

# THE $L^2$ -NORM ERROR ESTIMATE OF NONCONFORMING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR THE 2ND ORDER ELLIPTIC PROBLEM WITH THE LOWEST REGULARITY <sup>\*1)</sup>

Lie-heng Wang

(*LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing,  
Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
Beijing, 100080, China*)

## Abstract

The abstract  $L^2$ -norm error estimate of nonconforming finite element method is established. The uniformly  $L^2$ -norm error estimate is obtained for the nonconforming finite element method for the second order elliptic problem with the lowest regularity, i.e., in the case that the solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only. It is also shown that the  $L^2$ -norm error bound we obtained is one order higher than the energy-norm error bound.

*Key words:*  $L^2$ -norm error estimate, nonconforming f.e.m., lowest regularity

## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the uniformly  $L^2$ -norm error estimate of the nonconforming finite method for the second order elliptic problem with the lowest regularity, i.e., in the case that the solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only, but not in  $H^2(\Omega)$ .

For the conforming finite element method of the second order elliptic problem, it is well known that using the Aubin-Nitsche lemma obtained the  $L^2$ -norm error bound, which is one order of  $h$ , the parameter of triangulation, higher than the  $H^1$ -norm error bound, in the case that the solution  $u$  of the primal problem is smooth enough, i.e.,  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  (c.f.[1]). And recently, Schatz and Wang [2] considered the uniformly  $L^2$ -norm error bound for the conforming finite element method of second order elliptic problem in the case that the solution  $u$  is not smooth enough, i.e.,  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only, but not in  $H^2(\Omega)$ .

In order to consider the  $L^2$ -norm error estimate for the nonconforming finite element method, we need the Aubin-Nitsche lemma for the nonconforming finite element method, which has been considered in [4], and for which we now give a clear expression and a simple proof.

---

\* Received December 20, 1996.

<sup>1)</sup> The project was supported by Natural Science Fundation of China.

Firstly, let us state the Aubin-Nitsche lemma for the conforming finite element method.

Consider the variational elliptic problem as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$a(u, v) \equiv \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i u \partial_j v dx, \quad (1.2)$$

$$(f, v) \equiv \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v dx \quad (1.3)$$

and  $a_{ij}(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i^2 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T \in R^2. \quad (1.4)$$

Then the conforming finite element approximation of (1.1) is as follows, let  $\tilde{V}_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  be the finite element subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u_h \in \tilde{V}_h, \quad \text{such that} \\ a(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in \tilde{V}_h. \end{array} \right. \quad (1.5)$$

Then it is well known that

**Theorem 1.** (Aubin-Nitsche Lemma)(c.f.[1])

Let  $u$  and  $u_h$  be the solutions of the problems (1.1) and (1.5) respectively, then there exists  $C = \text{Const.} > 0$ , such that

$$\|u - u_h\|_0 \leq \|u - u_h\|_1 \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|g\|_0} \inf_{\phi_h \in \tilde{V}_h} \|\phi_g - \phi_h\|_1 \right\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where, for any given  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\phi_g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$a(v, \phi_g) = (g, v) \quad v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1.7)$$

**Corollary 2.** ([2])

Assume that  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $h_0 = h_0(\epsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $0 < h \leq h_0(\epsilon)$ ,

$$\|u - u_h\|_0 \leq \epsilon \|u - u_h\|_1. \quad (1.8)$$

The proof can be completed from that  $\|\phi_g - (\phi_g)_h\|_1 \leq \epsilon \|g\|_0$  (c.f.[2]) and (1.6).

Note that the Corollary 2 shows that the  $L^2$ -norm error bound is one order of  $\epsilon$  higher than the  $H^1$ -norm error bound for the conforming finite element approximation to the second order problem in the case that the solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only, but not in  $H^2(\Omega)$ .

## 2. $L^2$ - norm Error Estimate for Nonconforming Finite Element Method

We now turn to consider the  $L^2$ - norm error estimate for nonconforming finite element method for second order elliptic problem (1.1) in the case that the solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  only.

