z)\right| \leq k^{-n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right| \max _{|z|=k}|p(z)| \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin and $k \geq 1$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right| \leq k^{-s}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right| \max _{|z|=k}|p(z)| \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

Proof. Let $p(z)=z^{s} h(z)$, where $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-s$. Then the polynomial

$$
q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=z^{n} \overline{h\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=z^{s}\left(z^{n-s} h\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)\right)
$$

is of degree $n$ with s-fold zeros at the origin. Also

$$
\max _{|z|=\frac{1}{k}}|q(z)|=\frac{1}{k^{n+s}} \max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|
$$

By applying Lemma 2.3 for the polynomial $q(z)$, we get the result.

Lemma 2.5. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with s-fold zeros at the origin and $k \geq 1$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right|+k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right| \\
\leq & \left\{k^{s}\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|+k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|\right\} \max _{|z|=k}|p(z)| \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

Proof. Let $M=\max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$, then for every complex number $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|>1$, it follows by Rouche's Theorem that the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\alpha M\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{s}$ has no zeros in $|z|<k$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Correspondingly the polynomial

$$
H(z)=z^{n+s} G\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)=q(z)-\bar{\alpha} k^{-s} M z^{n}
$$

has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1 / k$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin and

$$
\left|\frac{1}{k^{n+s}} G\left(k^{2} z\right)\right|=|H(z)|
$$

for $|z|=1 / k$. Therefore, by applying Lemma 2.2 to polynomials $G\left(k^{2} z\right)$ and $k^{n+s} H(z)$, we have for $|\beta| \leq 1,1 / k \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z k^{2} G^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} G\left(k^{2} z\right)\right| \leq k^{n+s}\left|z H^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} H(z)\right|
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)-\alpha\left(s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right) k^{s} M z^{s}\right| \\
\leq & \left|k^{n+s}\left(z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right)-\bar{\alpha} k^{n}\left(n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right) M z^{n}\right| \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by applying the inequality (2.6) and choosing a suitable argument of $\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|k^{n+s}\left(z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right)-\bar{\alpha} k^{n}\left(n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right) M z^{n}\right| \\
= & |\alpha| k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right| M-k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right| . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining inequalities (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right|-|\alpha|\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right| k^{s} M \\
\leq & |\alpha| k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right| M-k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right| . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right|+k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right| \\
& \leq|\alpha|\left\{k^{s}\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|+k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|\right\} M . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Making $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1$ we have the result.
The following lemma is due to Zireh [11].
Lemma 2.6. If

$$
p(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}
$$

is a polynomial of degree $n$, having all its zeros in $|z|<k,(k>0)$, then $m<k^{n}\left|a_{n}\right|$, where $m=$ $\min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$.

## 3 Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $p(z)$ has a zero on $|z|=k$, then $\min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|=0$ and the inequality (1.9) is true. Therefore we suppose that $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<k$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin. We consider $p(z)=z^{s} h(z)$, where $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $(n-s)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<k$ and $h(0) \neq 0$. Let $m=\min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$ and $m_{1}=\min _{|z|=k}|h(z)|$ then $m=k^{s} m_{1}>0$ and

$$
|p(z)| \geq m\left|\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)\right|
$$

for $|z|=k$, hence

$$
|h(z)| \geq m_{1}\left|\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n-s}\right|
$$

for $|z|=k$. Therefore, if $|\lambda|<1$ then it follows by Rouche's Theorem that the polynomial

$$
G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}=z^{s}\left(h(z)-\lambda m_{1}\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n-s}\right)
$$

has all its zeros in $|z|<k$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Also by using Lemma 2.6 the polynomial

$$
G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}
$$

is of degree $n$, for $|\lambda|<1$. On applying Lemma 2.1 to the polynomial $G(z)$ of degree $n$, we get

$$
\left|z G^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k}|G(z)|,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda m n\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right| \geq \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\left|p(z)-\lambda m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right|
$$

where $|z|=1$.
Therefore for $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$, it can be easily verified that the polynomial

$$
T(z)=\left(z p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda m n\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\left\{p(z)-\lambda m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right\}
$$

i.e.,

$$
T(z)=\left(z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} p(z)\right)-\lambda m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\left(n+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right)
$$

will have no zeros on $|z|=1$. As $|\lambda|<1$ we have for $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} p(z)\right|>m\left|\lambda\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right|\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right|
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k} p(z)\right| \geq m k^{-n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n+s k}{1+k}\right| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\beta$ with $|\beta|=1$, (3.1) follows by continuity. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of the Theorem 1.2. Let $m=\min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$. By hypothesis the polynomial $p(z)$ has no zeros in $|z|<k$, except $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Correspondingly the polynomial

$$
q(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1 / k$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin and

$$
\frac{1}{k^{n+s}}\left|p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right|=|q(z)|
$$

for $|z|=1 / k$. Then by applying Lemma 2.2 to the polynomials $p\left(k^{2} z\right)$ and $k^{n+s} q(z)$, we have for $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right| \leq k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m=0$, by combining inequalities (3.2) and (2.7), Theorem 1.2 follows.
Therefore we suppose that $m \neq 0$ then for every complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$, we have

$$
|\lambda m|<m \leq|p(z)|
$$

where $|z|=k$. Hence by Rouche's Theorem the polynomial

$$
G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{s}
$$

has no zero in $|z|<k$ except $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Therefore the polynomial

$$
H(z)=z^{n+s} \overline{G(1 / \bar{z})}=q(z)-\bar{\lambda} k^{-s} m z^{n},
$$

will have all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1 / k$ with $s$-fold zeros at the origin. Also $\left|G\left(k^{2} z\right)\right|=k^{n+s}|H(z)|$ for $|z|=1 / k$.

On applying Lemma 2.2 for $G\left(k^{2} z\right)$ and $k^{n+s} H(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)-\left(s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right) \lambda k^{s} m z^{s}\right| \\
\leq & \left|k^{n+s}\left(z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right)-k^{n}\left(n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right) \bar{\lambda} m z^{n}\right| . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the inequality (1.13), for an appropriate choice of the argument of $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|k^{n+s}\left(z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right)-k^{n}\left(n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right) \bar{\lambda} m z^{n}\right| \\
= & k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right|-k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right||\lambda| m . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining (3.3) and (3.4), we get for $|z|=1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right|-k^{s}\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right||\lambda| m \\
\leq & k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right|-k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right||\lambda| m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right| \\
\leq & k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right|-\left\{k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|-k^{s}\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|\right\}|\lambda| m .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z k^{2} p^{\prime}\left(k^{2} z\right)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} p\left(k^{2} z\right)\right| \\
\leq & k^{n+s}\left|z q^{\prime}(z)+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k} q(z)\right|-\left\{k^{n}\left|n+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|-k^{s}\left|s+\beta \frac{n k+s}{1+k}\right|\right\} m .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a conjunction with inequality (2.7), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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