

Linearly McCoy Rings and Their Generalizations*

CUI JIAN AND CHEN JIAN-LONG

(Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, 210096)

Communicated by Du Xian-kun

Abstract: A ring R is called linearly McCoy if whenever linear polynomials $f(x)$, $g(x) \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then there exist nonzero elements $r, s \in R$ such that $f(x)r = sg(x) = 0$. For a ring endomorphism α , we introduced the notion of α -skew linearly McCoy rings by considering the polynomials in the skew polynomial ring $R[x; \alpha]$ in place of the ring $R[x]$. A number of properties of this generalization are established and extension properties of α -skew linearly McCoy rings are given.

Key words: linearly McCoy ring, α -skew linearly McCoy ring, polynomial ring, matrix ring

2000 MR subject classification: 16S30, 16S99

Document code: A

Article ID: 1674-5647(2010)02-0159-17

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity 1. The notation α denotes an endomorphism of a given ring, and $\alpha(1)$ need not be equal to 1 in this paper.

McCoy^[1] proved in 1942 that if two polynomials annihilate each other over a commutative ring, then each polynomial has a nonzero annihilator in the base ring. Rege and Chhawchharia^[2] and Nielsen^[3] introduced the notion of a McCoy ring, independently. Recall that a ring R is called right McCoy if the equation

$$f(x)g(x) = 0$$

with nonzero $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$, implies that there exists a nonzero $r \in R$ such that

$$f(x)r = 0.$$

Left McCoy rings are defined similarly. A ring R is said to be McCoy if it is both right and left McCoy. The concept of right (left) linearly McCoy rings were introduced by Camillo and Nielsen^[4] in 2008. A linearly McCoy ring is both left and right linearly McCoy. It was

*Received date: April 20, 2009.

Foundation item: The NSF (10871042, 10971024) of China and the Specialized Research Fund (200802860024) for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education.

proved in [4] that semi-commutative rings (i.e., $ab = 0$ implies $aRb = 0$ for $a, b \in R$) are linearly McCoy, but the converse is not true.

For a ring R with a ring endomorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$, a skew polynomial ring $R[x; \alpha]$ of R is the ring obtained by giving the polynomial ring over R with the new multiplication

$$xr = \alpha(r)x, \quad \forall r \in R.$$

Due to Hong *et al.*^[5], the Armendariz property of a ring was extended to skew polynomial rings but with skewed scalar multiplication: For an endomorphism α of a ring R , R is called α -skew Armendariz if

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } R[x; \alpha]$$

implies

$$a_i \alpha^i(b_j) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq n$$

(R is called Armendariz if $\alpha = I_R$). And Lei^[6] extended McCoy rings to α -skew McCoy rings.

Motivated by the above, we introduced the notion of an α -skew linearly McCoy ring with the endomorphism α , as both a generalization of α -skew McCoy rings and an extension of linearly McCoy rings. The properties of this class of rings are investigated.

2 Linearly McCoy Rings

Our focus in this section is to discuss the basic properties of linearly McCoy rings and observe the connections to other related rings; extension properties of the rings are investigated.

Lemma 2.1 *A ring R is right (resp., left) linearly McCoy if and only if the ring*

$$V(R) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} a_1 & u_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & u_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & u_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{array} \right) : a_1, a_2, a_3, u_1, u_2, u_3 \in R \right\}$$

is right (resp., left) linearly McCoy.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case when R is right linearly McCoy.

For any $A, B \in V(R)$, write

$$A = (a_1, a_2, a_3, u_1, u_2, u_3), \quad B = (b_1, b_2, b_3, v_1, v_2, v_3).$$

The addition and multiplication in $V(R)$ are defined as follows:

$$A + B = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3, u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, u_3 + v_3),$$

$$AB = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, a_3 b_3, a_1 v_1 + u_1 b_2, a_3 v_2 + u_2 b_1, a_2 v_3 + u_3 b_3).$$

“ \Rightarrow ”. Let

$$F(x) = A_0 + A_1 x, \quad G(x) = B_0 + B_1 x \in V(R)[x] \setminus \{0\}$$