Firstly, we give a clear expression and a simple proof for the Aubin-Nitsche Lemma for the nonconforming finite element approximation to the second order problem. Let  $V_h \not\subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  be the nonconforming finite element space, and  $u_h \in V_h$  be the solution of the following problem

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) \equiv \sum_K \int_K a_{ij}(x) \partial_i u_h \partial_j v_h dx, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\|v_h\|_h \equiv \left( \sum_K |v_h|_{1,K}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.3)$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $u$  and  $u_h$  be the solutions of the problems (1.1) and (2.1) respectively, then there exists  $C = \text{Const.} > 0$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_0 &\leq C \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega)} \frac{1}{\|g\|_0} \{ \|u - u_h\|_h \|\phi_g - (\phi_g)_h\|_h \\ &\quad + E_h(u, (\phi_g)_h - \phi_g) + E_h^*(u_h - u, \phi_g) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\phi_g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is the solution of (1.7), and  $(\phi_g)_h$  is the nonconforming finite element approximation of  $\phi_g$ :  $(\phi_g)_h \in V_h$ , such that

$$a_h(v_h, (\phi_g)_h) = (g, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$E_h(u, w_h) = a_h(u, w_h) - a_h(u_h, w_h) = a_h(u, w_h) - (f, w_h), \quad (2.6)$$

$$E_h^*(w_h, \phi_g) = a_h(w_h, \phi_g) - a_h(w_h, (\phi_g)_h) = a_h(w_h, \phi_g) - (g, w_h). \quad (2.7)$$

Before proving the theorem, it should be noted that, when  $V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ , i.e., the conforming finite element method, the abstract error estimate (2.4) reduced the estimate (1.6). In fact, for the conforming finite element method, the expressions (2.6) and (2.7) vanish and that

$$\|\phi_g - (\phi_g)_h\|_h = \|\phi_g - (\phi_g)_h\|_1 \leq C \inf_{\phi_h \in V_h} \|\phi_g - \phi_h\|_1. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.*

Noting that

$$\|u - u_h\|_0 = \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega)} \frac{|(g, u - u_h)|}{\|g\|_0}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (g, u - u_h) &= a(u, \phi_g) - a_h(u_h, (\phi_g)_h) = a_h(u - u_h, \phi_g - (\phi_g)_h) \\ &\quad + \{a_h(u, (\phi_g)_h) - a_h(u_h, (\phi_g)_h)\} + \{a_h(u_h, \phi_g) - a_h(u_h, (\phi_g)_h)\} \\ &= a_h(u - u_h, \phi_g - (\phi_g)_h) + E_h(u, (\phi_g)_h) + E_h^*(u_h, \phi_g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

We have

$$|a_h(u - u_h, \phi_g - (\phi_g)_h)| \leq C\|u - u_h\|_h \cdot \|\phi_g - (\phi_g)_h\|_h. \quad (2.11)$$

Taking into account that  $u$  and  $\phi_g$  are the solutions of the problems (1.1) and (1.7) respectively, we have

$$E_h(u, \phi_g) = E_h^*(u, \phi_g) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

from which, it can be seen that

$$E_h(u, (\phi_g)_h) = E_h(u, (\phi_g)_h - \phi_g), \quad (2.13)$$

$$E_h^*(u_h, \phi_g) = E_h^*(u_h - u, \phi_g). \quad (2.14)$$

Summarizing (2.9)–(2.14), the proof is completed.

We now give the uniformly  $L^2$ -norm error estimate of nonconforming finite element approximation of the problem (1.1) with the solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  only.

**Theorem 4.** *Assume that the solution of the problem (1.1)  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , the triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_h$  of the polygonal  $\Omega$  is quasi-uniform and satisfies the inverse hypothesis (c.f.[1]), and the nonconforming finite element space  $V_h \subsetneq H_0^1(\Omega)$  possesses the following property, for any given  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , there exists  $C = \text{Const.} > 0$  independent of  $h$ , such that*

$$|\sum_K \int_{\partial K} \partial_\nu \phi \cdot w_h ds| \leq Ch\|\phi\|_2 \cdot \|w_h\|_h, \quad \forall w_h \in V_h, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$  is the element with the edge  $\partial K$ ,  $\partial_\nu$  denotes the conormal derivative operator associated with the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  in (1.2) on  $\partial K$ . Then for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $h_1 = h_1(\epsilon) > 0$ , such that

$$\|u - u_h\|_0 \leq \epsilon\{\|u - u_h\|_h + \epsilon\|f\|_0\}, \quad \text{as } 0 < h \leq h_1(\epsilon). \quad (2.16)$$

*Proof.* By the Lemma 1 in [2], let  $D = \{f : f \in L^2(\Omega), \|f\|_0 = 1\}$ ,  $W = \{u : u = Tf, \forall f \in D\}$ , and  $W_* = \{u_* : u_* = T^*g, \forall g \in D\}$  where  $u = Tf \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and  $u_* = T^*g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  are the solutions of (1.1) and (1.7) respectively, i.e.,

$$a(Tf, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$a(v, T^*g) = (g, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

then  $W$  and  $W_*$  are precompact in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . And due to that the space  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then there exists a finite open cover  $\{S(\phi_i; \epsilon)\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\bar{W} \subset \cup_{i=1}^n S(\phi_i; \epsilon)$ ,  $\bar{W}_* \subset \cup_{i=1}^n S(\phi_i; \epsilon)$  where  $\phi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $S(\phi_i; \epsilon) = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \|v - \phi_i\|_1 \leq \epsilon\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

For any given  $f, g \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $f, g \neq 0$ , set

$$\bar{f} = \frac{f}{\|f\|_0}, \quad \bar{u} = \frac{u}{\|f\|_0}, \quad \bar{u}_h = \frac{u_h}{\|f\|_0}, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\bar{g} = \frac{g}{\|g\|_0}, \quad \bar{\phi}_g = \frac{\phi_g}{\|g\|_0}, \quad (\bar{\phi}_g)_h = \frac{(\phi_g)_h}{\|g\|_0}, \quad (2.18)$$

then

$$a(\bar{u}, v) = (\bar{f}, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (2.19)$$

$$a_h(\bar{u}_h, v_h) = (\bar{f}, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$a(v, \bar{\phi}_g) = (\bar{g}, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (2.21)$$

$$a_h(v_h, (\bar{\phi}_g)_h) = (\bar{g}, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h. \quad (2.22)$$

By the Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_0 &\leq C \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega)} \{ \|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_h \cdot \|\bar{\phi}_g - (\bar{\phi}_g)_h\|_h \\ &\quad + E_h(\bar{u}, (\bar{\phi}_g)_h - \bar{\phi}_g) + E_h^*(\bar{u}_h - \bar{u}, \bar{\phi}_g) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

From the Theorem 3.1 in [3], it can be seen that there exists  $h'_1 = h'_1(\epsilon) > 0$ , such that

$$\|\bar{\phi}_g - (\bar{\phi}_g)_h\|_h \leq \alpha_1 \epsilon, \quad \text{as } 0 < h \leq h'_1. \quad (2.24)$$

And by the similar way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [3], we have, there exists  $h''_1 = h''_1(\epsilon, \bar{W}, \bar{W}_*)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} |E_h(\bar{u}, (\bar{\phi}_g)_h - \bar{\phi}_g)| \leq \alpha_2 \epsilon \|(\bar{\phi}_g)_h - \bar{\phi}_g\|_h, \\ |E_h^*(\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h, \bar{\phi}_g)| \leq \alpha_2 \epsilon \|\bar{u}_h - \bar{u}\|_h, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } 0 < h \leq h''_1, \quad (2.25)$$

where the parameter  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$  will be determined in the following. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_0 &\leq C \epsilon \{ (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_h + \alpha_2 \|\bar{\phi}_g - (\bar{\phi}_g)_h\|_h \} \\ &\leq C \epsilon \{ (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_h + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \epsilon \} \\ &\leq \epsilon \{ \|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_h + \epsilon \}, \quad \text{as } 0 < h \leq h_1(\epsilon) = \min(h'_1, h''_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

when the parameter  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  have been chosen as follows

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2C},$$

and it is not losing the generality to assume that the  $C = \text{Const.} > 1$  in (2.4).

Finally from  $\|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_0 = \|u - u_h\|_0 \cdot \|f\|_0$  and  $\|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_h = \|u - u_h\|_h \cdot \|f\|_0$ , the proof is completed.

### References

- [1] P.G.Ciarlet, The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1978.
- [2] A.H.Schatz and Junping Wang, Some new error estimates for Ritz-Galerkin methods with minimal regularity assumptions, *Math. Comp.*, 65(1996), pp.19–27.
- [3] Wang Lieheng, On the convergence of Nonconforming finite element methods for the 2nd order elliptic problem with the lowest regularity, *J. Compu. Math.*, 17 : 6 (1999), 609–614.
- [4] Zhang Hongqing and Wang Ming, The Mathematical Theory for the Finite Element Method, (in Chinese), Science Press of China, Beijing, 1991.